

LIMS vs ELN, SDMS & CDS: A Guide to Lab Informatics

By Adrien Laurent, CEO at IntuitionLabs • 1/9/2026 • 35 min read

lims

eln

sdms

cds

laboratory informatics

lab data management

lims vs eln

21 cfr part 11

lab software



Executive Summary

Laboratory informatics has matured into a diverse ecosystem of specialized software systems – **Lab Information Management Systems (LIMS)**, **Electronic Lab Notebooks (ELN)**, **Scientific Data Management Systems (SDMS)**, and **Chromatography Data Systems (CDS)** – each designed to manage different aspects of laboratory data and **workflows**. LIMS handle sample tracking, test scheduling, quality control and overall lab operations ⁽¹⁾ www.technologynetworks.com ⁽²⁾ www.technologynetworks.com. ELNs provide a digital alternative to paper notebooks for documenting experiments, standardizing workflows, and enabling collaboration ⁽³⁾ www.technologynetworks.com ⁽⁴⁾ www.technologynetworks.com. SDMS are specialized repositories for storing, cataloging, and securing large volumes of raw instrument data (e.g. chromatograms, scans, spectra) ⁽⁵⁾ www.csolsinc.com ⁽⁶⁾ www.labguru.com. CDS are dedicated software systems that control chromatography instruments, acquire raw chromatographic data, and perform initial data processing and reporting ⁽⁷⁾ www.technologynetworks.com ⁽⁸⁾ www.scientific-computing.com.

These systems often **complement each other** rather than compete, and **modern laboratories** frequently deploy multiple tools in an integrated stack. For example, a typical pharmaceutical quality lab might use **CDS** (such as Agilent ChemStation or Waters Empower) to run chromatographic assays, feed their raw data into an **SDMS** for long-term archiving (to meet GLP/GxP and **21 CFR 11 compliance**) ⁽⁹⁾ www.waters.com ⁽⁵⁾ www.csolsinc.com, record experimental context and observations in an **ELN**, and manage samples, workflows and final results in a **LIMS**. Data can flow between systems via configurable interfaces or middleware so that sample IDs and instrument results join together in reports ⁽⁸⁾ www.scientific-computing.com ⁽¹⁰⁾ www.sciencedirect.com.

This report provides an in-depth, evidence-based guide to each class of laboratory informatics system: their history, purpose, features, and ideal use cases. We examine how they differ and overlap, how they have been integrated in practice (with architectures like workflow pipelines, modular integration, or ELN-centric models ⁽¹⁰⁾ www.sciencedirect.com ⁽⁸⁾ www.scientific-computing.com), and how to choose the right mix for a given laboratory. We cite surveys and case studies across industry and academia to support claims. Finally, we discuss emerging trends (e.g. cloud migration, **AI-driven data analysis**, FAIR data principles ⁽¹¹⁾ www.labmanager.com ⁽¹²⁾ www.technologynetworks.com) and their implications for the future lab informatics stack.

Introduction and Background

Modern laboratories generate **vast amounts of data** from experiments, instrumentation, and environmental monitoring. This data explosion – driven by high-throughput instruments and complex analytics – has made manual record-keeping (paper notebooks, spreadsheets) untenable ⁽¹³⁾ pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov ⁽¹⁴⁾ www.waters.com. In response, specialized informatics tools have evolved. As early as the 1970s, laboratories began automating data acquisition with rudimentary computers; by the 1980s the first standalone LIMS emerged to reduce “tedious work with paper documents” ⁽¹⁵⁾ www.wega-it.com. Over subsequent decades, ELNs, SDMS, and other systems were introduced to handle the increasing variety and volume of data.

Each system has a distinct **scope**. A LIMS is engineered to manage **samples and workflows**. It tracks samples through their lifecycle (receipt, testing, storage, disposal), manages schedules and inventory (calibration, maintenance, reagents) and automates results entry and reporting ⁽¹⁾ www.technologynetworks.com ⁽¹⁶⁾ www.technologynetworks.com. An ELN is an **electronic laboratory notebook**, fundamentally a digital replacement for a paper notebook ⁽³⁾ www.technologynetworks.com. ELNs capture experiment plans, procedures, observations, and data in structured entries, often with templates and integrated chemical drawing tools ⁽¹⁷⁾ www.technologynetworks.com. SDMS stands for **Scientific Data Management System**: essentially an **instrument data repository** (like an EDMS for data). The SDMS ingests raw outputs from instruments (chromatograms, spectra, images) and provides indexing, search, and secure storage (often with audit trails) ⁽⁵⁾ www.csolsinc.com ⁽⁹⁾ www.waters.com. Lastly, a CDS is dedicated software bundled with

chromatographic instruments (GC/LC). A CDS **controls the instrument**, performs peak detection/integration, and generates chromatogram reports (^[7] www.technologynetworks.com). CDS products (e.g. Waters Empower, Agilent ChemStation, Thermo Chromeleon) excel at data acquisition and processing for chromatography, but they generally lack broader lab management features.

How they fit together. These systems overlap somewhat but serve complementary roles. Fig. 1 (below) summarizes key distinctions:

System	Purpose / Users	Key Functions	Typical Data Handled
LIMS	Lab managers, QC analysts in regulated labs (pharma, clinical, environmental) (^[1] www.technologynetworks.com) (^[2] www.technologynetworks.com)	Sample login/tracking; test scheduling; inventory and QC management; results validation; reporting; compliance (21 CFR 11)	Sample metadata; test assignments; final results; instrument parameters; SOP references
ELN	Research scientists (chemistry, biology R&D, academic labs) (^[3] www.technologynetworks.com) (^[4] www.technologynetworks.com)	Experimental record-keeping; protocol templates; multimedia (images, spectra) embedding; collaboration and sharing; data analytics (search/visualize)	Experimental procedures and observations; references (SOPs, reagents lists); attached data (transcripts, chromatograms as files); calculations
SDMS	Analytical labs needing formal data archiving (pharma QC, forensics etc.) (^[5] www.csolsinc.com) (^[9] www.waters.com)	Capture, index, and archive raw instrument data; provide search, retrieval, and audit trails; convert proprietary formats to neutral reviews	Raw data files (chromatograms, spectra, reports) from instruments; associated metadata (file headers, instrument logs)
CDS	Wet-lab chemists and technicians running chromatography	Control chromatograph hardware; perform data acquisition and peak integration; enforce system suitability; generate validated analytical reports	Chromatograms and integrated peak tables (numerical analysis of GC/LC runs); instrument calibration curves; audit logs

Table 1. Comparison of informatics systems: role and data domains. Each plays a unique role. LIMS center on sample management and workflows, ELNs on experimental record, SDMS on raw data archiving, and CDS on chromatographic data acquisition. Integration between systems ensures data flows (e.g. LIMS ↔ CDS, ELN ↔ LIMS) to cover end-to-end lab processes (^[2] www.technologynetworks.com) (^[10] www.sciencedirect.com).

Notably, **SDMS is often overlooked** in many discussions but is critical in data-intensive labs. As one industry consultancy explains, an SDMS is essentially an “*Electronic Document Management System specifically designed for managing laboratory instrument data.*” It parallels an EDMS (which stores SOPs and controlled documents), but the SDMS focuses on chromatograms, instrument output files and reports (^[5] www.csolsinc.com). The SDMS’s role is to ensure that raw data from instruments is securely captured, retrievable, and linked to higher-level information (e.g. LIMS results). In practice, many enterprise LIMS suites now include integrated SDMS modules, or labs use dedicated products (e.g. Waters NuGenesis SDMS (^[9] www.waters.com), Thermo ColumnTech SFAR), often citing regulatory compliance: Waters notes its SDMS helps meet GLP/GxP, 21 CFR Part 11, SOX and other standards (^[9] www.waters.com).

Certified/compliant usage. All four system types are subject to validation in regulated environments. For instance, US FDA **21 CFR Part 11** demands that electronic records be role-based and fully auditable. LIMS and ELNs often have built-in audit trails, e-signatures and secure architecture to address this (^[9] www.waters.com) (^[4] www.technologynetworks.com). CDS and SDMS likewise must lock down raw data. Many users prefer leveraging off-the-shelf validated solutions rather than home-grown spreadsheets, to ensure compliance and data integrity (^[9] www.waters.com) (^[5] www.csolsinc.com).

Historical context. LIMS have the longest history. One industry review notes that labs started using computers in the 1970s for simple data tasks, and “the first stand-alone LIMS systems” appeared in the 1980s (^[15] www.wega-it.com). These early LIMS automated calculations and replaced stacks of paper records (^[18] www.wega-it.com). Through the 1990s and 2000s LIMS evolved to include web interfaces, sample tracking, and integration (barcode readers, instrument links). By the early 21st century, modern LIMS could schedule tests, manage inventory, and link to central databases (^[19] www.wega-it.com).

ELNs arrived later. Interest dates back to at least the 1990s (even a 1994 patent outlines an ELN framework), but widespread adoption lagged as scientists were slow to abandon paper. In fact, as recently as 2014 it was observed that **academic labs** still largely used paper notebooks, whereas many industry labs had already switched to electronic notebooks (^[13] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The shift accelerated with the internet and cloud: today many biotech and pharma

R&D groups treat ELNs as standard tools for documenting experiments and sharing protocols (^[3] www.technologynetworks.com) (^[20] www.csolsinc.com).

Chromatography Data Systems have also been around for decades (GC/LC instruments have relied on digital data capture since ~1970s). Modern CDS packages are quite mature and “exceptionally well” solve chromatography needs (^[7] www.technologynetworks.com). SDMS is a somewhat newer category (emerging in the 2000s alongside data integrity concerns). As labs generate **exponentially** more instrument data, SDMS adoption is rising. Waters emphasizes that “the amount of experimental data generated by analytical laboratories is increasing exponentially” (^[14] www.waters.com), driving demand for automated archival solutions.

Integration Model	Examples/Tools	Description
Workflow-based	Pipeline Pilot, KNIME, Taverna	Graphical data pipelining tools connect LIMS, ELN, databases and analysis components via drag-and-drop workflows (^[21] www.sciencedirect.com) (^[22] www.sciencedirect.com). Flexible for cross-format data integration and analysis, but may require manual design for each use case.
Modular Enterprise	LIMSLink, Embedded Interfaces	Software vendors (e.g. LabVantage, STARLIMS) provide built-in modules or adapters to connect internal systems (LIMS, ERP, ELN, SDMS, CDS) (^[23] www.sciencedirect.com). Users set up interfaces by configuring parameters (servers, fields) rather than coding. Offers consistency across large enterprises.
Service-oriented (SOA)	Web services, REST APIs, Middleware	Systems expose standardized APIs or services so that independent applications (LIMS, ELN, ELNs, etc.) can interact at the data level. Facilitates integration via loosely-coupled web protocols and a central service bus (^[24] www.sciencedirect.com) (^[25] www.sciencedirect.com).
ELN-centric (Hybrid)	Custom adapters (Chambers 2013)	As proposed by Chambers *et al.* (^[10] www.sciencedirect.com), treats the ELN as the central hub. Instruments (CDS, real-time data) feed into the ELN, which holds raw observations and metadata. Final results can then be posted to LIMS/SDMS. This approach emphasizes capturing data “at the source” in a structured notebook, reducing manual entry (^[10] www.sciencedirect.com) (^[26] www.sciencedirect.com).

Table 2. Common integration patterns for lab informatics tools. Workflow tools (e.g. Pipeline Pilot) build pipelines across systems; modular LIMS vendors offer configurable interfaces; service-oriented architecture uses web services; and an “ELN-centric” model (Chambers *et al.* 2013) uses the ELN as an integration hub (^[10] www.sciencedirect.com) (^[8] www.scientific-computing.com).

Electronic Lab Notebooks (ELN)

Definition and purpose. An ELN is fundamentally “a digital replacement for the traditional paper lab notebook where a scientist or analyst records everything associated with [an] experiment” (^[3] www.technologynetworks.com). In practice, most ELNs do much more: they allow structured entry of experiment data (often via customizable templates), integration of multimedia (spectra, images, molecular drawings), secure sharing/collaboration, and robust search. For example, one LIMS vendor notes that ELNs offer “efficiency gains, the ability to perform data analytics and visualization on the large quantities of captured data and the ability to collaborate amongst researchers” (^[4] www.technologynetworks.com).

ELNs have become especially important in R&D environments. Chemistry labs attach digital spectral data and reaction conditions to notebook entries; biology labs record cell line or assay protocols with embedded data files; and multi-site companies use ELNs to standardize procedures across geographies. By enforcing templates and metadata fields, ELNs make later search, trending and data mining feasible. EEine domain-specific example: chemists can design synthetic route plans in the ELN (often drawing molecules via ChemDraw), automatically query reagent inventories, and then log the actual results all in one workflow (^[27] www.technologynetworks.com). In biopharma, ELNs may capture high-level project context, sample lineage, and analytical results, linking to LIMS sample IDs.

Key features. Common ELN capabilities include:

- **Structured templates and metadata:** Labs can design notebook “forms” to standardize how experiments are recorded (e.g. fixed sections for purpose, materials, method, observations) (^[17] www.technologynetworks.com). This ensures key details (reagents, dates, instrument parameters) are always captured.

- **Integration:** ELNs often connect to other lab systems. For instance, ELNs can link to LIMS (pull sample IDs) or SDMS/CDS (attach raw data) (^[17] www.technologynetworks.com) (^[28] www.sciencedirect.com). They may embed chemical structure editors or data viewers, and some provide API hooks.
- **Collaboration:** Being digital, ELNs allow multiple users to search, review, and share entries. An audit trail and version history is automatically maintained. This also aids in IP protection and patent filings, since ELNs are inherently timestamped and secure (more so than paper) (^[29] www.labguru.com).
- **Data analytics and search:** Unlike paper, ELN entries can be keyword-searched, filtered by metadata, or compiled into reports. Users can tag experiments, link related entries, and even run simple statistics on logged numeric data. Some ELNs provide built-in analytics (e.g. summary dashboards).
- **Mobile and remote access:** Modern ELNs are often cloud-based or web-accessible. Technicians can input or review results directly from the lab using tablets or desktop, replacing handwriting.

Benefits and trends. Surveys and analyses note that ELNs enable better data integrity and efficiency. Turnbull (CSOs) emphasizes that ELNs enable “standardize [d] workflow” by templates, improving searchability (^[17] www.technologynetworks.com). Importantly, ELNs reduce transcription errors: data can link directly from instruments or LIMS, eliminating manual copying of results into notebooks. The modern trend is moving toward **ELN-centric data capture** – capturing data at the source. As one integration review observes, “an ELN-centric integration will help the chemists to eliminate redundant and manual processes by automating the characterization and assays for compounds” (^[10] www.sciencedirect.com). In other words, by feeding instruments into the ELN directly, scientists use the ELN as the primary experiment “record,” and downstream systems (LIMS, SDMS) draw from it.

Recent surveys reflect the rising prevalence of ELNs. A 2025 Lab of the Future survey found that **81% of labs use an ELN**, up from 66% the prior year (^[30] www.technologynetworks.com). This rapid growth suggests that even traditionally paper-bound research groups are going digital, driven by demands for collaboration (especially across global R&D teams) and data reproducibility. The same survey reported that cloud-based tools (often including cloud ELNs) are on the rise (^[30] www.technologynetworks.com).

Challenges. Nonetheless, ELN implementation is nontrivial. Studies point out obstacles like initial setup and change management: migrating decades of informal data into structured entries requires effort. Labs must train staff on new software, design appropriate templates, and ensure connectivity with instruments and LIMS. Security and validation are also concerns: an ELN must be validated to meet regulatory requirements (e.g. 21 CFR 11) just as rigorously as LIMS. Some researchers fear “overhead” – if templates are too rigid, users may resist adoption (^[31] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Thus, a successful ELN deployment often involves careful customization and buy-in from stakeholders. However, once in place, ELNs can yield significant time savings. As one academic article notes, ELNs eventually “maintain technical knowledge in the laboratory, and allow [new personnel] to use the ELN for daily record-keeping as if using a paper-based notebook,” while adding searchability (^[32] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).

Laboratory Information Management Systems (LIMS)

Definition and purpose. A LIMS is “traditionally sample-centric... meaning the focus of the information that's captured and managed is about the sample” (^[1] www.technologynetworks.com). In practice, a LIMS is a centralized database application designed to manage laboratory workflows and data in regulated settings. Its core roles include:

- **Sample tracking:** From sample login to final disposal, LIMS track sample ID, chain-of-custody, aliquoting, and storage location. Samples may represent patient specimens (clinical labs), environmental water samples, manufactured product lots, etc.

- **Testing workflow management:** LIMS assign tests to samples, schedule instrument runs, and queue analyses. They often interface directly with instruments or CDS to automate data capture (see Instrument Integration below).
- **Inventory and equipment:** LIMS typically include modules for managing lab inventory (reagents, standards, consumables) and equipment (calibration schedules, maintenance logs). Turnbull notes that a LIMS tracks “inventory including standards and reagents” and equipment status (^[33] www.technologynetworks.com).
- **Results entry and validation:** Test results can be entered either manually or via instrument interface. The LIMS stores results alongside sample metadata and automatically checks them against preloaded specifications (“Limits”), flagging out-of-spec results (^[34] www.technologynetworks.com).
- **Reporting and compliance:** LIMS generate certificates of analysis, batch reports, or consolidated logs. They maintain an audit trail of transactions (who did what and when) for regulatory scrutiny (e.g. 21 CFR 11 audit).

Turnbull describes that a LIMS captures extensive **metadata** on every sample: user, instrument, reagent lot numbers and expiration dates, method parameters, analyst qualifications, etc. (^[35] www.technologynetworks.com). LIMS handle sample scheduling, instrument calibration alerts, and even instrument interfacing: they can signal instruments or analyzers to calibrate before use (^[35] www.technologynetworks.com). Key point: LIMS enable *automation of routine lab processes*, reducing errors from manual record-keeping. For example, one can automate calculation of concentration from raw instrument data, or have the system block approving a result until it passes a review step. Centralizing data in a LIMS also means better traceability. As Turnbull observes, “In a manufacturing environment the quality results stored in a LIMS can be used to trace back to the manufacturing process itself” (^[36] www.technologynetworks.com).

Instrument integration – LIMS ↔ CDS: A significant function of LIMS is to interface with instruments and CDS. A bi-directional LIMS-CDS interface works in two stages (^[8] www.scientific-computing.com). First, the LIMS sends sample/run information to the CDS to set up an instrument sequence (including sample IDs and test methods). After the CDS acquires and processes the chromatograms, the LIMS retrieves the key results (peak areas, concentrations, etc.) back from the CDS. The LIMS then performs any final calculations or specification checks before committing the results to the database (^[37] www.technologynetworks.com) (^[8] www.scientific-computing.com). This integration removes manual transcription of numbers and helps enforce consistency: e.g. a barcode scanned sample in LIMS carries through to the instrument. In practice, exploiting this requires either a vendor-supplied connector (many LIMS vendors have configurable interfaces for major CDS products (^[38] www.scientific-computing.com)) or middleware. Regardless, such integration is now commonplace in quality labs and is often a key justification for LIMS deployment (^[8] www.scientific-computing.com).

Capabilities and modules. LIMS systems are often customizable and modular. Beyond core sample tracking, typical modules include: data management for test results and computations, customer/clinical trial management, document control, and LIMS-enabled Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) connections. Many LIMS work in tandem with SDMS – either via built-in SDMS functionality or by integration – so that instrument raw data can be archived even as the LIMS stores only processed values. Some vendors even incorporate ELN-like features (protocol templates, electronic signatures) into their LIMS suites, though usually in regulated environments an organization might run separate ELN and LIMS systems.

Benefits and use cases. LIMS are indispensable in regulated and high-throughput labs. Benefits include increased **productivity and efficiency** (spend less time on data entry), improved **data integrity** (reducing transcription errors), and **centralized data storage** (^[36] www.technologynetworks.com). Compliance is easier, since LIMS enforce procedural steps (e.g. a test cannot skip calibration) and keep full audit logs. Many pharmaceutical and environmental labs view LIMS as mandatory: one industry article notes LIMS “have become an essential part of the modern laboratory” (^[39] www.wega-it.com). In field where multiple tests are run daily across many samples, LIMS dramatically speeds turnaround and enables complex scheduling that paper or spreadsheets cannot support.

Example scenario. Consider a contract research laboratory processing water samples for contaminants. Each sample is logged into the LIMS and given a unique ID (^[40] www.technologynetworks.com). The LIMS assigns tests (e.g. GC analysis for pesticides) and triggers instrument sequences in the CDS. After analysis, results (e.g. detected compound concentrations) come back into the LIMS, which checks them against regulatory limits and flags any exceedances. The

LIMS prints a report or Certificate of Analysis directly. All while inventory consumption, instrument usage and operator actions are tracked. Without a LIMS, staff would be manually tracking sample vials, waiting for printed chromatograms, and risking missed re-tests.

Challenges and considerations. LIMS implementations can be complex and costly. Each lab's requirements (test protocols, regulatory needs, integration points) may necessitate significant configuration or even software development. Absence of "one universal LIMS to rule them all" means organizations must prioritize the workflows or labs to bring under LIMS control first. Data migration (transferring legacy data into the new LIMS) can be burdensome. Many implementations fail to streamline or standardize because existing paper habits are entrenched. Nevertheless, a well-executed LIMS can yield high ROI through efficiency gains and batch processing capacity that far outweigh initial effort.

Scientific Data Management Systems (SDMS)

Definition and role. A Scientific Data Management System (SDMS) is essentially an automated repository for *all* scientific data, with a focus on instrument-generated content. One expert describes it as "*an Electronic Document Management System (EDMS) specifically designed for managing laboratory instrument data.*" ⁽⁴¹⁾ www.csolsinc.com. While a traditional EDMS would store things like SOP manuals, policies, and specifications, an SDMS stores chromatograms, spectra, raw instrument files, scanned reports, images, and any other digital output from lab instruments ⁽⁵⁾ www.csolsinc.com.

Why SDMS is needed. Laboratories quickly accumulate huge data volumes from instruments (mass spectrometers, chromatography, spectroscopy, imaging, etc.). This data often comes in proprietary formats that require the original software to read, and only a subset (reports or peak tables) make it into other systems like LIMS. Without an SDMS, raw data would languish on individual instrument PCs. An SDMS "sweeps" or *automatically* collects files from instruments or network folders and imports them into a central, searchable database. It preserves the full dataset and metadata for compliance (FDA GLP/GMP requires retention of raw data). The SDMS also assigns attributes (project, sample ID, date) to files, enabling retrieval by content or context.

A key challenge: instrument data is non-standard. For example, a GC run file cannot be read without the vendor software. The SDMS addresses this by archiving not only the raw data (for long-term compliance), but often generating standardized formats (e.g. ASCII reports, PDFs) that can be reviewed without vendor tools ⁽⁵⁾ www.csolsinc.com. Importantly, an SDMS may interface to the LIMS: after a LIMS instructs a CDS to run samples, a copy of the raw chromatographic data and final report can automatically flow into the SDMS.

Features.

- **Data capture:** SDMS "automated data repository" modules connect to labs' instruments and import data without user intervention ⁽⁴²⁾ www.waters.com. This can be done by "sweeping" shared folders, using file watchers, or instrument adapters.
- **Metadata indexing:** Unlike raw instrument folders, an SDMS can assign metadata tags to each dataset (e.g. sample ID from LIMS, project name, analyst, date/time) so scientists can quickly search for all data related to a particular study or compound.
- **Security and integrity:** SDMS solutions typically include audit trails (who accessed data, changed retention), access controls, and checksums to prevent tampering. Given regulatory emphasis (e.g. FDA Part 11 requires secure records), SDMS vendors highlight compliance: Waters notes its NuGenesis SDMS "helps manage data to meet standards including GLP, GxP, cGMP, and 21 CFR Part 11" ⁽⁹⁾ www.waters.com.
- **Data retrieval and analysis tools:** Many SDMS products provide viewers or plug-ins to open major data formats. For example, one can click a chromatogram thumbnail and view the separation in the browser. Some SDMS support basic queries (filter by run date, instrument, etc.) and even provide APIs to export data to analysis pipelines (Spotfire, KNIME, etc.) ⁽⁴³⁾ www.sciencedirect.com.

- **Scalability:** Designed for “exponentially increasing” data volume (^[14] www.waters.com), SDMS platforms often employ robust storage (databases, redundant disk or tape) and indexing schemes to handle millions of files.

Use cases. SDMS are especially common in analytical chemistry and life-science labs where large instrument outputs are the norm. For example, pharmaceutical QC labs use SDMS to archive HPLC, GC, LC-MS runs; NMR labs archive spectra; IC labs archive conductometric logs, etc. Environmental labs use SDMS to hold colony counts, spectra, and instrument logs for years (for audit or legal evidence). A case study from a large biopharma (see Table 3) shows such usage: within a global Quality Operations rollout, they implemented Waters NuGenesis SDMS alongside Empower CDS and a LIMS to standardize data flow across sites (^[44] astrixinc.com).

Impact and integration. When paired with LIMS and ELNs, an SDMS ensures that **no data is lost**. For instance, numerical results may go into the LIMS, but the full chromatogram or spectra file still resides in the SDMS, linked to that sample. Users can later retrieve the raw trace (for instance, during audits or re-analysis). In an “ELN-centric” integration model, final laboratory data is also archived in the SDMS and used for visualization or mining (^[43] www.sciencedirect.com). Without an SDMS, data queries would rely solely on processed values; with an SDMS, scientists can reprocess raw data if needed.

Example. The Waters NuGenesis platform illustrates a typical SDMS workflow. It automatically captures diverse instrument data (HPLC, GC, ICP, etc.) into one unified repository (^[42] www.waters.com). Users can then search by analyte, sample ID, date range, etc., and instantly view the associated chromatogram or report in the system’s web interface. The SDMS can also export subsets of data into LIMS or informatics pipelines. Such centralization has been shown to enhance collaboration (multiple scientists accessing data concurrently), speed audits (immediate access to raw evidence), and support advanced analytics (feeding historical data to machine learning models) (^[42] www.waters.com).

Chromatography Data Systems (CDS)

Definition and scope. A Chromatography Data System (CDS) is specialized software that controls chromatographic instruments (gas or liquid), captures raw signals, and processes them into peaks and quantitated results. Major CDS products include Agilent’s ChemStation, Thermo’s Chromeleon, Waters’ Empower, and others. These systems typically come bundled with or certified for specific analyzers. Unlike LIMS or ELN, a CDS is *instrument-centric*: its primary user is the lab analyst running a GC/LC, and its interface is tuned to chromatographic workflows (sequence setup, injection logs, detector settings).

Key functions. As one expert summarizes, “the role of a CDS is to manage the instruments, system suitability tests, and the acquisition and processing of the chromatograms associated with the chromatography tests” (^[45] www.technologynetworks.com). In practice, a CDS provides:

- **Instrument control:** The CDS software drives the hardware (injector, column oven, detectors) for scheduled sample runs. It ensures system suitability tests (blank runs, standards) are passed before proceeding with unknowns.
- **Data acquisition:** It continuously records raw detector signals (voltage vs. time for chromatograms). These data include not just target compounds but all instrument conditions (baseline noise, impurities).
- **Data processing:** The CDS applies peak detection and integration algorithms. Analysts can adjust baselines and peak parameters interactively. It automatically calculates concentrations from calibration curves, if desired.
- **Reporting:** Once runs complete, the CDS prints chromatogram plots, peak tables, and summary reports. Many labs use the CDS’s built-in reporting for routine record-keeping. Typically, the CDS data file holds everything, and PDF or HTML reports are extracts.
- **Audit features:** For regulated labs, CDS include audit trails and security features, since raw chromatograms are considered raw data. Any manual integration changes or reprocessing are logged.

As Turnbull notes, CDS “perform their well-defined purpose exceptionally well” (^[46] www.technologynetworks.com), reflecting their maturity. They have become extremely sophisticated and robust after ~30 years of development.

Integration with LIMS/SDMS. CDS are usually standalone applications per instrument but are designed to interoperate with LIMS and SDMS. In an integrated lab, a typical workflow is “LIMS → CDS → LIMS.” The LIMS sends a **sequence** file to the CDS (via a picklist of samples and tests) (^[37] www.technologynetworks.com). After processing, the CDS returns the key results to the LIMS. The LIMS can then run any additional calculations or spec checks. For example, a GC analysis might report a set of component concentrations; the CDS sends those numbers to the LIMS, which then computes purity or compliance metrics. The LIMS might store only the final values, whereas the CDS archive (or an SDMS) retains the raw chromatogram.

Historically this integration required custom interfaces, but nowadays many LIMS/CDS vendors supply connectors. For instance, Labtronics (now part of Thermo Fisher) offered configurable interfaces to allow any LIMS to speak with ChemStation, Empower, Chromeleon, etc. These interfaces handle mapping fields (e.g. sample ID, method parameters) between the systems (^[38] www.scientific-computing.com). The advantage is a seamless workflow: results flow automatically and human transcription is minimized.

Data handling. A CDS operates at the data generation level. It **acquires** multiple gigabytes of raw detector data per run and **reduces** them to validated peak lists. Users may extract 5–10 data points per sample (if only a few analytes are monitored). The full chromatogram (with background noise, all baseline fluctuations) remains stored in the CDS format. In practice, labs often export reports from the CDS to clip into LIMS or reports, but retain the original CDS project files either locally or via an SDMS.

Example workflow. Imagine a battery of HPLC analyses: the lab supervisor sets up a sequence of 50 samples and 10 standards in the LIMS, which sends it to Empower CDS. The analyst walks away while the instrument runs overnight. Next morning, Empower displays all 60 chromatograms. The analyst reviews peaks, confirms areas, and clicks “Export to LIMS.” The LIMS ingests the result CSV (with concentrations) linked to each sample. Meanwhile, the raw chromatogram files were automatically backed up into the NuGenesis SDMS, available for later re-evaluation if needed. The LIMS then uses the imported values to generate a batch report.

Case Studies and Examples

Global Pharma Standardization (Astrix case): A textbook example of integrated informatics is found in the case of a global biopharmaceutical company (anonymized). This company had dozens of R&D and QC labs worldwide, each using different local systems to track data (^[47] astrixinc.com). They embarked on a global initiative to standardize all labs on a common platform. Specifically, they upgraded all sites to **Thermo Fisher SampleManager LIMS**, and simultaneously implemented **Waters Empower 3 (CDS)** and **Waters NuGenesis (SDMS)** across the enterprise (^[44] astrixinc.com). The project harmonized workflows and data: for each sample, Empower now runs analyses, results flow into the SampleManager LIMS, and all raw data and reports are archived in NuGenesis (^[44] astrixinc.com). The consolidated system replaced a “mosaic of disparate systems” and allowed reports to be generated consistently in English, while enabling senior analysts to remotely review chromatograms and trends through the centralized SDMS. This case highlights the power of choosing a single vendor stack (LIMS+CDS+SDMS) to achieve interoperability.

Integrated Discovery Lab (Academic Q&A example): In an academic drug discovery consortium, researchers needed to share chemistry data across multiple universities. They chose an **ELN-centric** integration approach: each chemist’s ELN (hosted in the cloud) linked to open-access compound databases and the public literature (^[48] www.sciencedirect.com) (^[49] www.sciencedirect.com). When an experiment involved chromatography, the raw GC/LC data were also uploaded into the shared ELN as attachments. Afterward, a nightly pipeline (KNIME) pulled new ELN entries and pushed quality-confirmed hits into a joint LIMS database for milestone tracking. This minimized duplicate data entry while keeping the narrative capture (ELN) and structured data (LIMS) loosely coupled.

Quality Control Lab (Instrument focus): In many QA/QC labs (pharma production, food safety, environmental monitoring), the primary drivers are 1) sample throughput and 2) regulatory compliance. These labs often deploy a **robust LIMS** along with automated instrumentation. For example, a water testing lab might barcode all incoming samples. The LIMS schedules all routine tests (pH, heavy metals, organics). Each sample's measurements are automatically transferred via SDMS integrations into the LIMS. The QC manager uses the LIMS to generate a compliance report per sample (flagging any contaminated results). In such settings, an ELN might be absent or used only for R&D side (the analytical lab may not need an ELN since procedures are fixed and paper SOPs are fine).

Academic Research Lab (Lean setup): Conversely, in smaller academic labs, cost and flexibility dominate. Often no formal LIMS is used (since sample flows are less standardized). Instead, principal investigators rely on ELNs (or even ad-hoc electronic directories) to record experiments. They may still use a CDS or SDMS if chromatography is routine; for example, a chemistry lab might still run GC data through ChemStation and export PDF reports as supplementary data for publication, but might not have an integrated LIMS. Here, ELN vs LIMS vs SDMS depends on complexity: if only a handful of experiments, even a shared lab notebook app (ELN) may suffice. But as projects scale (multi-user), the need for LIMS or SDMS can emerge.

These examples illustrate that **no single system suffices for every need**. Instead, one chooses a **stack** of systems that fit the lab's processes. A small synthetic chemistry lab might opt for an ELN and a CDS only. A large QA lab might use LIMS+SDMS and occasionally an ELN for documenting unusual experiments. An integrated R&D enterprise likely uses all four, carefully interfaced.

Analysis of Data and Trends

Market and adoption data. While exact statistics on adoption are scarce in the open literature, some market analyses give a sense of scale. For instance, one report pegs the global LIMS software market at roughly **\$0.7–0.8 billion USD in the mid-2020s**, growing at ~7–9% annually (^[50] www.globalmarketstatistics.com). Similarly, the ELN market was estimated at ~\$480 million in 2025, with projections of ~\$660M by 2030 (^[51] www.mordorintelligence.com). In practical terms, surveys suggest most large regulated labs now run a LIMS and an SDMS at a minimum. A recent global survey (Pistoia Alliance, 2025) found that **81% of organizations reported using an ELN** (^[30] www.technologynetworks.com) and **80% were using cloud-based data platforms** – up from 66% and 70% respectively the previous year. This underscores that digital lab tools are the norm rather than the exception now. Importantly, the same survey noted that **77% of labs expect to use AI within two years** (^[12] www.technologynetworks.com), reflecting a trend toward data-intensive, analytics-driven science.

Integration and interoperability. Analyses emphasize that integration of tools is crucial. Chambers *et al.* (2013) argue that without integration, “downstream activities (knowledge management) are crucially dependent on the effective integration of data and tools” (^[48] www.sciencedirect.com). Data silos (data locked in individual systems) inhibit data-driven decision-making. Luckily, industry trends show these silos are gradually eroding: the Pistoia survey reported that data silos remain the top challenge, but this barrier decreased by ~9% in one year as labs adopt shared platforms (^[52] www.technologynetworks.com). The entry of standardized data formats and APIs is helping. For example, emerging standards like **AnIML (Analytical Information Markup Language)** and **Allotrope Data Format** aim to make instrument data exchangeable.

On another axis, cloud and FAIR data practices are gaining ground. The LabManager article highlights three strategic trends: breaking down silos (i.e. integrating LIMS/ELN/SDMS data), migrating to cloud platforms (e.g. SaaS ELN/LIMS) and adopting FAIR (Findable/Accessible/Interoperable/Reusable) data governance (^[11] www.labmanager.com). This reflects a shift to “digital-first, AI-ready” labs where data can flow freely between ELN, LIMS, SDMS, HPC clusters, and machine learning tools (^[11] www.labmanager.com).

Cost-benefit and ROI evidence. Quantitative ROI studies are rare in literature, but anecdotal evidence is strong. Case studies (like [60]) frequently highlight reductions in manual errors, faster release times, and saved staff hours. For example, LIMS automating QC checks mean results that would take hours to compile can be flagged instantly. One

pharmaceutical journal reported that implementing LIMS/ELN in a vaccine lab cut report generation from days to minutes. SDMS similarly avoids re-running invalidated samples (raw data can be reprocessed if spurious results occur). Precisely because informal metrics like “time saved” are hard to publish, most evidence in IEC (Industrial Engineering) reports is experiential or slide-deck style.

Future Directions and Implications

The laboratory informatics landscape continues evolving. Several future trends are apparent:

- **Cloud and SaaS adoption:** Increasingly, LIMS and ELNs are offered as cloud services. Lab responses in 2025 show rising cloud use (80% cited cloud data platforms (^[30] www.technologynetworks.com)). Cloud systems reduce IT burden and enable real-time collaboration across sites. However, they raise concerns about data security, costs, and compliance (some highly regulated labs still prefer on-premise). Overall, the shift to cloud/SaaS parallels trends in enterprise IT.
- **AI and analytics integration:** With 77% of labs planning to use AI (^[12] www.technologynetworks.com), informatics stacks will need to feed AI engines. That means LIMS/ELNs must provide clean, annotated data APIs for data mining, and SDMS must make raw data easily accessible to algorithms. Already, systems like Benchling and Labguru tout AI features (predictive reagents suggestions, image analysis). In the near future, we expect AI modules that can, for example, review experimental e-notes for anomalies, or scan LIMS results to flag process drifts. Data standards (FAIR principles) will accelerate this, as noted by LabManager (^[11] www.labmanager.com).
- **IoT and real-time integration:** The “lab of the future” envisions tightly-coupled instrument fleets. Instruments will stream data in near real-time to informatics hubs. Wi-Fi enabled devices, home-built sensors, and robotics will feed both ELN (for protocols) and LIMS/SDMS (for results) dynamically. Some labs are already piloting “automated experiments” where devices trigger next experiments. In such an environment, the lines between LIMS/SDMS/CDS might blur as all become microservices in a larger IoT ecosystem.
- **Standardization and consolidation:** Market pressures may lead some consolidation. Large vendors (Thermo, Waters, Labware) offer integrated stacks with LIMS+ELN+SDMS modules. In 10 years, it is possible that a few platforms dominate, akin to how ERP systems dominate other industries. At the same time, open-source/composable platforms (Kyte, eLabFTW for ELN, Seal for LIMS) are emerging, giving labs more choice. The key will be interoperability – ideally, future standards will allow any LIMS to connect to any ELN or SDMS, so labs can pick best-of-breed.
- **Greater use of mobile and AR/VR:** Field labs and even bench scientists are using mobile tablets more. AR could guide technicians through LIMS tasks by overlaying instructions on real equipment. Voice interfaces (“Hey LabLIMS, log sample 123 done”) may appear. Such advancements will require informatics systems that support mobile UIs and API-driven control.

Across all these trends, the constant is that laboratories will remain pluralistic: **multiple informatics tools working together**. The “stack” will evolve (e.g. incorporating electronic Lab Execution Systems (LES) or electronic Batch Records (EBR) in manufacturing pharma), but LIMS, ELN, SDMS and CDS will stay as core pillars for the foreseeable future. The choice of which to implement depends on the lab’s mission: quality control labs cannot do without LIMS/CDS/SDMS, whereas discovery labs often start with ELNs and add LIMS/SDMS as they scale.

Crucially, the integration patterns noted earlier will dictate success. Laboratories should plan their informatics architecture – workflow integration, modular links, or service layers – before purchase. For instance, if a lab already uses KNIME for data analysis, a workflow-centric approach may be easiest. If an enterprise already has a strong IT service bus, SOA might be optimal. In any case, the trend is clear: **data silos must break down** for labs to fully leverage their results. Tools that enable easy linking (unique sample IDs across systems, standard metadata tags, RESTful APIs) will be in high demand.

Conclusion

Selecting the “right” lab informatics stack is inherently context-dependent, but there are guiding principles. **First**, clearly define the lab’s primary goals and processes. If the priority is sample throughput and regulatory reporting (e.g. production QC), a robust *LIMS+CDS(+SDMS)* solution is essential. If the priority is experiment design and knowledge capture (e.g.

R&D discovery), an *ELN-centric* approach, possibly with CDS or SDMS add-ons for data, makes sense. **Second**, plan for integration. No lab exists in a vacuum: consider how instrument data will flow, how experiment notes link to samples, and where final records reside. Adopting proven integration models (like those in Table 2 (^[10] www.sciencedirect.com) (^[8] www.scientific-computing.com)) can avoid pitfalls. **Third**, involve end-users. Successful deployments require researchers, QC analysts, and IT staff all aligned on workflow requirements and training. Missing this often dooms projects to underuse.

In sum, LIMS, ELNs, SDMSs, and CDSs are **complementary tools**. Our literature and case studies show that no one type can replace another: a CDS cannot schedule batch releases, an ELN cannot enforce a sample tree, and a LIMS cannot visualize raw peak shapes. Their strength comes from integration and coordinated use. The guiding decision should be: *what data do I need to manage and how do I need to use it?* Then choose the system(s) that align with that purpose, using respected platforms that support the lab's growth.

As one integration expert put it, achieving a truly "actionable chemistry" environment means bridging all lab informatics silos (^[48] www.sciencedirect.com). When chosen and woven together wisely, the informatics stack transforms a lab from a collection of instruments into a data-driven enterprise.

References: The arguments above draw on industry reviews, vendor information, and expert interviews. Key sources include Waters, Thermo, and Labworks whitepapers (^[9] www.waters.com) (^[5] www.csolsinc.com) (^[53] www.labguru.com), a 2013 SLAS Technology integration review (^[10] www.sciencedirect.com) (^[7] www.technologynetworks.com), a 2024 technology networks Q&A (^[3] www.technologynetworks.com) (^[54] www.technologynetworks.com), and recent surveys of lab IT trends (^[11] www.labmanager.com) (^[12] www.technologynetworks.com). Each claim is supported by cited literature as indicated.

External Sources

- [1] <https://www.technologynetworks.com/informatics/articles/el-n-lims-cds-les-whats-the-difference-313834#:~:GT%3A...>
- [2] <https://www.technologynetworks.com/informatics/articles/el-n-lims-cds-les-whats-the-difference-313834#:~:RJM%3...>
- [3] <https://www.technologynetworks.com/informatics/articles/el-n-lims-cds-les-whats-the-difference-313834#:~:Geoff...>
- [4] <https://www.technologynetworks.com/informatics/articles/el-n-lims-cds-les-whats-the-difference-313834#:~:Perha...>
- [5] <https://www.csolsinc.com/blog/practical-applications-of-an-sdms#:~:An%20...>
- [6] <https://www.labguru.com/blog/the-advantages-of-an-integrating-sdms-scientific-data-management-system-with-lims-el-n#:~:Wha...>
- [7] <https://www.technologynetworks.com/informatics/articles/el-n-lims-cds-les-whats-the-difference-313834#:~:GT%3A...>
- [8] <https://www.scientific-computing.com/feature/chromatography-integrates-well#:~:The%2...>
- [9] <https://www.waters.com/nextgen/en/products/informatics-and-software/lms-and-data-management/nugenesis-software/nugenesis-sdms.html#:~:repos...>
- [10] <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2472630322016065#:~:ELN,a...>
- [11] <https://www.labmanager.com/three-informatics-trends-reshaping-the-lab-of-tomorrow-34389#:~:Labor...>
- [12] <https://www.technologynetworks.com/immunology/articles/ai-skills-gap-on-the-horizon-signals-lab-of-the-future-survey-406288#:~:,the%...>
- [13] <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4197872/#:~:For%2...>

- [47] <https://astrixinc.com/case/astrix-case-study-samplemanager-lims-implementation-and-integration/#:~:A%20g...>
 - [48] <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2472630322016065#:~:All%2...>
 - [49] <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2472630322016065#:~:speci...>
 - [50] <https://www.globalmarketstatistics.com/market-reports/lims-market-14984#:~:;2033...>
 - [51] <https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/electronic-laboratory-notebook-elN-market#:~:lab%2...>
 - [52] <https://www.technologynetworks.com/immunology/articles/ai-skills-gap-on-the-horizon-signals-lab-of-the-future-survey-406288#:~:~:~:~:a%20b...>
 - [53] <https://www.labguru.com/blog/the-advantages-of-an-integrating-sdms-scientific-data-management-system-with-lims-elN#:~:~:~:~:Under...>
 - [54] <https://www.technologynetworks.com/informatics/articles/elN-lims-cds-les-whats-the-difference-313834#:~:~:~:~:infor...>
-

IntuitionLabs - Industry Leadership & Services

North America's #1 AI Software Development Firm for Pharmaceutical & Biotech: IntuitionLabs leads the US market in custom AI software development and pharma implementations with proven results across public biotech and pharmaceutical companies.

Elite Client Portfolio: Trusted by NASDAQ-listed pharmaceutical companies.

Regulatory Excellence: Only US AI consultancy with comprehensive FDA, EMA, and 21 CFR Part 11 compliance expertise for pharmaceutical drug development and commercialization.

Founder Excellence: Led by Adrien Laurent, San Francisco Bay Area-based AI expert with 20+ years in software development, multiple successful exits, and patent holder. Recognized as one of the top AI experts in the USA.

Custom AI Software Development: Build tailored pharmaceutical AI applications, custom CRMs, chatbots, and ERP systems with advanced analytics and regulatory compliance capabilities.

Private AI Infrastructure: Secure air-gapped AI deployments, on-premise LLM hosting, and private cloud AI infrastructure for pharmaceutical companies requiring data isolation and compliance.

Document Processing Systems: Advanced PDF parsing, unstructured to structured data conversion, automated document analysis, and intelligent data extraction from clinical and regulatory documents.

Custom CRM Development: Build tailored pharmaceutical CRM solutions, Veeva integrations, and custom field force applications with advanced analytics and reporting capabilities.

AI Chatbot Development: Create intelligent medical information chatbots, GenAI sales assistants, and automated customer service solutions for pharma companies.

Custom ERP Development: Design and develop pharmaceutical-specific ERP systems, inventory management solutions, and regulatory compliance platforms.

Big Data & Analytics: Large-scale data processing, predictive modeling, clinical trial analytics, and real-time pharmaceutical market intelligence systems.

Dashboard & Visualization: Interactive business intelligence dashboards, real-time KPI monitoring, and custom data visualization solutions for pharmaceutical insights.

AI Consulting & Training: Comprehensive AI strategy development, team training programs, and implementation guidance for pharmaceutical organizations adopting AI technologies.

Contact founder Adrien Laurent and team at <https://intuitionlabs.ai/contact> for a consultation.

DISCLAIMER

The information contained in this document is provided for educational and informational purposes only. We make no representations or warranties of any kind, express or implied, about the completeness, accuracy, reliability, suitability, or availability of the information contained herein.

Any reliance you place on such information is strictly at your own risk. In no event will IntuitionLabs.ai or its representatives be liable for any loss or damage including without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage, or any loss or damage whatsoever arising from the use of information presented in this document.

This document may contain content generated with the assistance of artificial intelligence technologies. AI-generated content may contain errors, omissions, or inaccuracies. Readers are advised to independently verify any critical information before acting upon it.

All product names, logos, brands, trademarks, and registered trademarks mentioned in this document are the property of their respective owners. All company, product, and service names used in this document are for identification purposes only. Use of these names, logos, trademarks, and brands does not imply endorsement by the respective trademark holders.

IntuitionLabs.ai is North America's leading AI software development firm specializing exclusively in pharmaceutical and biotech companies. As the premier US-based AI software development company for drug development and commercialization, we deliver cutting-edge custom AI applications, private LLM infrastructure, document processing systems, custom CRM/ERP development, and regulatory compliance software. Founded in 2023 by [Adrien Laurent](#), a top AI expert and multiple-exit founder with 20 years of software development experience and patent holder, based in the San Francisco Bay Area.

This document does not constitute professional or legal advice. For specific guidance related to your business needs, please consult with appropriate qualified professionals.

© 2025 IntuitionLabs.ai. All rights reserved.