



INTUITIONLABS / RESEARCH & PRACTICAL GUIDANCE

Spectronaut 21 vs DIA-NN vs PEAKS Online: DIA Comparison

Build practical AI for pharma and biotech with IntuitionLabs. We help life-science teams turn complex information and workflows into useful software, governed knowledge systems and AI tools.

Published by IntuitionLabs · 2026-10-08 · spectronaut 21 vs dia-nn vs peaks online · spectronaut 21 · dia-nn · peaks online · dia proteomics · library-free dia · proteomics software · proteomics quantification · dia software licensing

Original article: <https://intuitionlabs.ai/articles/spectronaut-dia-nn-peaks-online-comparison>



In this article

1. [Executive Summary](#)
 2. [Introduction and Background](#)
 3. [Spectronaut 21](#)
 4. [DIA-NN](#)
 5. [PEAKS Online](#)
 6. [Feature Comparison](#)
 7. [Performance and Benchmarks](#)
 8. [Data Analysis and Evidence](#)
 9. [Implications and Future Directions](#)
 10. [Conclusion](#)
-

Executive Summary

Spectronaut 21 vs DIA-NN vs PEAKS Online has no defensible universal winner in the evidence reviewed here. As of October 8, 2026, the comparison must separate product capability from older measured performance. Spectronaut 21 launched on **June 1, 2026**; PEAKS Online 13.5 followed on **June 24, 2026**. ([biognosysgroup.com](#)) ([www.bioinfor.com](#)) DIA-NN's developer notes distinguish **2.6.1 in the main channel** from **2.7.0 in the preview channel**, rather than presenting both as equivalent production baselines. ([github.com](#)) ([github.com](#)) Freeze the installed build, edition, and configuration before evaluating results.

The main three-tool single-cell benchmark tested **Spectronaut 19.5**, **DIA-NN 1.9.2**, and **PEAKS Studio 12.0**, not the current Online product. ([d-nb.info](#)) It found different detection and quantitative tradeoffs across library strategies. ([www.nature.com](#)) A separate lung-biopsy study reported larger identification and complete-set counts for different pipelines, demonstrating why total identifications alone are insufficient for selection. ([pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#)) ([pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#)) Neither study establishes the current three-way ranking requested by the query. Low-input mixtures, frozen biopsies, FFPE specimens, and immuno-peptidomics should each have representative pilot evidence.

For procurement, **DIA-NN Enterprise and the nonprofit academic edition differ in functionality**; readers should not assume unrestricted free commercial use. ([aptila.bio](#)) Spectronaut's recurring terms use concurrent-seat entitlements, while PEAKS routes buyers to pricing requests. ([biognosys.com](#)) ([www.bioinfor.com](#)) Actual quotations, distributed license terms, infrastructure responsibility, and analyst effort are necessary for a meaningful cost comparison. This report therefore provides a user-input cost-per-accepted-sample worksheet rather than unsupported dollar prices. It also distinguishes shared workflows and automation from enterprise controls that require written confirmation.

The practical recommendation is a **matched raw-file pilot** with locked acquisition, FASTA, modifications, library strategy, entity definitions, and FDR scope. DIA-NN's documented global and run-specific filtering illustrates why matching a nominal threshold is insufficient. ([github.com](#)) Score accepted identifications, pre-imputation completeness, linear-abundance coefficient of variation (CV), known-ratio recovery, runtime,

compute, provenance, and export compatibility. Select Spectronaut, DIA-NN, or PEAKS Online only after the configured workflow clears the assay and operational criteria. The outcome should be a reproducible standard with a documented upgrade test, not a ranking generalized from a different specimen or software generation.

Introduction and Background

For **data-independent acquisition (DIA)** proteomics, pipeline selection should weigh more than the rows in a protein export. This report evaluates identification, quantitative filtering, protein grouping, spectral-library construction, and downstream statistics separately. The central question is which combination produces useful, reproducible measurements for the intended assay. An immunopectidomics comparison illustrates why separate evaluation of coverage and reproducibility matters. ([pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov))

This report compares **Spectronaut 21**, **DIA-NN**, and **PEAKS Online** for proteomics core managers, translational biomarker scientists, and research informatics leads. It distinguishes product documentation from measured performance and proposes a controlled selection protocol. The adjacent advisory perspective is integration and evidence management: IntuitionLabs describes data pipelines, warehousing, and business intelligence among its services. Its role here is to frame a reproducible selection process. (intuitionlabs.ai)

Define the assay before defining the winner:

- **Discovery proteomics:** Prioritize reproducible protein measurements, defensible grouping, and recoverable biological contrasts.
- **Low-input work:** Test the relevant input range and backgrounds, rather than treating a bulk digest as representative.
- **FFPE specimens:** Include the actual formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded preparation and extraction method in the pilot.
- **Cohort operations:** Evaluate pooled controls, batch boundaries, failed-job recovery, and stable export schemas.
- **Immunopectidomics:** Evaluate peptide-level evidence, non-specific searching, candidate sequences, and independent confirmation.

These are proposed decision categories, not vendor capability guarantees. For example, the reviewed lung-biopsy comparison used frozen specimens, so its results should not be transferred directly to an FFPE workflow. ([pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov))

Library-free also needs an operational definition: analysis without a supplied experimental spectral library. It does not imply that all tools use the same internal search strategy. DIA-NN, for example, documents prediction from a sequence database. (github.com) Record the selected database and every configuration change. The Minimum Information About a Proteomics Experiment (MIAPE) guidance emphasizes documenting sample origins and how analyses were performed. (psidev.info)

Spectronaut 21

Capabilities

Biognosys announced **Spectronaut 21 on June 1, 2026**. (biognosysgroup.com) Its documented library options include directDIA and libraries generated from data-dependent acquisition (DDA), DIA, or both. (biognosys.com) This supports a practical comparison between starting immediately from existing raw files and investing in a project-specific reference.

The choice should follow the assay. A core with well-characterized sample classes can test whether building a library adds useful measurements after accounting for acquisition time. A team with changing sample sources should also evaluate whether the selected search space remains appropriate. Hold the search database constant so the pilot isolates workflow differences.

The current release notes add protein-group collapsing of the post-translational modification (PTM) site report. (biognosys.com) That is a reporting feature, not proof that a peptide identification establishes its modification site. A phosphoproteomics pilot should retain the site-level evidence and the chosen localization rule.

The vendor reports **15% faster timsTOF directDIA** and **up to 30% faster parallel-processing merges** in the release notes. (biognosys.com) (biognosys.com) These figures describe particular improvements; they supply neither a common hardware specification nor a current cross-vendor ranking.

Adoption

Mayo Clinic's Multiomics Mass Spectrometry Core documents Spectronaut analysis and a Bruker timsTOF Ultra 2 platform. (www.mayo.edu) (www.mayo.edu) This establishes a public core-service example. It does not estimate market share, demonstrate every acquisition mode, or validate the reader's assay.

A published downstream option is **SpectroPipeR**, an R package for Spectronaut data analysis that can generate interactive reports for [electronic laboratory notebook](https://academic.oup.com) workflows. (academic.oup.com) (academic.oup.com) Its existence is useful when planning the boundary between signal processing and downstream interpretation. The pilot still needs to check the installed package, required columns, and report content against its actual exports.

Strengths and Limitations

Spectronaut documents command-line workflows and reporting on Linux; this is relevant to scheduled processing and shared compute. (biognosys.com) Automation should nevertheless be evaluated as an operational workflow, including job submission, licensing availability, retries, and export collection.

- **Workflow fit:** Compare directDIA with a locked project library on the same files.
- **Review fit:** Require reviewers to trace a reported result to its underlying evidence.
- **Compute fit:** Measure library construction, extraction, and merging separately.
- **Licensing fit:** Price the required concurrency and procurement category.
- **Integration fit:** Demonstrate a complete handoff to the downstream analysis system.

The recurring terms distinguish academic and industry fees and define seats as concurrent-use entitlements. ([biognosys.com](#)) ([biognosys.com](#)) Request the precise build and the version-specific manual with the pilot license. Current public release and product information should not replace detailed confirmation of format compatibility, false discovery rate (FDR) settings, and enterprise controls.

DIA-NN

Capabilities

The dated developer notes identify **DIA-NN 2.6.1 as the main-channel release, June 30, 2026**, and **2.7.0 as a preview-channel release, September 16, 2026**. ([github.com](#)) ([github.com](#)) Specify both channel and edition when comparing results. A README headline and a release asset tag are insufficient build identifiers.

DIA-NN documents predicted libraries from sequence databases and an empirical-library first pass in its match-between-runs (MBR) workflow. ([github.com](#)) ([github.com](#)) MBR should be a recorded experimental factor. Run a locked primary configuration and a separate sensitivity analysis if identification transfer is material to the assay.

The documented matrix filtering includes **1% FDR** using global protein-group q-values and an additional **5% run-specific protein-level filter** for protein matrices. ([github.com](#)) ([github.com](#)) Those are different scopes. A nominally identical threshold across products does not by itself establish equivalent statistical treatment.

The documentation also describes PTM localization confidence estimates. ([github.com](#)) For immunopectidomics, Aptila provides an InfinDIA non-specific-search example. ([aptila.bio](#)) Treat that as vendor workflow evidence and confirm availability in the purchased edition; it is not an independent demonstration of superiority.

Adoption

DESY's Maxwell computing documentation provides container and standalone DIA-NN deployment instructions. ([docs.desy.de](#)) This is concrete evidence of an institutional compute workflow, although it supplies no market-share estimate.

DIA-NN documents containers and native installations, and its engine can operate through a separate command-line interface (CLI). ([github.com](#)) ([github.com](#)) An informatics team can therefore include configuration files and engine invocation in its provenance package. Developer notes also document a move to editable JSON pipeline configurations. ([github.com](#))

Strengths and Limitations

The input list includes Thermo RAW, Bruker D, SCIEX WIFF, mzML, and DIA-NN's DIA format; native Linux support has a narrower list that excludes WIFF. ([github.com](#)) ([github.com](#)) Test files from the intended operating system and instrument rather than inferring universal support from the product name.

- **Edition fit:** Obtain a feature list tied to the precise distribution.
- **Pipeline fit:** Preserve the engine invocation and configuration with every result.
- **Transfer fit:** Report MBR status alongside completeness.

- **Output fit:** Verify identifiers and missing-value representation after import.
- **Rights fit:** Check the actual distributed license before commercial deployment.

Aptila distinguishes the full-functionality **Enterprise** edition from a separate limited-functionality edition for nonprofit academic research. (aptila.bio) (aptila.bio) The repository directs readers to the LICENSE.txt distributed with the respective version. (github.com) Consequently, neither universal free commercial use nor a specific redistribution entitlement is established here. Commercial buyers should obtain the relevant quote and terms through the documented contact route. (aptila.bio)

PEAKS Online

Capabilities

PEAKS Online 13.5 was announced on June 24, 2026, with redesigned DDA and DIA workflows. (www.bioinform.com) Its version history documents a new project type for **more than 50 samples** and a DIA Proteome **quality control (QC)** tab. (www.bioinform.com) (www.bioinform.com) These are feature descriptions, not measured cohort-performance guarantees.

The earlier platform paper describes integrating spectral-library search, database search, and de novo sequencing. (pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) De novo sequencing attempts to infer peptide sequences from spectra; its presence should not be equated with validated discovery of every novel peptide. The pilot should record which components are enabled and how their outputs are filtered.

PTM descriptions require workflow-level precision. The Online release history places the new open PTM search in the **DDA Proteome** workflow. (www.bioinform.com) It should not be restated as a new DIA open-search capability. The vendor separately defines AScore as positional confidence for a variable PTM. (www.bioinform.com) For a site-focused assay, require an export showing both identification evidence and site confidence.

Adoption

The University of Louisville Proteomics Technology Center lists Spectronaut and PEAKS for DIA quantitative-proteomics services. (research.louisville.edu) The listing establishes institutional use of the product families; it does not identify PEAKS Online's current build or quantify adoption.

The Online deployment description covers a multi-CPU machine, local cluster, or cloud. (www.bioinform.com) “Online” should therefore not automatically be interpreted as a vendor-operated software-as-a-service contract. Hosting responsibility, administrative access, patching, and backup ownership belong in the deployment specification.

Strengths and Limitations

PEAKS Online documents administrative controls for standardizing workflows, databases, modifications, and quantification. (www.bioinform.com) This can be useful for a core with multiple operators. Test whether the proposed configuration is actually reusable across projects and whether changes remain attributable.

The release announcement describes Instrument Daemon screening of QC samples. (www.bioinfor.com) Choose the controls and acceptance rules before evaluating that automation. A notification is useful only when its trigger corresponds to an operationally meaningful quality criterion.

- **Product fit:** Record Online separately from Studio in all procurement documents.
- **Search fit:** Preserve database, library, and de novo settings.
- **Cohort fit:** Test QC, shared configurations, and project archiving.
- **Hosting fit:** Allocate infrastructure and administration responsibility explicitly.
- **Export fit:** Confirm downstream tools can consume the selected quantification output.

The format page covers both Studio and Online, while SCIEX also documents PEAKS support for its acquisition workflows. (www.bioinfor.com) (sciex.com) Confirm the specific instrument, firmware, format, and workflow combination with actual pilot files. The product hub routes buyers to pricing requests; no current Online dollar price is established by that page. (www.bioinfor.com)

Feature Comparison

For **Spectronaut 21 vs DIA-NN**, compare assay and operating model. For **Spectronaut 21 vs PEAKS Online**, evaluate shared workflow and administration needs. For **DIA-NN vs PEAKS Online**, compare pipeline ownership and edition entitlements alongside quantification. These are evaluation dimensions.

Table 1 summarizes verified features and the evidence the pilot must produce. Deployment descriptions come from current product documentation; the version entries deliberately preserve release-channel differences.

Decision axis	Spectronaut 21	DIA-NN	PEAKS Online
Version anchor	Major release 21, announced June 2026. (biognosysgroup.com)	Main 2.6.1; preview 2.7.0. (github.com) (github.com)	Online 13.5, June 2026. (www.bioinfor.com)
No supplied experimental library	directDIA. (biognosys.com)	Sequence-based predicted library. (github.com)	Optional library alongside database searching. (www.bioinfor.com)
Automation evidence	Linux CLI workflows and reporting. (biognosys.com)	Separate command-line engine. (github.com)	Client CLI with automatic CSV export. (www.bioinfor.com)
Shared-use purchasing	Standard one seat; Advanced up to five under recurring terms. (biognosys.com)	Enterprise and nonprofit academic editions differ. (aptila.bio) (aptila.bio)	Published thread-license strengths: 128, 256, 512. (www.bioinfor.com)
Quantification or archive evidence	Test the selected export and grouping rules.	Main report uses Parquet with precursor and protein identifiers. (github.com)	Label-free quantification (LFQ): MaxLFQ/Top X; archives can include FASTA and peptide lists. (www.bioinfor.com) (www.bioinfor.com)
Price treatment	Obtain quote, concurrency, modules, and term.	Obtain commercial quote and actual version license.	Obtain Online quote with compute and user entitlements.

This matrix is deliberately asymmetric where evidence differs. A documented export format is not interchangeable with a measured quantitative advantage. Thread licenses and concurrent seats also describe different procurement units. PEAKS' published user-range wording should be clarified in the quote rather than translated into a guessed per-user cost.

Compare library strategies separately

Evaluate **library-free**, **project-specific**, and **public-library** configurations as separate branches. A project library offers a controlled empirical reference but adds acquisition and preparation effort. A public library offers an external starting point whose sample and instrument relevance must be examined. The PEAKS tutorial documents library construction from DDA data, providing a concrete example of that extra workflow.

(www.bioinform.com)

Freeze the FASTA sequence database and record whether canonical sequences or isoforms are included. Treat the sequence universe as an explicit experimental choice. Check species, contaminants, digestion, modifications, and peptide-length limits. For immunopeptidomics, separately record the non-specific search space and candidate sequence sources.

Lock the file and statistical boundaries

The mzML specification's supporting terms can accommodate DIA and ion-mobility information; its newer encoding guidance is described as proposed best practice. (www.psidev.info) (www.psidev.info) A file extension alone therefore does not prove that the intended metadata survive conversion.

ProteoWizard documents command-line format conversion and dependence on vendor libraries for proprietary formats. (proteowizard.sourceforge.io) (proteowizard.sourceforge.io) If conversion is required, preserve its tool version, command, and source files. Compare native and converted inputs as a sensitivity branch rather than silently changing representation.

Lock the reported entity: **precursor**, **peptide**, **protein group**, or **modification site**. Map each filter to its scope and report fields. A common reporting threshold can be a pilot design choice, but implementation differences must remain visible. DIA-NN's documented global and run-specific matrix filters illustrate the issue.

(github.com)

Performance and Benchmarks

The available research answers a narrower question than “which current product is best?” It shows how the measured result changes with versions, specimens, libraries, and endpoints. The principal three-tool study tested **DIA-NN 1.9.2**, **Spectronaut 19.5.241126.62635**, and **PEAKS Studio 12.0**. (d-nb.info) It supplies no direct current-version result for Spectronaut 21 or PEAKS Online 13.5.

Table 2 separates study context from procurement conclusions. Figures below are reported observations from the cited studies, not forecasts for the proposed pilot.

Evidence	Samples and versions	Observed endpoint	Decision boundary
2025 single-cell benchmark	Simulated 200 pg mixtures; timsTOF Pro 2; six technical replicates. (www.nature.com) (www.nature.com)	Spectronaut directDIA: 3,066 ± 68 proteins/run. (www.nature.com)	Older builds; PEAKS Studio, not Online. (d-nb.info)
Same benchmark, completeness	Thirty runs; Spectronaut union 3,524 proteins. (www.nature.com)	Complete across runs: Spectronaut 2,013/3,524, 57%; DIA-NN 1,468/3,061, 48%. (www.nature.com) (www.nature.com)	A union count and a complete count answer different questions.
2026 lung-biopsy comparison	Twenty-four tumor/peritumor pairs; Spectronaut 20.1 and DIA-NN 2.1.0. (pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) (pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) (pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)	Identified: 7,597 versus 7,787 proteins; shared: 7,180. (pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)	Biological comparison, not a known-ratio accuracy test; no PEAKS arm.
2023 immunopeptidomics study	Four library-based pipelines including the three product families. (pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)	Authors recommend complementary DIA tools. (pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)	Peptide-focused evidence; current versions and detailed numeric results are not established here.

The table demonstrates why the maximum identification count should not be the sole selection criterion. In the biopsy comparison, the reported counts present DIA-NN with a larger union; the complete-set endpoint favored Spectronaut, with **2,541 versus 2,289 proteins** present in every sample pair. (pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) Both pipelines used MBR. (pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) A larger union cannot establish more accurate fold changes when the true biological ratios are unknown.

The single-cell study also found library-strategy tradeoffs: Spectronaut directDIA had a quantitative-accuracy advantage within its tested workflows, while PEAKS' sample-specific library gave broader coverage within its tested strategies. (www.nature.com) (www.nature.com) Those are conditional observations. They should motivate paired library branches, not a retrospective current-product league table.

PEAKS de novo sequencing was disabled in that benchmark. (d-nb.info) This further limits transferring the result to a different Online configuration. A buyer evaluating de novo-assisted candidate discovery should add explicit confirmation endpoints and preserve candidate-level evidence.

No matched three-way experiment using all the current configurations is supplied by these studies.

Consequently, **the best DIA proteomics analysis software is assay-dependent** in this report's decision framework: select the configuration that clears quantitative and operational requirements on representative files.

Data Analysis and Evidence

This proposed **DIA proteomics quantification software comparison** uses matched raw files and a reporting contract. Its replication and acceptance thresholds should reflect the assay; they are not universal minima or vendor benchmarks.

Matched pilot protocol

- **Select specimens:** Include the intended preparation, input range, matrix, and relevant sample classes.
- **Add quantitative controls:** Use a known-ratio mixture and a dilution series where feasible.
- **Add operational controls:** Include pooled QC, blanks, and representative batch transitions.
- **Freeze acquisition:** Record instrument, acquisition method, gradient, windows, and firmware.
- **Freeze FASTA:** Archive the exact database and checksum, not only its download address.
- **Freeze searches:** Lock digestion, fixed and variable modifications, and peptide constraints.
- **Separate libraries:** Run the no-supplied-library branch and any locked empirical-library branch separately.
- **Record transfers:** Preserve MBR and related cross-run settings.
- **Map filters:** Record q-value fields, global/run scope, entity level, and localization criteria.
- **Preserve identities:** Keep original protein-group and peptide identifiers before reconciliation.
- **Measure resources:** Capture elapsed time, processing stages, allocated compute, and peak memory.
- **Deliver evidence:** Retain logs, configurations, exported tables, review outputs, and acceptance decisions.

MIAPe emphasizes documenting the analysis, while ProteomeXchange includes searched sequence databases among supporting files. (psidev.info) (www.proteomexchange.org) Archive the actual sequences alongside the chosen identifiers.

Table 3 defines a proposed scorecard and **cost per accepted sample** worksheet. Its formulas assert no observed vendor costs.

Metric or input	Calculation or record	Interpretation
Accepted identifications	Count entities after the declared filter at each reporting level.	Report per-run and union counts separately.
Cell completeness	Observed eligible entity/run cells divided by all eligible entity/run cells.	Freeze the entity universe before comparing tools.
Strict complete set	Entities observed in every eligible run divided by the chosen entity universe.	Distinguish from average per-run completeness.
Technical CV	$100 \times \text{sample standard deviation} / \text{arithmetic mean of linear abundance}$. (www.itl.nist.gov)	Report median and distribution with abundance strata.
Fold-change recovery	Estimated log2 ratio minus known log2 ratio; summarize error across controls.	Evaluate bias and spread separately.
Runtime	End-to-end elapsed time and stage times on recorded hardware.	Include library construction and repeat processing.
Annual license input, L	Enter the actual quote, edition, term, concurrency, and support scope.	Do not infer a market price from another product's SKU.
Compute/storage input, C	Enter attributable processing, storage, transfers, and infrastructure charges.	Allocate shared infrastructure consistently.

Metric or input	Calculation or record	Interpretation
Analyst/support input, A	Enter attributable hours × loaded hourly cost.	Include review, maintenance, training, and reruns.
Accepted samples, N	Count samples passing predefined assay and QC criteria.	Use accepted samples, not all attempted acquisitions.
Cost per accepted sample	$(L + C + A) / N$, with all inputs on the same accounting period.	State treatment of library-building and one-time setup costs.

Define the completeness denominator before comparing percentages. Report a shared candidate set and product-specific unions separately. Preserve original results to review reconciliation and grouping differences.

CV requires a meaningful zero and becomes unstable when means approach zero, according to NIST. (www.itl.nist.gov) (www.itl.nist.gov) Use linear abundance and report missingness alongside CV. Precision among retained measurements does not establish broad assay precision.

Evaluate missingness before imputation. The older MSstats vignette distinguishes censored from randomly missing values; this is conceptual evidence, not current-default documentation. (www.bioconductor.org) MSstats separately supports DIA statistical analysis. (www.bioconductor.org) Keep differential fold changes and adjusted p-values separate from identification FDR. (www.bioconductor.org)

PRIDE describes instrument files, results, and metadata as dataset components. (www.ebi.ac.uk) ProteomeXchange also requires processed identification results. (www.proteomexchange.org) Preserve this evidence internally where public deposition is inappropriate. Reviewers should reproduce the accepted table and its downstream transformations.

Implications and Future Directions

How to choose a DIA proteomics pipeline

Use a sequence of acceptance decisions rather than a weighted feature score that allows identification depth to compensate for inadequate precision.

- **Assay gate:** Does the configuration handle the actual specimens, acquisition, and search space?
- **Quantitative gate:** Does it recover known contrasts with acceptable bias and variability?
- **Completeness gate:** Does it retain the required measurements under declared filtering?
- **Review gate:** Can a scientist trace important results to source evidence and settings?
- **Operations gate:** Can the team reproduce processing, recover jobs, and sustain throughput?
- **Commercial gate:** Do rights, entitlements, support, and total cost match the intended deployment?

These gates are proposed selection logic, not externally established thresholds. If multiple configurations pass, choose based on the operating model and measured total cost. If none passes, revise the assay or configuration before committing to a production standard. Cross-tool agreement may support review, but it should not be treated as independent biological confirmation.

Procurement and integration questions

The **Spectronaut**, **DIA-NN**, and **PEAKS Online pricing and licensing** comparison cannot responsibly be reduced to invented dollar figures. Spectronaut directs buyers to quotes, Aptila provides a commercial contact route, and PEAKS routes pricing inquiries through its product hub. (biognosys.com) (aptila.bio) (www.bioinfor.com)

- **Entitlements:** Which edition, modules, users, threads, concurrent jobs, and sites are included?
- **Commercial rights:** Are service-provider, affiliate, cloud, and redistribution uses covered?
- **Version control:** Which supported build is supplied, and how are upgrades scheduled?
- **Identity controls:** What authentication, role management, and access records are provided?
- **Data controls:** Who controls hosting location, encryption, retention, backup, and deletion?
- **Integration controls:** Can the laboratory information management system (LIMS) submit jobs and collect complete results?
- **Support controls:** Who owns instrument-reader compatibility and failed-job escalation?

These are questions to obtain written answers, not claims that all products provide the listed controls. Treat the export-to-LIMS demonstration as part of acceptance: submit a sample identifier, preserve its mapping through processing, collect the approved quantitative table, and retain the associated configuration and logs.

Preserve analytical flexibility

A downstream statistical layer can reduce repeated integration work when the processing engine changes. The MSstats developers describe relative quantification across global, targeted, and DIA proteomics. (msstats.org) Still, validate the adapter separately for each product's identifiers, grouping, abundance scale, and missing-value encoding.

The advisory perspective is to measure reliability and support burden before expanding deployment, consistent with IntuitionLabs' stated evidence approach. (intuitionlabs.ai) Operationally, this means revisiting the accepted pilot when the software build, library, instrument method, or assay changes. Maintain a frozen reference result and explain any migration differences before replacing production outputs.

Conclusion

Spectronaut 21 vs DIA-NN vs PEAKS Online is a choice among configured workflows, release channels, editions, and operating models. The evidence reviewed here supports a conditional decision rather than a universal ranking. Current product descriptions establish useful capabilities, while independent studies supply historical, assay-specific observations.

For a core manager, the first deliverable should be a locked, reviewable comparison on representative raw files. For a translational scientist, it should include recovery of known quantitative contrasts and a clear account of completeness and site-level evidence. For an informatics lead, it should demonstrate reproducible invocation, traceable exports, practical recovery, and a procurement model that covers the intended use.

The crucial distinction is between identifying more entities somewhere in a collection and delivering dependable measurements where the biological question requires them. Record both. Likewise, distinguish a vendor's release improvement from independent cross-product performance and distinguish PEAKS Studio benchmark results from PEAKS Online purchasing decisions.

Standardize only after the selected configuration passes the assay, quantitative, review, operations, and commercial gates. Preserve the database, libraries, raw files, configuration, filters, and downstream transformations needed to reproduce that decision. Then use the cost worksheet with actual quotations and measured labor and compute inputs.

The resulting choice may favor different products for different assays. That is a defensible outcome when the criteria were defined in advance and the measurements remain inspectable. A reproducible selection process also makes later upgrades easier to assess: the organization has a reference experiment, an accepted reporting contract, and a documented explanation for its standard.

Source links

Links cited in this article, in order of first appearance. Full addresses are provided for readers using extracted text.

1. <https://biognosysgroup.com/news/2026-asms-next-gen-multiomics-innovations/>
2. <https://www.bioinfor.com/peaks-online-13-5-release/>
3. <https://github.com/vdemichev/DiaNN/discussions/1366>
4. <https://d-nb.info/1387602004/34>
5. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-025-65174-4>
6. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12903166/>
7. <https://aptila.bio/blog/aptila-ionopticks-collaboration/>
8. <https://biognosys.com/content/uploads/2026/09/Terms-and-Conditions-for-Software-License-recurring-Sept-2026.pdf>
9. <https://www.bioinfor.com/peaks-software/>
10. <https://github.com/vdemichev/DiaNN>
11. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10060114/>
12. <https://intuitionlabs.ai/>
13. <https://psidev.info/miape>
14. <https://biognosys.com/software/spectronaut/>
15. https://biognosys.com/content/uploads/2026/05/Spectronaut-21_ReleaseNotes.pdf
16. <https://www.mayo.edu/research/core-resources/multiomics-mass-spectrometry-core/services/global-proteomics>
17. <https://intuitionlabs.ai/articles/best-electronic-lab-notebook-software>
18. <https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/41/3/btaf086/8030216>
19. <https://aptila.bio/blog/dia-nn-2-5/>
20. <https://docs.desy.de/maxwell/applications/diann/>
21. <https://github.com/vdemichev/DiaNN/blob/master/LICENSE.md>
22. <https://aptila.bio/getintouch/>

23. <https://www.bioinform.com/versions/>
24. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9174175/>
25. <https://www.bioinform.com/faq/>
26. <https://research.louisville.edu/centers-institutes-labs/core-facilities/proteomics-technology-center>
27. <https://www.bioinform.com/peaks-online/>
28. <https://www.bioinform.com/formats/>
29. <https://sciex.com/products/software>
30. <https://www.bioinform.com/spectral-library-search/>
31. <https://www.psidev.info/mzml>
32. <https://proteowizard.sourceforge.io/tools/msconvert.html>
33. https://proteowizard.sourceforge.io/doc_users.html
34. https://www.proteomexchange.org/docs/guidelines_px.pdf
35. <https://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/software/dataplot/refman2/auxillar/coefvari.htm>
36. <https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/3.23/bioc/vignettes/MSstats/inst/doc/MSstats.html>
37. <https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/MSstats.html>
38. <https://www.ebi.ac.uk/training/online/courses/pride-quick-tour/what-constitutes-a-pride-dataset/>
39. <https://msstats.org/>

THE PUBLISHER

About IntuitionLabs

IntuitionLabs is an AI consulting, custom software development and data engineering firm serving pharmaceutical, biotechnology, medical-device and other life-science organizations. We work with clinical, regulatory, medical-affairs, commercial, quality and IT teams to connect technology decisions with the work people need to accomplish.

AI consulting and adoption

Our AI enablement services cover readiness assessments, use-case selection, governance and policies, team workshops, adoption measurement and ongoing advisory support. We help organizations structure the information layer behind AI: source material, context, permissions and maintained knowledge that make generated answers useful and reviewable. Private LLM inference and hosted AI options support teams evaluating how to operate AI with appropriate control over their data and infrastructure.

Software, data and life-science workflows

IntuitionLabs develops custom software for pharma and biotech, integrates enterprise systems, and builds data engineering and business intelligence solutions. Areas of focus include AI agents, regulatory research, medical writing, medical affairs, CMC information, competitive intelligence and clinical-document workflows. Our eTMF intelligence work includes cross-system reconciliation and inspection-readiness support.

Enterprise platforms and regulated delivery

We provide Veeva services, application support, managed services, integrations and custom applications, alongside enterprise content work involving platforms such as Egnyte. For regulated workflows, our services include GxP enablement, computer-system validation and software development addressing 21 CFR Part 11 requirements. The applicable controls, validation responsibilities and acceptance criteria are defined for each engagement.

Work with IntuitionLabs

Explore [AI enablement](#), [pharma and biotech software development](#), [data engineering and BI](#), and [Veeva services](#). [Contact IntuitionLabs](#) to discuss your workflow, information sources and implementation needs.

IntuitionLabs publishes educational research to help life-science teams make informed technology decisions. Coverage of a product or organization does not imply a client relationship, endorsement or partnership.

Website: <https://intuitionlabs.ai>

This article is educational. Verify consequential medical, legal, financial, regulatory and technical decisions with appropriate professionals and the cited primary sources. Company services are described separately from the article's research findings.