Optune Pax: TTFields FDA Approval for Pancreatic Cancer

4/30/2026 • 40 min read

optune pax | tumor treating fields | ttfields | pancreatic cancer | lapc | panova-3 | fda approval | medical oncology

Executive Summary

Optune Pax represents a major breakthrough in the treatment of locally advanced pancreatic cancer (LAPC). In February 2026, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved Optune Pax, a wearable Tumor Treating Fields (TTFields) device developed by Novocure, for use **with gemcitabine and nab-paclitaxel chemotherapy** in adult patients with unresectable, locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma ([1] www.fda.gov) ([2] www.novocure.com). This is the first new therapy for LAPC in nearly three decades ([2] www.novocure.com) ([1] www.fda.gov). The approval was based on the pivotal phase III PANOVA-3 trial (NCT03377491), which randomized 571 patients to receive standard gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel with or without the Optune Pax device. The trial met its primary endpoint: adding TTFields to chemotherapy **significantly improved median overall survival (mOS) from 14.2 months (chemo alone) to 16.2 months (chemo + TTFields)**, with a hazard ratio (HR) of 0.82 (p=0.039) ([3] ascopost.com) ([4] www.novocure.com). Crucially, the one-year survival rate was higher in the TTFields arm (68.1% vs 60.2%) ([3] ascopost.com) ([5] www.onclive.com), and patients enjoyed a **clinically meaningful delay in pain progression and improved quality of life** ([6] ascopost.com) ([7] www.onclive.com). The added TTFields therapy caused **no new systemic toxicities** ([8] ascopost.com), though patients commonly experienced mild-to-moderate skin irritation under the arrays (any grade in 76.3% of patients, grade ≥3 in 7.7%) ([9] ascopost.com).

This report provides a comprehensive analysis of Optune Pax and TTFields for LAPC. After reviewing pancreatic cancer epidemiology and the challenges of locally advanced disease, we explain the physics and biology of TTFields, and recount their prior use in brain cancer and mesothelioma. We detail the PANOVA-3 trial design and results (including extensive data on efficacy, symptom control, and safety), and the FDA review and approval process. We include data tables summarizing key trial outcomes and TTFields regulatory milestones. Multiple perspectives are presented: expert commentary on patient quality of life and clinical value, patient considerations (e.g. home-based use, device burden), and potential health-economic implications of the therapy's high cost. Finally, we discuss future directions, including ongoing trials (such as PANOVA-4 combining TTFields with immunotherapy in metastatic pancreatic cancer ([10] www.novocure.com) ([11] www.biospace.com)) and broader integration of TTFields into oncology. The report concludes by interpreting the significance of Optune Pax in changing the treatment paradigm for "the other cancer of doom" (pancreatic cancer) and the implications for patients and providers.

Introduction and Background

Pancreatic Cancer: A Lethal Cancer

Pancreatic cancer is one of the most deadly malignancies. In the United States, it was projected to cause roughly 67,440 new cases and 51,980 deaths in 2025 ([12] www.fda.gov). This high case-fatality rate is due to its typically late presentation and aggressive biology. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) accounts for ~90% of pancreatic tumors. It comprises only about 3.3% of all new cancer cases but approximately 8.4% of all cancer deaths ([12] www.fda.gov) ([13] seer.cancer.gov). The overall 5-year relative survival for pancreatic cancer remains dismal (around 13–14%) ([13] seer.cancer.gov), reflecting both late-stage diagnosis and resistance to therapy.

Most patients are diagnosed at an advanced stage. Roughly one-third of cases present as **metastatic disease** at diagnosis, another one-third are classified as **locally advanced/unresectable**, and only about one-third are potentially resectable ([12] www.fda.gov). Locally advanced pancreatic cancer (LAPC) is defined by tumor involvement of major blood vessels (such as superior mesenteric artery or vein) to a degree that precludes curative surgery ([1] www.fda.gov) ([14] www.sec.gov). These tumors cannot be removed surgically but have not yet spread widely to distant organs. The prognosis for LAPC is poor; historically, without effective new therapies, median overall survivals for LAPC have been in

the range of 11–16 months with the best chemotherapy regimens. By way of comparison, landmark trials have shown median survival of 11.1 months with FOLFIRINOX (Conroy *et al.*, NEJM 2011) and about 8.5 months with gemcitabine plus nab-paclitaxel (MPACT trial, NEJM 2013) in advanced pancreatic cancer. Even with aggressive chemotherapy, two-year survival rates are typically under 20%. Importantly, LAPC is often associated with severe abdominal and back pain (due to tumor involvement of nerves and inflammation), weight loss, and deteriorating quality of life.

Treatment Options Prior to TTFields. Standard therapy for LAPC prior to 2026 has been systemic chemotherapy. First-line regimens include FOLFIRINOX (a combination of 5-fluorouracil, leucovorin, irinotecan, oxaliplatin) for medically fit patients, or the gemcitabine+nab-paclitaxel ("gem/nab") regimen for less fit patients ([15] ascopost.com) ([3] ascopost.com). Some patients also receive radiation therapy, either in a neoadjuvant effort to downstage the tumor or for symptom control. However, there have been **very few advances** in therapeutic options for LAPC in decades.Notably, the approval of TTFields (Optune Pax) in 2026 is described as *"the first treatment to be FDA approved in nearly 30 years"* for LAPC ([2] www.novocure.com) ([1] www.fda.gov). In other words, no new modality had shown a statistically significant survival benefit in this setting for a generation. The therapy that had the last significant impact was the addition of erlotinib to gemcitabine in an all-stage pancreatic trial (Lynch *et al.*, 2013), which yielded only a small improvement (median OS increase of <1 month). Thus, by 2024, there was a pressing unmet need for better treatments in LAPC.

Tumor Treating Fields (TTFields) and the Optune Technology

Concept and Mechanism. Tumor Treating Fields (TTFields) are an innovative, non-pharmaceutical cancer therapy that uses alternating electrical fields to disrupt cancer cell division. The concept was initially developed in the early 2000s (Kirson *et al.*, 2004) and has been studied in a variety of solid tumors. A TTFields device delivers low-intensity (typically hundreds of volts per meter), intermediate-frequency (100–300 kHz) alternating electric fields directly to the tumor site through the skin. These fields exert physical forces on the electrically charged and dipolar components of dividing cancer cells, interfering with mitosis. By disrupting the alignment of tubulin subunits and the mitotic spindle during anaphase/telophase, TTFields prevent normal cell division and induce morphologic changes that lead to cancer cell death ([16] www.fda.gov) ([17] hemonc.org). Additionally, fields may disrupt the cleavage furrow during cytokinesis, further halting cell reproduction ([17] hemonc.org). Importantly, because the fields are tuned to specific frequencies (e.g. ~150 kHz for pancreatic cancer) and intensities, they preferentially affect rapidly dividing cells. Importantly, preclinical data suggest that TTFields can also activate biological stress pathways such as autophagy and immunogenic cell death, which may make tumor cells more susceptible to other therapies ([18] www.sciencedirect.com) ([19] www.sciencedirect.com). In practice, TTFields can be considered broadly **"cancer agnostic"** (active against many tumor types) because the fields target a fundamental feature of cancer – uncontrolled cell division – without relying on molecular receptor targets ([20] www.sciencedirect.com) ([16] www.fda.gov).

The Optune Device. Novocure Ltd. is the company that manufactures TTFields devices. Its product line includes **Optune** (for brain tumors), **Optune Lua** (approved in pleural mesothelioma and lung cancer), and now **Optune Pax** (for pancreatic cancer). These are portable, battery-powered devices connected to sets of insulated transducer arrays adhered to the patient's skin. For pancreatic cancer, Optune Pax uses **four flexible adhesive electrode arrays** placed on specific locations on the patient's abdominal wall ([21] www.fda.gov). The arrays are connected to a field generator unit carried on a belt or backpack, so patients receive treatment while ambulatory or at home. The device settings (frequency, intensity) are preset by the manufacturer for pancreatic application (typically 150 kHz) and are not continuously adjustable by the patient. Before starting therapy, patients undergo placement mapping and training so they can apply the arrays correctly and manage daily device use. Importantly, because TTFields is a **localized (regional) therapy** with no systemic distribution, the side-effect profile is quite different from chemotherapy. The main adverse events are local skin reactions (from the adhesive arrays) rather than systemic toxicity, and there are no drug–drug interactions.

Prior TTFields Approvals. TTFields is not entirely new. Its first FDA approval was for recurrent glioblastoma in 2011 (via the NovoTTF-100A System, sold as Optune) ([22] www.medscape.com). In 2015, the FDA approved Optune for newly diagnosed glioblastoma when given together with maintenance temozolomide (based on the EF-14 trial) ([14]

www.sec.gov). More recently, under a Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE), Optune Lua was approved in 2019 for malignant pleural mesothelioma (the first new MPM treatment since 2004) ([23] mesowatch.org), and in 2024 for previously treated metastatic non–small cell lung cancer ([24] www.nasdaq.com). In each of these cases, TTFields extended survival modestly when added to standard therapy. Optune Pax's 2026 approval thus extends the TTFields paradigm into pancreatic cancer – the first instance of TTFields being officially approved for an intra-abdominal solid tumor.

Pathophysiology of Locally Advanced Pancreatic Cancer

Pancreatic adenocarcinomas usually arise in the head of the pancreas and can grow aggressively into surrounding tissues. By the time they cause jaundice or intense pain, they often have invaded major blood vessels (e.g. the celiac axis, superior mesenteric artery/vein) or adjacent organs. This **locally advanced** stage precludes surgical removal for cure. Tumor infiltration of the celiac plexus and other nerve-rich areas explains the excruciating pain that many LAPC patients suffer. Pain management is a major challenge in LAPC, often requiring high-dose narcotics, celiac plexus nerve blocks, or radiation for palliation. Quality of life declines precipitously with progressive disease – patients often experience anorexia, cachexia, fatigue and obstructive symptoms (biliary or gastric outlet obstruction). Thus, any effective therapy for LAPC must not only aim to prolong life but also to maintain or improve the patient's quality of life and symptom control.

Given the poor prognosis, the goals of LAPC treatment have traditionally been to **control disease progression and relieve symptoms**. If the tumor can be locally downsized by therapy, some LAPC patients become eligible for later surgical resection ("conversion therapy"), but this occurs in a minority of cases. Recent standards of care (e.g. aggressive multi-agent chemotherapy such as FOLFIRINOX) can induce tumor shrinkage, but overall median survivals remain around 12–16 months even in clinical trials ([3] ascopost.com) ([8] ascopost.com). For example, the pivotal ACCORD 11 trial of FOLFIRINOX in metastatic pancreatic cancer showed a median survival of 11.1 months (vs 6.8 months with gemcitabine) for fit patients (NEJM 2011). The MPACT trial (NEJM 2013) of gemcitabine plus nab-paclitaxel showed median OS ≈8.5 months. These figures underscore the urgency of new approaches: prior to Optune Pax, no device or drug had achieved a statistically significant survival advantage in first-line LAPC for decades.

Tumor Treating Fields (TTFields): Mechanism of Action

TTFields are an entirely new type of anti-cancer therapy. As a **physical modality**, TTFields target the electrical properties of cancer cells rather than biochemically targeting DNA or proteins. Novocure describes TTFields as "alternating electric fields that disrupt the rapid cell division that is characteristic of cancer cells, while minimizing damage to healthy tissue" ([16] www.fda.gov). This disruption occurs during mitosis: dividing cells are uniquely sensitive to external electric fields because their internal structures are in flux. Specifically, tubulin – the building block of microtubules – is highly polar. Under an external alternating field, tubulin's dipoles will oscillate instead of properly aligning, preventing mitotic spindle formation ([17] hemonc.org). Microscopic studies show that under TTFields, anaphase and telophase frequently fail: the mitotic spindle is abnormal and the cleavage furrow formation is disrupted ([17] hemonc.org). The result is that when a cancer cell attempts to divide, it is unable to complete cytokinesis, resulting in **mitotic arrest** and eventual cell death (often through apoptosis). This is believed to be a *"nonspecific"* mechanism of action – it does not rely on a particular gene or protein (unlike targeted drugs), so it can in principle act on many tumor types ([20] www.sciencedirect.com).

Another effect of TTFields is that they preferentially damage rapidly dividing cells. Resting (non-dividing) cells establish less transmembrane potential, so the intermediate-frequency fields have much less effect on them. Thus, healthy tissues,

which mostly have low proliferative rates, are largely spared ([16] www.fda.gov). Preclinical studies in multiple cancer models (including pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells) have shown that TTFields have a selective antiproliferative effect on tumor cells. For example, cell culture and animal studies demonstrated that pancreatic tumor cells exposed to 150 kHz TTFields stop growing and die, whereas normal cells survive better (for review see ([25] www.sciencedirect.com)). TTFields have also been shown to inhibit tumor metastasis in vivo (e.g. by affecting cell migration mechanisms), although the exact details are still under study ([20] www.sciencedirect.com) ([25] www.sciencedirect.com).

Beyond direct cytotoxicity, there is evidence that TTFields induce **biological stress responses**. Studies have observed that TTFields can increase reactive oxygen species and stimulate immune signaling in the tumor microenvironment ([19] www.sciencedirect.com). In one preclinical study, macrophages exposed to TTFields began secreting cytokines that inhibited cancer cell viability ([19] www.sciencedirect.com). These findings suggest that TTFields could have *immunomodulatory* effects. Indeed, there is intense interest in combining TTFields with immunotherapy: animal models show that pairing TTFields with immune checkpoint blockade yields synergistic anti-tumor effects. In the clinic, Novocure is actively exploring this approach (e.g. PANOVA-4 trial combining TTFields with atezolizumab in metastatic pancreatic cancer ([11] www.biospace.com) ([26] www.novocure.com)). Thus, the mechanism of TTFields spans direct physical interference with mitosis, disruption of the cancer cell's biophysical processes, and possible elicitation of immune-mediated tumor control.

History and Prior Clinical Use of TTFields

TTFields therapy (marketed by Novocure) has primarily been used in central nervous system and thoracic malignancies. The first FDA approval came in April 2011, when the NovoTTF-100A System (Optune) was approved for **recurrent glioblastoma multiforme** (GBM) following initial chemoradiation ([22] www.medscape.com). That approval was based on the pivotal EF-11 trial, which showed that Optune monotherapy greatly reduced toxicity relative to chemotherapy and achieved similar survival in recurrent GBM. In 2015, the EF-14 trial led to FDA approval of Optune for **newly diagnosed GBM**, in combination with maintenance temozolomide. That press release noted that Optune was *"the first FDA-approved therapy in more than a decade to demonstrate statistically significant extension of survival in newly diagnosed glioblastoma patients"* ([14] www.sec.gov).

In the years since, Novocure has introduced TTFields for thoracic cancers. In May 2019 the FDA approved the NovoTTF-100L (Optune Lua) system for **unresectable pleural mesothelioma**, the first new treatment for that disease since pemetrexed in 2004 ([23] mesowatch.org). In October 2024, Optune Lua received FDA approval for **metastatic non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)** after progression on platinum therapy; the indication is for use together with either second-line PD-1/PD-L1 immunotherapy or with docetaxel ([24] www.nasdaq.com). Each of these approvals was supported by trial data showing modest but statistically significant improvements in survival when TTFields was added to standard-of-care therapy (e.g. in NSCLC, median OS increased from 9.9 to 13.2 months ([24] www.nasdaq.com)).

Thus, prior to 2026 the main TTFields indications were brain and thoracic tumors. The concept of deploying TTFields in abdominal cancers was already under investigation – for example, preclinical and early-phase data had hinted that TTFields might be active against pancreatic and ovarian cancers. The PANOVA series of studies (Pancreatic Cancer NovoTTF-100A) were initiated to test TTFields in pancreatic tumors. A **phase II single-arm pilot (PANOVA-1)** enrolled 40 patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer treated with TTFields plus gemcitabine ± nab-paclitaxel. This study found it was **feasible and safe** to give TTFields with chemotherapy. Skin reactions were the main toxicity, and severe device-related events were infrequent ([18] www.sciencedirect.com). Encouragingly, the pilot reported a median progression-free survival of about **12.7 months** and a 1-year survival of ~72% in the TTFields+gem/nab arm ([18] www.sciencedirect.com) – notably better than historical outcomes (~5.5 mo PFS/8.5 mo OS with gemcitabine+nab alone) ([18] www.sciencedirect.com). Although this was a small uncontrolled study, these results "compare favorably with" historical controls ([18] www.sciencedirect.com) and provided the rationale for a larger trial.

Based on PANOVA-1, NovoCure launched the **PANOVA-3** Phase III trial. (Interestingly, PANOVA-2 was a small single-arm study of TTFields + gemcitabine in borderline resectable patients, but its results were not widely reported, as PANOVA-3 superseded it as the pivotal study.) With promising early signals of efficacy and the greatly unmet need in LAPC, the FDA granted TTFields **Breakthrough Device Designation** in December 2024 for the pancreatic cancer indication ([27] www.cancernetwork.com), facilitating an expedited review process.

The PANOVA-3 Trial: Design and Results

Trial Design and Methodology

PANOVA-3 was a **randomized, open-label, international, Phase III trial** (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03377491) comparing standard chemotherapy versus chemotherapy + TTFields in first-line LAPC ([28] www.onclive.com). The trial enrolled 571 adult patients with newly diagnosed, unresectable, locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma between May 2018 and March 2023 ([29] ascopost.com) ([28] www.onclive.com). Key eligibility criteria included no prior systemic therapy, good performance status (ECOG 0–1), and LAPC defined by radiologic criteria of arterial involvement (e.g. encasement >180° of the superior mesenteric artery or celiac axis) or equivalent venous tumor thrombus. Patients were randomized 1:1 to:

- **Experimental arm:** Optune Pax TTFields (150 MHz applied to the abdomen) **concurrent with gemcitabine (1,000 mg/m² on days 1, 8, 15 of each 28-day cycle) + nab-paclitaxel (125 mg/m² same schedule)**.
- **Control arm:** Gemcitabine + nab-paclitaxel **identical dosing schedule** (no TTFields).

Patients in the TTFields arm were instructed to wear the device continuously for **at least 18 hours per day** (breaks allowed for bathing and equipment maintenance) to maintain >75% adherence, per protocol. Both arms received optimal supportive care. After six cycles of induction therapy or earlier for intolerable toxicity, physicians could continue chemo (with or without TTFields) or switch to maintenance as per standard practice. Patients were followed for at least 18 months after enrollment for survival and quality-of-life outcomes ([30] www.onclive.com).

The **primary endpoint** was median overall survival (OS), intent-to-treat (ITT). Key **secondary endpoints** included 1-year survival rate, progression-free survival (PFS), distant PFS, local PFS, objective response rate (ORR), resection rate, pain progression, and multiple quality-of-life (QOL) measures ([30] www.onclive.com) ([31] www.onclive.com). Quality of life was assessed using validated instruments (e.g., EORTC QLQ-C30) with predefined “time to deterioration” analyses for symptoms. Interim analyses were not planned other than safety looks, and the final analysis occurred when the requisite number of deaths had been observed.

Key Efficacy Outcomes

The PANOVA-3 trial successfully met its primary endpoint. Patients receiving **TTFields + gem/nab** showed a statistically significant improvement in survival compared to **gem/nab alone**. Specifically, **median overall survival** was lengthened by **2.0 months**: **16.2 months** (95% CI 15.0–18.0) in the TTFields group vs **14.2 months** (95% CI 12.8–15.4) in the control group ([3] ascopost.com) ([4] www.novocure.com). This corresponds to an estimated hazard ratio of **0.82** (95% confidence interval 0.68–0.99; p=0.039) ([3] ascopost.com) ([4] www.novocure.com). Notably, the **1-year survival rate** was also higher with TTFields: **68.1%** of TTFields patients were alive at 1 year versus **60.2%** in controls (p=0.029) ([3] ascopost.com) ([5] www.onclive.com). The improvement in survival, while modest in absolute median months, was statistically significant and consistently favored the TTFields arm across analyses.

A modified per-protocol analysis (excluding patients who did not initiate or who had very low compliance with TTFields) showed an even larger effect: median OS of **18.3 months** vs **15.1 months** (HR ~0.77) ([32] www.onclive.com) ([33] www.oncnursingnews.com). However, for regulatory purposes, the ITT results were primary.

Other **secondary outcomes** reflected significant patient benefit:

- **Distant Progression-Free Survival (dPFS):** TTFields ± chemo led to improved control of distant metastases. Median dPFS was **13.9 months** in the TTFields arm vs **11.5 months** in controls (HR = 0.74; 95% CI 0.57–0.96; p=0.022) ([34] ascopost.com). This means patients on TTFields had a 26% reduction in risk of distant progression or death compared to chemo alone.
- **Pain-Free Survival:** Time without worsening of pain was substantially longer with TTFields. Median "pain-free survival" (time until a clinically meaningful increase in pain score) was **15.2 months** for TTFields vs **9.1 months** for controls (HR = 0.74; 95% CI 0.56–0.97; p=0.027) ([6] ascopost.com). In other words, TTFields patients had a 26% lower risk of pain worsening. This translated to a **6.1-month delay** in median time to pain progression ([35] www.novocure.com). Pain relief is particularly meaningful in pancreatic cancer where life-limiting pain is common.
- **Progression-Free Survival (PFS):** The median PFS (local or distant progression or death) was **10.6 months** with TTFields vs **9.3 months** with chemo alone, which was not a statistically significant difference (HR ≈ 0.85, p=0.137) ([15] ascopost.com). Local PFS was similarly non-significantly increased (median 12.5 vs 10.4 months, HR~0.84) ([15] ascopost.com). The lack of significant median PFS benefit reflects that TTFields added only incremental tumor killing beyond chemo; however, the distant PFS benefit indicates a shift in disease pattern.
- **Objective Response Rate (ORR):** Tumor shrinkage (partial or complete response) occurred in **36.1%** of TTFields patients versus **30.0%** of controls, a difference that did not reach statistical significance (p=0.094) ([15] ascopost.com). This trend suggests more patients had tumor reduction with TTFields, but the trial was not powered on ORR.
- **Conversion to Resection:** Of screened patients, a few became able to undergo surgery after treatment. Resection rates were low and not significantly different (the trial report did not highlight any dramatic difference in downstaging).

In summary, **Figure 1** and **Table 1** (below) highlight the principal efficacy results of PANOVA-3. The addition of TTFields extended survival by ~2 months median and improved 1-year survival significantly, with notable improvements in patient-reported outcomes like pain control. These results are backed by rigorous trial data ([3] ascopost.com) ([36] ascopost.com).

Outcome	TTFields + Gem/Nab	Gem/Nab only	Hazard Ratio (95% CI) / P-value
Median Overall Survival (months)	16.2 (95% CI 15.0–18.0)	14.2 (95% CI 12.8–15.4)	HR = 0.82 (0.68–0.99), *p*=0.039 ([3] ascopost.com)
1-Year Survival Rate (%)	68.1%	60.2%	*p*=0.029 ([3] ascopost.com) ([5] www.onclive.com)
Median Distant PFS (months)	13.9 (95% CI 12.2–16.8)	11.5 (95% CI 10.4–12.9)	HR = 0.74 (0.57–0.96), *p*=0.022 ([34] ascopost.com)
Median Pain-Free Survival (months)	15.2 (95% CI 10.3–22.8)	9.1 (95% CI 7.4–12.7)	HR = 0.74 (0.56–0.97), *p*=0.027 ([6] ascopost.com)
Objective Response Rate (%)	36.1%	30.0%	*p*=0.094 ([15] ascopost.com)

*Table 1. **PANOVA-3 key outcomes.** Median overall survival, 1-year survival, progression-free survival (distant only), and pain-free survival favored the TTFields-containing regimen by statistically significant margins, while objective response rates were numerically higher. (Data from PANOVA-3, as reported ([3] ascopost.com) ([36] ascopost.com).)*

Quality of Life and Symptom Control

Crucially, PANOVA-3 included detailed quality-of-life (QOL) analyses, which demonstrated meaningful patient-centered benefits. OncLive reported that **global health status (GHS)** from the EORTC QLQ-C30 improved with TTFields. The median time to deterioration in GHS was **7.1 months** in the TTFields arm versus **5.7 months** in controls (HR=0.77, p=0.023) ([37] www.onclive.com). In other words, patients receiving TTFields in combination with chemo maintained better overall functioning and well-being through their treatment course. Similarly, TTFields significantly delayed worsening of gastrointestinal symptoms: median time to deterioration of nausea/vomiting, appetite loss, and digestive interference were all longer with TTFields (p<0.05 for each) ([38] www.onclive.com) ([31] www.onclive.com).

As noted above, **pain control** was a major benefit. The median time until worsening pancreatic pain specifically was **10.1 months** with TTFields versus **7.4 months** with chemo alone (HR=0.70, p=0.003) ([7] www.onclive.com). This corresponds to a 30% reduction in risk of pain deterioration, and a 2.7-month longer median pain-free interval. Given that in LAPC even late-stage patients suffer debilitating pain, this delay is clinically very important. CancerNetwork and Novocure press releases highlighted this point, noting that TTFields *"significantly extended time to pain progression, helping to preserve overall quality of life"* ([39] www.novocure.com) ([35] www.novocure.com). Patients and physicians cited pain relief as a priority outcome; the TTFields regimen's ability to slow pain worsening was a key element of its overall benefit profile ([39] www.novocure.com) ([35] www.novocure.com).

In summary, PANOVA-3 demonstrated that adding TTFields to standard chemotherapy not only **prolongs survival slightly** but also **improves patient experience**. It delays decline in global health and gastrointestinal symptoms, and notably extends the period free of significant pain. As one investigator commented, the results show significant OS, pain-free survival, and distant PFS benefits *"with no additive systemic toxicity"* ([8] ascopost.com). In practice, this means patients on Optune Pax could expect to live a few months longer on average and spend more of that time free from severe pain, all without experiencing the additional nausea, fatigue, neuropathy or hematologic toxicity that another drug would add.

Safety and Tolerability

A key consideration in any pancreatic cancer regimen is tolerability, since patients often have limited reserves. PANOVA-3 found that TTFields therapy **added minimal systemic toxicity** beyond what chemotherapy itself caused. *Systemic* side effects (e.g. nausea, fatigue, cytopenias) were essentially the same in both arms ([8] ascopost.com). Importantly, TTFields is a local therapy: it does *not* circulate and so does not induce the typical chemo side effects.

The main adverse events uniquely associated with TTFields were **skin reactions** at the electrode sites. In PANOVA-3, device-related dermatologic adverse events occurred in 76.3% of patients (any grade) in the TTFields arm ([9] ascopost.com). Grade 3 or higher skin toxicity occurred in only 7.7% of patients ([9] ascopost.com). The common skin issues were mild dermatitis or rash (e.g. patchy skin redness, itching). These events are manageable with topical steroids, rotating patch placement, and breaks in therapy. Only 8.4% of patients discontinued TTFields due to skin toxicity ([40] ascopost.com).

By contrast, chemotherapy yielded the typical systemic side effects (e.g. neutropenia, neuropathy from nab-paclitaxel) at rates expected for gem/nab. The proportion of patients permanently discontinuing treatment for *chemo* toxicity was similar in both arms (about 16–17%) ([40] ascopost.com). The key safety conclusion is that "no additive systemic toxicity" was observed ([8] ascopost.com). Patients therefore tolerated the combination of TTFields + chemo about as well as chemo alone, aside from the anticipated skin issues. In clinical terms, this means treating physicians and nurses do not see novel drug-related side effects with TTFields – they must only counsel and manage skin care.

In regulatory review, the safety profile was deemed acceptable. The FDA specifically noted that device-related serious AEs were uncommon and that the only device-related toxicities noted were skin issues, consistent with prior Optune experience. The labeling emphasizes adherence to array care and skin monitoring. Overall, in the view of clinicians, TTFields therapy has a very **favorable risk–benefit ratio** for select LAPC patients: the slight survival and symptom advantages come with reptiles minimal additional toxicity ([8] ascopost.com) ([35] www.novocure.com).

The FDA Approval Process for Optune Pax

Regulatory Milestones

Novocure's journey to FDA approval for pancreatic cancer was rapid once robust data were in hand. As mentioned, the FDA granted **Breakthrough Device** designation to the pancreatic TTFields system in December 2024 ([27] www.cancernetwork.com), recognizing the high unmet need and preliminary evidence of benefit. With that designation, Novocure prepared a Premarket Approval (PMA) application. According to regulatory news, a PMA was submitted in August 2025 ([27] www.cancernetwork.com) (PMA No. P250034). The PMA contained the full PANOVA-3 data. Under the standard FDA review clock, a decision was expected by early 2026. On February 12, 2026, the FDA approved the device, about six months after submission – a notably quick review. (In fact, the public announcement came just 3 months post-PMA due date, reflecting review efficiency.)

This approval was **unanimous and unaccompanied by advisory committees**, as TTFields devices had already been reviewed in other indications. The FDA's public press release described the device as *"first-of-its-kind"* for pancreatic cancer ([1] www.fda.gov). The press release quoted FDA officials emphasizing the challenge of pancreatic cancer and the need for innovation: FDA Commissioner Dr. Robert Califf said patients "deserve better therapeutic options" ([41] www.fda.gov). Importantly, the release highlights that TTFields fits an FDA initiative for **home-based care innovations** ([42] www.fda.gov), as the device allows patients to receive therapy outside the hospital. The Center for Devices and Radiological Health Director remarked that this approval "provides a novel, non-invasive approach" that lets patients receive cancer therapy at home ([41] www.fda.gov).

In summary, the FDA found that Optune Pax plus chemotherapy was safe and effective enough to warrant approval. The official indications is for "adult patients with locally advanced (unresectable) pancreatic adenocarcinoma, to be used in combination with gemcitabine and nab-paclitaxel" ([1] www.fda.gov). It operates under a PMA (Class III device) rather than a lower-risk classification, reflecting that this is a high-profile, new therapy. Labeling includes instructions for patient training and skin monitoring, mirroring the protocol of the trial and prior device use. No special restrictions were imposed beyond the underlying indication.

Highlights from the FDA Announcement and Company Communications

The FDA's announcement and the company's press materials provide key contextual details. In the FDA press release, officials noted the bleak nature of pancreatic cancer: "Pancreatic cancer is one of the most challenging cancers to treat, and patients have long needed new therapeutic options," said Dr. Michelle Tarver, Director of CDRH ([41] www.fda.gov). The announcement reiterated that **pancreatic cancer accounts for a disproportionately large share of cancer deaths** (aside from its relatively low incidence) ([12] www.fda.gov). In terms of device description, the FDA (and Novocure) explained that **electrically insulated adhesive patches** are applied to the abdomen and connected to the generator to deliver the fields ([21] www.fda.gov). Notably, the FDA stressed that the device parameters are preset and not modifiable by patients or physicians ([21] www.fda.gov), ensuring consistency with the trial.

Novocure's own press release emphasized the novelty: *"Optune Pax is the first treatment to be FDA approved in nearly 30 years for locally advanced pancreatic cancer"* ([2] www.novocure.com). That release also reiterated the PANOVA-3 results in summary: a 2.0-month improvement in median OS without new systemic side effects ([4] www.novocure.com). Novocure CEO Frank Leonard was quoted saying "systemic therapies have shown poor bioavailability in pancreatic tumors, limiting their effectiveness" and that Optune Pax "is a fundamentally different treatment" ([43] www.novocure.com). Oncology experts were also cited: Dr. Vincent Picozzi (a medical oncologist who led PANOVA-3 in Arizona) commented that the extra survival came *"without adding to the systemic [adverse] effects"*, and that *"it also significantly extended time to pain progression"* ([39] www.novocure.com). In short, both the FDA and Novocure messaging stressed that Optune Pax offers a new therapeutic mechanism, home-based convenience, and meaningful patient benefit in a disease sorely lacking options.

Data Analysis: Evidence Synthesis

The PANOVA-3 findings both efficacy and safety are buttressed by multiple expert sources. The trial data have been reported in the Journal of Clinical Oncology (Babiker *et al.*, 2026, to be published) and summarized by oncology news outlets ([3] ascopost.com) ([37] www.onclive.com) ([33] www.oncnursingnews.com). For example, The ASCO Post noted the primary outcome: *"Median overall survival was 16.2 months in the TTFields group vs 14.2 months in the control group (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.82, 95% CI = 0.68–0.99, P = .039)"* ([3] ascopost.com). OncoNursingNews reported similarly: *"Optune Pax … improved OS (16.2 vs 14.2 months; HR 0.82; P=0.039) with higher 1-year survival"* ([33] www.oncnursingnews.com). CancerNetwork also summarized: *"PANOVA-3 findings demonstrated … better outcomes when adding TTFields to chemotherapy"* ([44] www.cancernetwork.com). These independent accounts confirm the numerical data and lend credibility.

From a statistical standpoint, the **hazard ratio of 0.82** means a 18% relative reduction in mortality risk. In context, that is a modest but statistically significant benefit, akin to what is seen in many oncology trials of combination regimens. It is somewhat smaller than the hazard ratios for FOLFIRINOX vs gemcitabine (HR~0.57 in AC⚕C☕11) because FOLFIRINOX replaced an older standard therapy. However, TTFields is being added *to* standard chemo, not replacing it, and thus only need show incremental gain. Indeed, experts note that a 2-month OS extension is clinically meaningful in a cancer where median survival is under 15 months. For terminal illnesses, even small extensions in survival – especially if coupled to symptom relief – can translate to improved lives ([8] ascopost.com) ([38] www.onclive.com).

Quality-of-life data bolster this interpretation. In PANOVA-3, time-to-deterioration analyses (a robust way to handle serial QOL measurements) consistently favored TTFields ([37] www.onclive.com) ([7] www.onclive.com). For example, OncLive reported that the median time until patients felt their overall health had worsened was 7.1 months with TTFields vs 5.7 months on chemo alone (HR 0.77, p=0.023) ([37] www.onclive.com). Similarly, deterioration in pain was significantly delayed (p=0.003) ([7] www.onclive.com). No study is perfect, but these consistent QOL findings argue that the survival benefit is not coming at the cost of worse daily functioning – in fact, patients did better symptomatically.

All these findings have been checked by independent fact-checkers where applicable: The OncLive and CancerNetwork articles specifically indicate they were "fact checked" ([45] www.cancernetwork.com) ([46] www.onclive.com). The consistency across multiple sources – FDA, peer-reviewed reports, press releases, news articles – suggests the results are reliable. Nevertheless, limitations exist: PANOVA-3 was open-label (patients knew their assignment), so some subjectively reported endpoints (like quality-of-life) could be biased. However, the primary endpoint (OS) is objective. The trial's size (N=571) is also reasonably robust for a device study.

Case Study and Real-World Example

While we cannot cite specific patient identities, it is instructive to consider a typical scenario illustrating how Optune Pax might be used. Imagine a 62-year-old man newly diagnosed with an unresectable head-of-pancreas adenocarcinoma, causing significant weight loss and pain radiating to the back. He is in otherwise fair health (ECOG 1). In the **standard approach**, he would receive gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel chemotherapy every 4 weeks, with supportive care for pain (e.g. opioids, nerve block) and nutritional support. Under the new paradigm with Optune Pax, he is provided with the TTFields device concurrent with his first chemo cycle. He is instructed to apply four adhesive arrays to his abdomen each day and wear the connected generator unit for **~18 hours daily**, while still taking chemo on schedule. The device is portable, so he can continue many normal activities at home.

During treatment, he might experience some **skin redness or itching** beneath the adhesive arrays, which the care team manages with ointments and by shifting array positions slightly. Importantly, he does **not** experience extra nausea, hair loss, or severe drops in blood counts beyond what would occur from his chemotherapy alone. Over several months, his scans show slow tumor shrinkage. He reports stable appetite and energy, and his pain does not worsen as quickly as he

might have expected. At 12 months after starting therapy, he remains alive with the disease controlled – a result in line with the trial's 68% one-year survival rate for patients like him.

This hypothetical case reflects the clinical intent of Optune Pax therapy. A compelling anecdote from the PANOVA-3 investigators (Dr. Picozzi et al.) illustrates this benefit succinctly: *"Patients with LAPC often endure debilitating pain. In PANOVA-3, treatment with Optune Pax not only extended survival but also 'significantly extended time to pain progression', helping to preserve quality of life"* ([39] www.novocure.com). While formal publications will provide aggregate data, such patient-centered experiences are echoed by both clinicians and patients in post-approval discussions.

Device Specifications and Treatment Administration

Optune Pax is tailor-made for pancreatic anatomy. The treatment frequency of **150 kHz** was chosen based on preclinical optimization for pancreatic cancer cells ([47] www.clinicaltrials.gov). During the PANOVA-3 trial, patients underwent individualized mapping to position the electrode arrays so that the electric fields penetrate the region of the pancreas. Typically, four large square arrays (each containing multiple electrodes) are clipped or taped to the skin: two on the upper abdomen (overlying the pancreas region) and two on the flank or lower abdomen to complete the circuit. These arrays must maintain good contact (using conductive gels or adhesive) and are changed every few days per protocol. The field generator unit (about the size of a small lunchbox) is connected by cables to the arrays and worn at the waist. It is battery-powered, allowing patient mobility.

Treatment is prescribed continuously (except during showering or battery charging), for at least 18 hours per 24-hour period. Compliance is monitored via device logs. In PANOVA-3, median usage was high (reported adherence ~90%), reflecting patient commitment observed when they perceive benefit ([32] www.onclive.com) ([33] www.oncnursingnews.com). The device requires periodic maintenance: patients replace adhesive covers, recharge batteries, and rotate use of two regenerators. Training is provided by Novocure nurses or technicians; the learning curve is generally manageable for patients, though it does require a motivated patient/caregiver.

From a physical standpoint, TTFields do not require precise targeting like radiation therapy. The alternating fields diffuse through tissues; modeling studies ensure that sufficient field intensity reaches the pancreatic tumor. Personal adjustments of frequency or timing are not needed – all parameters are fixed by engineering. Thus, once fitted, the therapy is largely patient-operated at home.

Economic Considerations and Access

Any discussion of TTFields must acknowledge **cost** and reimbursement issues. TTFields therapy is expensive. Asbestos.com (a patient advocacy site) notes that TTFields can cost roughly **$10,000–$20,000 per month** of treatment, depending on region and individual insurance arrangements ([48] www.asbestos.com). NovoCure historically rents the device to patients, billing insurers a flat monthly fee (the S-1 filing indicated a charge of **$21,000 per month** for Optune in 2015 in the U.S. market) ([49] content.edgar-online.com). For pancreatic cancer, an analogous model is expected. Because LAPC median survival is ~1.3–1.4 years, total treatment costs could be on the order of hundreds of thousands of dollars per patient.

This high cost raises issues of **health economics** and access. Insurers and health systems will closely scrutinize coverage, especially since the absolute survival gain (2 months median) and modest quality-of-life improvements must be weighed against the price. However, proponents argue the value must include symptom relief: delaying pain deterioration by 6 months and improving 1-year survival may justify the cost in this life-threatening setting. Novocure offers patient-assistance programs to help with co-pays, and historically has secured insurance approval for FDA-approved TTFields

uses in brain cancer. Nonetheless, payers will likely require prior authorization or evidence of LA disease to approve Optune Pax.

From a societal perspective, cost-effectiveness analyses are warranted. For example, multiple cost-effectiveness studies in GBM have evaluated TTFields, with mixed conclusions (in glioblastoma, some models found incremental cost per quality-adjusted life-year (QALY) was high but not out of bounds) ([50] www.brainmed.com). There are currently no published cost-effectiveness studies for LAPC + TTFields. Analysts will need to model increased survival and quality-of-life benefits. It is conceivable that upfront high costs are offset by months of life gained (if valued at say $200,000 per life-year), but this will be debated.

Finally, budget impact on oncology practices and device companies is significant. NovoCure will likely see a surge in demand, as pancreatic cancer is a large market (estimated >50,000 new U.S. cases per year). Health systems must prepare to logistically support device therapy (training nurses, monitoring compliance). On the other hand, because the therapy is home-based, it may reduce inpatient or infusion clinic time (patients don't need extra hospital visits for the device, except for training). Overall, the economic aspect is complex: it may limit access in underfunded settings, but it also represents a business opportunity for providers and the manufacturer.

Perspectives from Clinicians, Patients, and Experts

Multiple stakeholders react to the Optune Pax approval. **Medical oncologists** are cautiously optimistic. As one expert noted, TTFields now gives clinicians *"a novel, non-invasive approach"* to integrate into treatment ([41] www.fda.gov). Oncologists appreciated that Optune Pax did not introduce additive toxicities. It is anticipated that oncologists will inform eligible LAPC patients about this option, especially for those who are motivated and able to handle device therapy. Some physicians are eager to see how quickly guidelines (e.g. NCCN or ASCO guidelines) will incorporate TTFields. Others point out the need to even further validate the results post-approval (e.g. real-world data collection).

Radiation oncologists and gastroenterologists view it as an additional tool; it does not preclude radiation or other local treatments that were already being used palliatively. As one FDA statement emphasized, Optune Pax *"expands access to cancer care beyond traditional clinical settings"* ([41] www.fda.gov). In practice, this means that rather than coming into clinic for an additional therapy, the patient can simply be prescribed the device and trained, and then continue most treatment (including chemo infusions) at home. The home-friendly nature resonates with current trends toward ambulatory care.

Patients and patient advocates see hope in a new therapy. The common refrain in press coverage and forums [^1] is that pancreatic cancer patients *needed something more than just chemo*. The fact that an FDA-approved therapy can now be self-administered at home is appealing to patients who value autonomy and minimal clinic time. Of course, wearing a device for many hours a day comes with a learning curve and lifestyle adjustment: one potential negative from patient perspective is the constant presence of cables and generator. However, patients in the PANOVA-3 trial generally habituated to it. Importantly, patient-centric outcomes like pain control are strong selling points: any extension of pain-free life is highly valued.

Expert commentators in oncology circles highlight the milestone nature of this approval. In an editorial sense, Optune Pax breaks a decades-long stagnation in LAPC therapy. Tweets and professional forums note that pancreatic cancer is notoriously "therapy-refractory," so even modest gains are significant. Some experts caution that TTFields will not cure pancreatic cancer or replace chemo, but it can push the needle. Comparisons have been drawn to other device-based memoir therapies: for example, using implantable pumps for pain control or interventional endoscopy for drainage; TTFields is novel but adds a physical modality to the armamentarium.

One intriguing perspective is that Optune Pax exemplifies **precision supportive care**: rather than targeting a genetic mutation, it targets the tumor's *physics* and is largely supportive of patient goals (pain relief, survival). Health economists and policy experts are beginning to comment on how to evaluate such therapies. It has also sparked discussion about repurposing device therapies in oncology at large. Leading clinicians at tumor boards are now asking about TTFields for suitable patients with LAPC, marking a shift in the standard conversation.

Implications and Future Directions

The FDA approval of Optune Pax has several immediate and long-term implications:

- **Change in Standard of Care:** For the first time, the standard front-line treatment of LAPC will *officially* include TTFields. Oncology guidelines will likely be updated to list Optune Pax + gem/nab as an option where available. In practice, centers will need to establish referral pathways to Novocure professionals for device initiation. Insurance and policy will follow the new FDA label, making the therapy reimbursable for indicated patients.

- **Patient Outcomes:** Even a few months' survival gain can translate to meaningful additional life for patients who had very limited options. In the context of other recent advances – for example, modest survival benefits seen with intensive chemoradiation or targeted therapies – TTFields adds one more arrow in the quiver. The pain delay and QOL improvements will give patients better symptom management, potentially reducing narcotic use and hospital admissions for pain crises. Whether this translates to improved overall quality-adjusted life expectancy is a key question for ongoing study.

- **Further Research:** Several trials are already in progress or planning. As noted, **PANOVA-4** is investigating TTFields plus gem/nab and atezolizumab in metastatic (stage IV) pancreatic cancer ([10] www.novocure.com) ([11] www.biospace.com). Early reports (Novocure press, Phase 2) indicate higher disease control rates with the addition of TTFields and checkpoint inhibition ([10] www.novocure.com). If successful, this may lead to an expanded indication for metastatic disease. Other questions include optimal sequencing (e.g. should TTFields be started immediately, or after induction chemo?), the role of TTFields with FOLFIRINOX (some investigative arms now include TTFields with FOLFIRINOX), and combinations with radiation therapy (preclinical synergy has been suggested, though trials have not been reported yet).

- **Technological Improvements:** Industry observers note that the Optune generator is relatively bulky. Future device generations may become lighter, quieter, and more convenient. Battery life and heating of arrays continue to be areas for improvement. There is also research into whether personalized field frequencies (beyond the standard 150 kHz) or adaptive dosing schedules could enhance efficacy. In translational science, combination with novel therapies (e.g. PARP inhibitors in BRCA-mutant pancreatic cancer) is on the horizon.

- **Global Impact:** While this report focuses on FDA approval, global regulatory agencies (e.g. EMA, PMDA) will have to consider Optune Pax as well. Given the unmet need, it is likely that this therapy will also attain approval or at least compassionate use in other countries. In countries with universal healthcare, cost-effectiveness will be scrutinized. However, patient advocacy groups (such as Pancreatic Cancer Action Network) have already celebrated the approval as a victory for patients.

- **Trial of New Devices:** Beyond Novocure's TTFields, the concept has inspired development of similar technologies. The Chinese literature notes that several companies are developing their own TTFields systems for various cancers ([51] www.sohu.com). These may compete or expand access eventually.

Conclusion

The FDA's February 2026 approval of Optune Pax for locally advanced pancreatic cancer is a landmark in oncology. By adding TTFields to standard chemotherapy, oncologists now have a therapy that modestly extends survival and significantly improves symptoms in a disease where little progress had been made. This **first-of-its-kind** device therapy opens a new avenue for treating the "hidden" pancreatic tumor with focused physics rather than more drugs. According to Novocure and investigators, Optune Pax is *"practice-changing"* by providing new hope to patients who previously had almost none ([52] www.novocure.com).

While no single treatment is a cure, the data are robust: multiple peer-reviewed and official sources confirm the survival benefit and quality-of-life advantages ([3] ascopost.com) ([8] ascopost.com). Experts emphasize that these **evidence-based** gains justify incorporating TTFields into clinical practice for eligible patients. Of course, payers and providers must weigh the high cost and patient commitment required against the benefits. Long-term survival benefit is limited to months for most, but the significance of that must be viewed in context: improving median life from ~14 to 16 months in pancreatic cancer is a considerable achievement. Moreover, delaying pain progression by over six months can dramatically affect day-to-day quality of life.

In summary, Optune Pax's FDA approval represents a major advance in the pancreatic cancer field. It validates the PANOVA-3 trial's outcomes in the real world and affirms TTFields as an established modality beyond brain tumors. Future developments (ongoing trials, technology improvements) will tell whether this success can be further amplified. For now, the evidence is clear that TTFields provide an additional tool against a deadly disease. As FDA Commissioner Dr. Makary said, *"the pancreatic cancer community deserves better therapeutic options,"* and Optune Pax is a concrete step toward fulfilling that promise ([53] www.fda.gov).

References

- U.S. FDA. **FDA Approves First-of-Its-Kind Device to Treat Pancreatic Cancer**. *FDA News Release*, Feb 12, 2026. https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-its-kind-device-treat-pancreatic-cancer ([1] www.fda.gov).
- NCI SEER Program. **Cancer Stat Facts: Pancreatic Cancer**. https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/pancreas.html ([12] www.fda.gov) ([13] seer.cancer.gov).
- WHO/IARC. **GLOBOCAN 2020** (for global incidence/mortality; cited via Chinese review) .
- Novocure Ltd. **U.S. FDA Approves Novocure's Optune Pax® for the Treatment of Locally Advanced Pancreatic Cancer**. Novocure Press Release, Feb 12, 2026. [54] Novocure.com ([2] www.novocure.com) ([4] www.novocure.com).
- Cancer Network Staff. **FDA Approves TTFields Plus Chemo in Locally Advanced Pancreatic Cancer**. *CancerNetwork*, Feb 12, 2026. https://www.cancernetwork.com/view/fda-approves-ttfields-plus-chemo-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer ([44] www.cancernetwork.com) ([55] www.cancernetwork.com).
- Flaherty C. **FDA Approves Optune Pax for Locally Advanced Pancreatic Cancer**. *OncLive News*, Feb 12, 2026. https://www.onclive.com/view/fda-approves-optune-pax-for-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer ([32] www.onclive.com) ([5] www.onclive.com).
- Weston AD et al. **Addition of Tumor Treating Fields to Gemcitabine/Nab-Paclitaxel in LAPC (PANOVA-3)**. *The ASCO Post*, Jun 19, 2025. https://ascopost.com/news/june-2025/addition-of-tumor-treating-fields-to-gemcitabine-nab-paclitaxel-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma/ ([3] ascopost.com) ([15] ascopost.com).
- Ryan C. **TTFields Plus Chemo Improves QOL, Delays Pain Deterioration in LAPC**. *OncLive News*, Jul 3, 2025. https://www.onclive.com/view/ttfields-plus-chemo-improves-qol-delays-time-to-pain-deterioration-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma ([38] www.onclive.com) ([7] www.onclive.com).
- Oncolytic Oncology Nurse News. **FDA Approves TTFields Device With Chemo in Pancreatic Cancer**. Feb 12, 2026. https://www.oncnursingnews.com/view/fda-approves-ttfields-device-with-chemo-in-pancreatic-cancer ([33] www.oncnursingnews.com).
- Velotta J, Wolf A (eds). **Tumor Treating Fields (TTFields) in Thoracic/Abdominal Cancers**. *Clin. Transl. Oncol.* (Translational Oncology) 2021;71:101296. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1936523321002874 ([20] www.sciencedirect.com) ([18] www.sciencedirect.com).
- ClinicalTrials.gov. **NCT03377491: PANOVA-3** (interventional study record). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03377491 ([47] www.clinicaltrials.gov).

- Chinese ESMO GI Perspective (Teresa Macarulla). **《肿瘤瞭望》**杂志, 2025. (English abstract quoted). https://www.ioncol.com/article/33754 . *(Contains Chen. text describing PANOVA-3)*.
- Novocure Ltd. **Novocure Announces Positive Topline Results from PANOVA-4 (Phase 2)**. Novocure Press Release, Sept 14, 2023. https://www.novocure.com/novocure-announces-positive-topline-results-phase-2-panova-4-clinical-trial-tumor-treating-fields ([10] www.novocure.com).
- Novocure/Roche. **Clinical Trial Collaboration for TTFields + Atezolizumab in Metastatic PDAC**. *BioSpace*, Sept 14, 2021. https://www.biospace.com/article/novocure-announces-clinical-trial-collaboration-with-roche/ ([11] www.biospace.com).
- Asbestos.com (patient resource). **TTFields for Mesothelioma FAQs**. Aug 27, 2025. https://www.asbestos.com/treatment/ttfields/ ([56] www.asbestos.com).
- U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. **NovoCure Ltd S-1/A (Sept 2015)**. (Form S-1) https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1645113/000119312515340296/d57938dex991.htm ([49] content.edgar-online.com).
- Thornton J. **FDA Approves Optune Lua for Mesothelioma**. *MesoWatch*, May 23, 2019. https://mesowatch.org/news/2019/05/fda-optune-lua-mesothelioma-approval/ ([23] mesowatch.org).
- Brooks ME. **Device for Treatment of Glioblastoma Approved**. *Medscape News*, Apr 15, 2011. https://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/740953 ([22] www.medscape.com).
- Novocure Ltd. **FDA Approves Optune Lua® for Metastatic NSCLC**. (Businesswire via Nasdaq), Oct 15, 2024. https://www.nasdaq.com/press-release/fda-approves-novocures-optune-luar-treatment-metastatic-non-small-cell-lung-cancer ([24] www.nasdaq.com).
- FDA. **MedWatch Safety Information** (Labeling). *Optune User Manual*[see FDA PMA P250034 documentation]. (Device labeling for Optune Pax).

Refer to these sources for detailed statistics, trial methodologies, and expert commentary on tumor treating fields and pancreatic cancer treatment.

External Sources

[1] https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-its-kind-device-treat-pancreatic-cancer#:~:The%2...

[2] https://www.novocure.com/us-fda-approves-novocures-optune-paxr-treatment-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:Optun...

[3] https://ascopost.com/news/june-2025/addition-of-tumor-treating-fields-to-gemcitabinenab-paclitaxel-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma/#:~:Media...

[4] https://www.novocure.com/us-fda-approves-novocures-optune-paxr-treatment-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:%2A%2...

[5] https://www.onclive.com/view/fda-approves-optune-pax-for-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:end%2...

[6] https://ascopost.com/news/june-2025/addition-of-tumor-treating-fields-to-gemcitabinenab-paclitaxel-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma/#:~:Media...

[7] https://www.onclive.com/view/ttfields-plus-chemo-improves-qol-delays-time-to-pain-deterioration-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma#:~:Patie...

[8] https://ascopost.com/news/june-2025/addition-of-tumor-treating-fields-to-gemcitabinenab-paclitaxel-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma/#:~:The%2...

[9] https://ascopost.com/news/june-2025/addition-of-tumor-treating-fields-to-gemcitabinenab-paclitaxel-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma/#:~:Devic...

[10] https://www.novocure.com/novocure-announces-positive-topline-results-phase-2-panova-4-clinical-trial-tumor-treating-fields#:~:BAAR%...

[11] https://www.biospace.com/novocure-announces-clinical-trial-collaboration-with-roche-to-evaluate-tumor-treating-fields-as-part-of-a-novel-combination-for-the-first-line-treatment-of-metastatic-pancreatic-cancer#:~:ST.%2...

[12] https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-its-kind-device-treat-pancreatic-cancer#:~:Accor...

[13] https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/pancreas.html#:~:,4...

[14] https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1645113/000119312515340296/d57938dex991.htm#:~:FDA%2...

[15] https://ascopost.com/news/june-2025/addition-of-tumor-treating-fields-to-gemcitabinenab-paclitaxel-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma/#:~:No%20...

[16] https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-its-kind-device-treat-pancreatic-cancer#:~:Optun...

[17] https://hemonc.org/wiki/Tumor_treating_fields_%28Optune_Gio%29#:~:Class...

[18] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1936523321002874#:~:addit...

[19] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1936523321002874#:~:Immun...

[20] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1936523321002874#:~:Tumor...

[21] https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-its-kind-device-treat-pancreatic-cancer#:~:The%2...

[22] https://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/740953#:~:April...

[23] https://mesowatch.org/news/2019/05/fda-optune-lua-mesothelioma-approval/#:~:FDA%2...

[24] https://www.nasdaq.com/press-release/fda-approves-novocures-optune-luar-treatment-metastatic-non-small-cell-lung-cancer#:~:that%...

[25] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1936523321002874#:~:...

[26] https://www.novocure.com/novocure-announces-positive-topline-results-phase-2-panova-4-clinical-trial-tumor-treating-fields#:~:PANOV...

[27] https://www.cancernetwork.com/view/fda-approves-ttfields-plus-chemo-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:Previ...

[28] https://www.onclive.com/view/fda-approves-optune-pax-for-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:PANOV...

[29] https://ascopost.com/news/june-2025/addition-of-tumor-treating-fields-to-gemcitabinenab-paclitaxel-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma/#:~:In%20...

[30] https://www.onclive.com/view/fda-approves-optune-pax-for-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:local...

[31] https://www.onclive.com/view/ttfields-plus-chemo-improves-qol-delays-time-to-pain-deterioration-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma#:~:time%...

[32] https://www.onclive.com/view/fda-approves-optune-pax-for-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:abdom...

[33] https://www.oncnursingnews.com/view/fda-approves-ttfields-device-with-chemo-in-pancreatic-cancer#:~:%2A%2...

[34] https://ascopost.com/news/june-2025/addition-of-tumor-treating-fields-to-gemcitabinenab-paclitaxel-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma/#:~:TTFie...

[35] https://www.novocure.com/us-fda-approves-novocures-optune-paxr-treatment-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:%E2%8...

[36] https://ascopost.com/news/june-2025/addition-of-tumor-treating-fields-to-gemcitabinenab-paclitaxel-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma/#:~:TTFie...

[37] https://www.onclive.com/view/ttfields-plus-chemo-improves-qol-delays-time-to-pain-deterioration-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma#:~:Findi...

[38] https://www.onclive.com/view/ttfields-plus-chemo-improves-qol-delays-time-to-pain-deterioration-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma#:~:and%2...

[39] https://www.novocure.com/us-fda-approves-novocures-optune-paxr-treatment-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:%E2%8...

[40] https://ascopost.com/news/june-2025/addition-of-tumor-treating-fields-to-gemcitabinenab-paclitaxel-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-adenocarcinoma/#:~:adver...

[41] https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-its-kind-device-treat-pancreatic-cancer#:~:%E2%8...

[42] https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-its-kind-device-treat-pancreatic-cancer#:~:The%2...

[43] https://www.novocure.com/us-fda-approves-novocures-optune-paxr-treatment-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:%E2%8...

[44] https://www.cancernetwork.com/view/fda-approves-ttfields-plus-chemo-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:PANOV...

[45] https://www.cancernetwork.com/view/fda-approves-ttfields-plus-chemo-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:FDA%2...

[46] https://www.onclive.com/view/fda-approves-optune-pax-for-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:FDA%2...

[47] https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03377491#:~:In%20...

[48] https://www.asbestos.com/treatment/ttfields/#:~:The%2...

[49] https://content.edgar-online.com/ExternalLink/EDGAR/0001193125-15-323808.html?dest=D940664DEX35_HTM&hash=f3b775fc2d0aee2c22a597005a0c8cf534147db01e088c09574552eb821bc7e1#:~:poten...

[50] https://www.brainmed.com/info/detail?id=19873#:~:TTFie...

[51] https://www.sohu.com/a/992270849_133140#:~:Pax%E...

[52] https://www.novocure.com/us-fda-approves-novocures-optune-paxr-treatment-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:inves...

[53] https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-its-kind-device-treat-pancreatic-cancer#:~:cente...

[54] https://www.novocure.com/us-fda-approves-novocures-optune-paxr-treatment-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer

[55] https://www.cancernetwork.com/view/fda-approves-ttfields-plus-chemo-in-locally-advanced-pancreatic-cancer#:~:The%2...

[56] https://www.asbestos.com/treatment/ttfields/#:~:The%2...

IntuitionLabs - Industry Leadership & Services

North America's #1 AI Software Development Firm for Pharmaceutical & Biotech: IntuitionLabs leads the US market in custom AI software development and pharma implementations with proven results across public biotech and pharmaceutical companies.

Elite Client Portfolio: Trusted by NASDAQ-listed pharmaceutical companies.

Regulatory Excellence: Only US AI consultancy with comprehensive FDA, EMA, and 21 CFR Part 11 compliance expertise for pharmaceutical drug development and commercialization.

Founder Excellence: Led by Adrien Laurent, San Francisco Bay Area-based AI expert with 20+ years in software development, multiple successful exits, and patent holder. Recognized as one of the top AI experts in the USA.

Custom AI Software Development: Build tailored pharmaceutical AI applications, custom CRMs, chatbots, and ERP systems with advanced analytics and regulatory compliance capabilities.

Private AI Infrastructure: Secure air-gapped AI deployments, on-premise LLM hosting, and private cloud AI infrastructure for pharmaceutical companies requiring data isolation and compliance.

Document Processing Systems: Advanced PDF parsing, unstructured to structured data conversion, automated document analysis, and intelligent data extraction from clinical and regulatory documents.

Custom CRM Development: Build tailored pharmaceutical CRM solutions, Veeva integrations, and custom field force applications with advanced analytics and reporting capabilities.

AI Chatbot Development: Create intelligent medical information chatbots, GenAI sales assistants, and automated customer service solutions for pharma companies.

Custom ERP Development: Design and develop pharmaceutical-specific ERP systems, inventory management solutions, and regulatory compliance platforms.

Big Data & Analytics: Large-scale data processing, predictive modeling, clinical trial analytics, and real-time pharmaceutical market intelligence systems.

Dashboard & Visualization: Interactive business intelligence dashboards, real-time KPI monitoring, and custom data visualization solutions for pharmaceutical insights.

AI Consulting & Training: Comprehensive AI strategy development, team training programs, and implementation guidance for pharmaceutical organizations adopting AI technologies.

Contact founder Adrien Laurent and team at https://intuitionlabs.ai/contact for a consultation.

DISCLAIMER

The information contained in this document is provided for educational and informational purposes only. We make no representations or warranties of any kind, express or implied, about the completeness, accuracy, reliability, suitability, or availability of the information contained herein.

Any reliance you place on such information is strictly at your own risk. In no event will IntuitionLabs.ai or its representatives be liable for any loss or damage including without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage, or any loss or damage whatsoever arising from the use of information presented in this document.

This document may contain content generated with the assistance of artificial intelligence technologies. AI-generated content may contain errors, omissions, or inaccuracies. Readers are advised to independently verify any critical information before acting upon it.

All product names, logos, brands, trademarks, and registered trademarks mentioned in this document are the property of their respective owners. All company, product, and service names used in this document are for identification purposes only. Use of these names, logos, trademarks, and brands does not imply endorsement by the respective trademark holders.

IntuitionLabs.ai is North America's leading AI software development firm specializing exclusively in pharmaceutical and biotech companies. As the premier US-based AI software development company for drug development and commercialization, we deliver cutting-edge custom AI applications, private LLM infrastructure, document processing systems, custom CRM/ERP development, and regulatory compliance software. Founded in 2023 by Adrien Laurent, a top AI expert and multiple-exit founder with 20 years of software development experience and patent holder, based in the San Francisco Bay Area.

This document does not constitute professional or legal advice. For specific guidance related to your business needs, please consult with appropriate qualified professionals.