



INTUITIONLABS / RESEARCH & PRACTICAL GUIDANCE

LIMS vs ELN, SDMS & CDS: A Guide to Lab Informatics

Build practical AI for pharma and biotech with IntuitionLabs. We help life-science teams turn complex information and workflows into useful software, governed knowledge systems and AI tools.

Published by IntuitionLabs · 2026-01-10 · lims · eln · sdms · cds · laboratory informatics · lab data management · lims vs eln · 21 cfr part 11 · lab software

Original article: <https://intuitionlabs.ai/articles/lims-vs-eln-sdms-cds>



In this article

1. Executive Summary
 2. Introduction and Background
 3. Electronic Lab Notebooks (ELN)
 4. Laboratory Information Management Systems (LIMS)
 5. Scientific Data Management Systems (SDMS)
 6. Chromatography Data Systems (CDS)
 7. Case Studies and Examples
 8. Analysis of Data and Trends
 9. Future Directions and Implications
 10. Conclusion
-

Executive Summary

Laboratory informatics has matured into a diverse ecosystem of specialized software systems – **Lab Information Management Systems (LIMS)**, **Electronic Lab Notebooks (ELN)**, **Scientific Data Management Systems (SDMS)**, and **Chromatography Data Systems (CDS)** – each designed to manage different aspects of laboratory data and workflows. LIMS handle sample tracking, test scheduling, quality control and overall lab operations (www.technologynetworks.com) (www.technologynetworks.com). ELNs provide a digital alternative to paper notebooks for documenting experiments, standardizing workflows, and enabling collaboration (www.technologynetworks.com) (www.technologynetworks.com). SDMS are specialized repositories for storing, cataloging, and securing large volumes of raw instrument data (e.g. chromatograms, scans, spectra) (www.csolsinc.com) (www.labguru.com). CDS are dedicated software systems that control chromatography instruments, acquire raw chromatographic data, and perform initial data processing and reporting (www.technologynetworks.com) (www.scientific-computing.com).

These systems often **complement each other** rather than compete, and modern laboratories frequently deploy multiple tools in an integrated stack. For example, a typical pharmaceutical quality lab might use **CDS** (such as Agilent ChemStation or Waters Empower) to run chromatographic assays, feed their raw data into an **SDMS** for long-term archiving (with applicable predicate-rule requirements still in force, as [FDA guidance](#) explains) (www.waters.com) (www.csolsinc.com), record experimental context and observations in an **ELN**, and manage samples, workflows and final results in a **LIMS**. Data can flow between systems via configurable interfaces or middleware so that sample IDs and instrument results join together in reports (www.scientific-computing.com) (www.sciencedirect.com).

This report provides an in-depth, evidence-based guide to each class of laboratory informatics system: their history, purpose, features, and ideal use cases. We examine how they differ and overlap, how they have been integrated in practice (with architectures like workflow pipelines, modular integration, or ELN-centric models (www.sciencedirect.com) (www.scientific-computing.com)), and how to choose the right mix for a given laboratory. Finally, we discuss emerging trends (e.g. cloud migration, AI-driven data analysis, FAIR data principles (www.labmanager.com) (www.technologynetworks.com)) and their implications for the future lab informatics stack.

Introduction and Background

Modern laboratories generate **vast amounts of data** from experiments, instrumentation, and environmental monitoring. This data explosion – driven by high-throughput instruments and complex analytics – has made manual record-keeping (paper notebooks, spreadsheets) untenable ([pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)) (www.waters.com). In response, specialized informatics tools have evolved. As early as the 1970s, laboratories began automating data acquisition with rudimentary computers; by the 1980s the first standalone LIMS emerged to reduce “tedious work with paper documents” (www.wega-it.com). Over subsequent decades, ELNs, SDMS, and other systems were introduced to handle the increasing variety and volume of data.

Each system has a distinct **scope**. A LIMS is engineered to manage **samples and workflows**. It tracks samples through their lifecycle (receipt, testing, storage, disposal), manages schedules and inventory (calibration, maintenance, reagents) and automates results entry and reporting (www.technologynetworks.com) (www.technologynetworks.com). An ELN is an **electronic laboratory notebook**, fundamentally a digital replacement for a paper notebook (www.technologynetworks.com). ELNs capture experiment plans, procedures, observations, and data in structured entries, often with templates and integrated chemical drawing tools (www.technologynetworks.com). SDMS stands for **Scientific Data Management System**: essentially an **instrument data repository** (like an EDMS for data). The SDMS ingests raw outputs from instruments (chromatograms, spectra, images) and provides indexing, search, and secure storage (often with audit trails) (www.csolsinc.com) (www.waters.com). Lastly, a CDS is dedicated software bundled with chromatographic instruments (GC/LC). A CDS **controls the instrument**, performs peak detection/integration, and generates chromatogram reports (www.technologynetworks.com). CDS products (e.g. Waters Empower, Agilent ChemStation, Thermo Chromeleon) excel at data acquisition and processing for chromatography, but they generally lack broader lab management features.

How they fit together. These systems overlap somewhat but serve complementary roles. Table 1 below summarizes key distinctions:

System	Purpose / Users	Key Functions	Typical Data Handled
LIMS	Lab managers, QC analysts in regulated labs (pharma, clinical, environmental) (www.technologynetworks.com) (www.technologynetworks.com)	Sample login/tracking; test scheduling; inventory and QC management; results validation; reporting; compliance (21 CFR 11)	Sample metadata; test assignments; final results; instrument parameters; SOP references
ELN	Research scientists (chemistry, biology R&D, academic labs) (www.technologynetworks.com) (www.technologynetworks.com)	Experimental record-keeping; protocol templates; multimedia (images, spectra) embedding; collaboration and sharing; data analytics (search/visualize)	Experimental procedures and observations; references (SOPs, reagents lists); attached data (transcripts, chromatograms as files); calculations
SDMS	Analytical labs needing formal data archiving (pharma QC, forensics etc.) (www.csolsinc.com) (www.waters.com)	Capture, index, and archive raw instrument data; provide search, retrieval, and audit trails; convert proprietary formats for review	Raw data files (chromatograms, spectra, reports) from instruments; associated metadata (file headers, instrument logs)

System	Purpose / Users	Key Functions	Typical Data Handled
CDS	Wet-lab chemists and technicians running chromatography	Control chromatograph hardware; perform data acquisition and peak integration; enforce system suitability; generate validated analytical reports	Chromatograms and integrated peak tables (numerical analysis of GC/LC runs); instrument calibration curves; audit logs

Table 1. Comparison of informatics systems: role and data domains. Each plays a unique role. LIMS center on sample management and workflows, ELNs on experimental record, SDMS on raw data archiving, and CDS on chromatographic data acquisition. Integration between systems ensures data flows (e.g. LIMS ↔ CDS, ELN ↔ LIMS) to cover end-to-end lab processes (www.technologynetworks.com) (www.sciencedirect.com).

Notably, **SDMS is often overlooked** in many discussions but is critical in data-intensive labs. As one industry consultancy explains, an SDMS is essentially an *“Electronic Document Management System specifically designed for managing laboratory instrument data.”* It parallels an EDMS (which stores SOPs and controlled documents), but the SDMS focuses on chromatograms, instrument output files and reports (www.csolsinc.com). The SDMS's role is to ensure that raw data from instruments is securely captured, retrievable, and linked to higher-level information (e.g. LIMS results). In practice, many enterprise LIMS suites now include integrated SDMS modules, or labs use dedicated products (e.g. Waters NuGenesis SDMS (www.waters.com), Thermo ColumnTech SFAR), often citing regulatory compliance: Waters notes its SDMS helps meet GLP/GxP, 21 CFR Part 11, SOX and other standards (www.waters.com).

Regulated records. For electronic records subject to Part 11, FDA [states in its scope and application guidance](#) that it exercises enforcement discretion for specified validation, audit-trail, record-retention, and record-copying requirements.

Historical context. LIMS have the longest history. One industry review notes that labs started using computers in the 1970s for simple data tasks, and “the first stand-alone LIMS systems” appeared in the 1980s (www.wega-it.com). These early LIMS automated calculations and replaced stacks of paper records (www.wega-it.com). Through the 1990s and 2000s LIMS evolved to include web interfaces, sample tracking, and integration (barcode readers, instrument links). By the early 21st century, modern LIMS could schedule tests, manage inventory, and link to central databases (www.wega-it.com).

ELNs arrived later. Interest dates back to at least the 1990s (even a 1994 patent outlines an ELN framework), but widespread adoption lagged as scientists were slow to abandon paper. In fact, as recently as 2014 it was observed that **academic** labs still largely used paper notebooks, whereas many industry labs had already switched to electronic notebooks (pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The shift accelerated with the internet and cloud: today many biotech and pharma R&D groups treat ELNs as standard tools for documenting experiments and sharing protocols (www.technologynetworks.com) (www.csolsinc.com).

Chromatography Data Systems have also been around for decades (GC/LC instruments have relied on digital data capture since ~1970s). Modern CDS packages are quite mature and “exceptionally well” solve chromatography needs (www.technologynetworks.com). SDMS is a somewhat newer category (emerging in

the 2000s alongside data integrity concerns). As labs generate **exponentially** more instrument data, SDMS adoption is rising. Waters emphasizes that “the amount of experimental data generated by analytical laboratories is increasing exponentially” (www.waters.com), driving demand for automated archival solutions.

Integration Model	Examples/Tools	Description
Workflow-based	Pipeline Pilot, KNIME, Taverna	Graphical data pipelining tools connect LIMS, ELN, databases and analysis components via drag-and-drop workflows (www.sciencedirect.com) (www.sciencedirect.com). Flexible for cross-format data integration and analysis, but may require manual design for each use case.
Modular Enterprise	LIMSLink, Embedded Interfaces	Software vendors (e.g. LabVantage, STARLIMS) provide built-in modules or adapters to connect internal systems (LIMS, ERP, ELN, SDMS, CDS) (www.sciencedirect.com). Users set up interfaces by configuring parameters (servers, fields) rather than coding. Offers consistency across large enterprises.
Service-oriented (SOA)	Web services, REST APIs, Middleware	Systems expose standardized APIs or services so that independent applications (LIMS, ELN, CDS, etc.) can interact at the data level. Facilitates integration via loosely-coupled web protocols and a central service bus (www.sciencedirect.com) (www.sciencedirect.com).
ELN-centric (Hybrid)	Custom adapters (Chambers 2013)	As proposed by Chambers <i>et al.</i> (www.sciencedirect.com), treats the ELN as the central hub. Instruments (CDS, real-time data) feed into the ELN, which holds raw observations and metadata. Final results can then be posted to LIMS/SDMS. This approach emphasizes capturing data “at the source” in a structured notebook, reducing manual entry (www.sciencedirect.com) (www.sciencedirect.com).

Table 2. Common integration patterns for lab informatics tools. Workflow tools (e.g. Pipeline Pilot) build pipelines across systems; modular LIMS vendors offer configurable interfaces; service-oriented architecture uses web services; and an “ELN-centric” model (Chambers *et al.* 2013) uses the ELN as an integration hub (www.sciencedirect.com) (www.scientific-computing.com).

Electronic Lab Notebooks (ELN)

Definition and purpose. An ELN is fundamentally “a digital replacement for the traditional paper lab notebook where a scientist or analyst records everything associated with [an] experiment”

(www.technologynetworks.com). In practice, most ELNs do much more: they allow structured entry of experiment data (often via customizable templates), integration of multimedia (spectra, images, molecular drawings), secure sharing/collaboration, and robust search. For example, one LIMS vendor notes that ELNs offer “efficiency gains, the ability to perform data analytics and visualization on the large quantities of captured data and the ability to collaborate amongst researchers” (www.technologynetworks.com).

ELNs have become especially important in R&D environments. Chemistry labs attach digital spectral data and reaction conditions to notebook entries; biology labs record cell line or assay protocols with embedded data files; and multi-site companies use ELNs to standardize procedures across geographies. By enforcing templates and metadata fields, ELNs make later search, trending and data mining feasible. One domain-specific example: chemists can design synthetic route plans in the ELN (often drawing molecules via

ChemDraw), automatically query reagent inventories, and then log the actual results all in one workflow (www.technologynetworks.com). In biopharma, ELNs may capture high-level project context, sample lineage, and analytical results, linking to LIMS sample IDs.

Key features. Common ELN capabilities include:

- **Structured templates and metadata:** Labs can design notebook “forms” to standardize how experiments are recorded (e.g. fixed sections for purpose, materials, method, observations) (www.technologynetworks.com). This ensures key details (reagents, dates, instrument parameters) are always captured.
- **Integration:** ELNs often connect to other lab systems. For instance, ELNs can link to LIMS (pull sample IDs) or SDMS/CDS (attach raw data) (www.technologynetworks.com) (www.sciencedirect.com). They may embed chemical structure editors or data viewers, and some provide API hooks.
- **Collaboration:** Being digital, ELNs allow multiple users to search, review, and share entries. An audit trail and version history is automatically maintained. This also aids in IP protection and patent filings, since ELNs are inherently timestamped and secure (more so than paper) (www.labguru.com).
- **Data analytics and search:** Unlike paper, ELN entries can be keyword-searched, filtered by metadata, or compiled into reports. Users can tag experiments, link related entries, and even run simple statistics on logged numeric data. Some ELNs provide built-in analytics (e.g. summary dashboards).
- **Mobile and remote access:** Modern ELNs are often cloud-based or web-accessible. Technicians can input or review results directly from the lab using tablets or desktop, replacing handwriting.

Benefits and trends. Surveys and analyses note that ELNs enable better data integrity and efficiency. Turnbull (CSols) emphasizes that ELNs enable “standardize [d] workflow” by templates, improving searchability (www.technologynetworks.com). Importantly, ELNs reduce transcription errors: data can link directly from instruments or LIMS, eliminating manual copying of results into notebooks. The modern trend is moving toward **ELN-centric data capture** – capturing data at the source. As one integration review observes, “an ELN-centric integration will help the chemists to eliminate redundant and manual processes by automating the characterization and assays for compounds” (www.sciencedirect.com). In other words, by feeding instruments into the ELN directly, scientists use the ELN as the primary experiment “record,” and downstream systems (LIMS, SDMS) draw from it.

Recent surveys reflect the rising prevalence of ELNs. The Pistoia Alliance’s 2025 Lab of the Future survey reported **81% ELN adoption among respondents**, up from 66% in 2024 ([Pistoia Alliance](http://PistoiaAlliance)). This rapid growth suggests that even traditionally paper-bound research groups are going digital, driven by demands for collaboration (especially across global R&D teams) and data reproducibility. The same survey reported that cloud-based tools (often including cloud ELNs) are on the rise (www.technologynetworks.com).

Challenges. Nonetheless, ELN implementation is nontrivial. Studies point out obstacles like initial setup and change management: migrating decades of informal data into structured entries requires effort. Labs must train staff on new software, design appropriate templates, and ensure connectivity with instruments and LIMS. Security and validation are also concerns: for computerized systems used to manage regulated records, FDA advises that validation decisions and scope reflect their impact on meeting applicable predicate-rule requirements ([FDA guidance](http://FDAguidance)). Some researchers fear “overhead” – if templates are too rigid, users may resist

adoption ([pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)). Thus, a successful ELN deployment often involves careful customization and buy-in from stakeholders. However, once in place, ELNs can yield significant time savings. As one academic article notes, ELNs eventually “maintain technical knowledge in the laboratory, and allow [new personnel] to use the ELN for daily record-keeping as if using a paper-based notebook,” while adding searchability ([pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)).

Laboratory Information Management Systems (LIMS)

Definition and purpose. A LIMS is “traditionally sample-centric... meaning the focus of the information that's captured and managed is about the sample” (www.technologynetworks.com). In practice, a LIMS is a centralized database application designed to manage laboratory workflows and data in regulated settings. Its core roles include:

- **Sample tracking:** From sample login to final disposal, LIMS track sample ID, chain-of-custody, aliquoting, and storage location. Samples may represent patient specimens (clinical labs), environmental water samples, manufactured product lots, etc.
- **Testing workflow management:** LIMS assign tests to samples, schedule instrument runs, and queue analyses. They often interface directly with instruments or CDS to automate data capture (see Instrument Integration below).
- **Inventory and equipment:** LIMS typically include modules for managing lab inventory (reagents, standards, consumables) and equipment (calibration schedules, maintenance logs). Turnbull notes that a LIMS tracks “inventory including standards and reagents” and equipment status (www.technologynetworks.com).
- **Results entry and validation:** Test results can be entered either manually or via instrument interface. The LIMS stores results alongside sample metadata and automatically checks them against preloaded specifications (“Limits”), flagging out-of-spec results (www.technologynetworks.com).
- **Reporting and compliance:** LIMS generate certificates of analysis, batch reports, or consolidated logs. They maintain an audit trail of transactions (who did what and when) for regulatory scrutiny (e.g. 21 CFR 11 audit).

Turnbull describes that a LIMS captures extensive **metadata** on every sample: user, instrument, reagent lot numbers and expiration dates, method parameters, analyst qualifications, etc. (www.technologynetworks.com). LIMS handle sample scheduling, instrument calibration alerts, and even instrument interfacing: they can signal instruments or analyzers to calibrate before use (www.technologynetworks.com). Key point: LIMS enable *automation of routine lab processes*, reducing errors from manual record-keeping. For example, one can automate calculation of concentration from raw instrument data, or have the system block approving a result until it passes a review step. Centralizing data in a LIMS also means better traceability. As Turnbull observes, “In a manufacturing environment the quality results stored in a LIMS can be used to trace back to the manufacturing process itself” (www.technologynetworks.com).

Instrument integration – LIMS ↔ CDS: A significant function of LIMS is to interface with instruments and CDS. A bi-directional LIMS-CDS interface works in two stages (www.scientific-computing.com). First, the LIMS sends sample/run information to the CDS to set up an instrument sequence (including sample IDs and test

methods). After the CDS acquires and processes the chromatograms, the LIMS retrieves the key results (peak areas, concentrations, etc.) back from the CDS. The LIMS then performs any final calculations or specification checks before committing the results to the database (www.technologynetworks.com) (www.scientific-computing.com). This integration removes manual transcription of numbers and helps enforce consistency: e.g. a barcode scanned sample in LIMS carries through to the instrument. In practice, exploiting this requires either a vendor-supplied connector (many LIMS vendors have configurable interfaces for major CDS products (www.scientific-computing.com)) or middleware. Regardless, such integration is now commonplace in quality labs and is often a key justification for LIMS deployment (www.scientific-computing.com).

Capabilities and modules. LIMS systems are often customizable and modular. Beyond core sample tracking, typical modules include: data management for test results and computations, customer/clinical trial management, document control, and LIMS-enabled Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) connections. Many LIMS work in tandem with SDMS – either via built-in SDMS functionality or by integration – so that instrument raw data can be archived even as the LIMS stores only processed values. Some vendors even incorporate ELN-like features (protocol templates, electronic signatures) into their LIMS suites, though usually in regulated environments an organization might run separate ELN and LIMS systems.

Benefits and use cases. LIMS are indispensable in regulated and high-throughput labs. Benefits include increased **productivity and efficiency** (spend less time on data entry), improved **data integrity** (reducing transcription errors), and **centralized data storage** (www.technologynetworks.com). Compliance is easier, since LIMS enforce procedural steps (e.g. a test cannot skip calibration) and keep full audit logs. Many pharmaceutical and environmental labs view LIMS as mandatory: one industry article notes LIMS “have become an essential part of the modern laboratory” (www.wega-it.com). In fields where multiple tests are run daily across many samples, LIMS dramatically speeds turnaround and enables complex scheduling that paper or spreadsheets cannot support.

Example scenario. Consider a contract research laboratory processing water samples for contaminants. Each sample is logged into the LIMS and given a unique ID (www.technologynetworks.com). The LIMS assigns tests (e.g. GC analysis for pesticides) and triggers instrument sequences in the CDS. After analysis, results (e.g. detected compound concentrations) come back into the LIMS, which checks them against regulatory limits and flags any exceedances. The LIMS prints a report or Certificate of Analysis directly. All while inventory consumption, instrument usage and operator actions are tracked. Without a LIMS, staff would be manually tracking sample vials, waiting for printed chromatograms, and risking missed re-tests.

Challenges and considerations. LIMS implementations can be complex and costly. Each lab's requirements (test protocols, regulatory needs, integration points) may necessitate significant configuration or even software development. Absence of “one universal LIMS to rule them all” means organizations must prioritize the workflows or labs to bring under LIMS control first. Data migration (transferring legacy data into the new LIMS) can be burdensome. Many implementations fail to streamline or standardize because existing paper habits are entrenched. Nevertheless, a well-executed LIMS can yield high ROI through efficiency gains and batch processing capacity that far outweigh initial effort.

Scientific Data Management Systems (SDMS)

Definition and role. A Scientific Data Management System (SDMS) is essentially an automated repository for *all* scientific data, with a focus on instrument-generated content. One expert describes it as “an *Electronic Document Management System (EDMS) specifically designed for managing laboratory instrument data.*” (www.csolsinc.com). While a traditional EDMS would store things like SOP manuals, policies, and specifications, an SDMS stores chromatograms, spectra, raw instrument files, scanned reports, images, and any other digital output from lab instruments (www.csolsinc.com).

Why SDMS is needed. Laboratories quickly accumulate huge data volumes from instruments (mass spectrometers, chromatography, spectroscopy, imaging, etc.). This data often comes in proprietary formats that require the original software to read, and only a subset (reports or peak tables) make it into other systems like LIMS. Without an SDMS, raw data would languish on individual instrument PCs. An SDMS “sweeps” or *automatically* collects files from instruments or network folders and imports them into a central, searchable database. For regulated records, FDA says applicable predicate rules still govern record retention and availability ([FDA guidance](#)). The SDMS also assigns attributes (project, sample ID, date) to files, enabling retrieval by content or context.

A key challenge: instrument data is non-standard. For example, a GC run file cannot be read without the vendor software. The SDMS addresses this by archiving not only the raw data (for long-term compliance), but often generating standardized formats (e.g. ASCII reports, PDFs) that can be reviewed without vendor tools (www.csolsinc.com). Importantly, an SDMS may interface to the LIMS: after a LIMS instructs a CDS to run samples, a copy of the raw chromatographic data and final report can automatically flow into the SDMS.

Features.

- **Data capture:** SDMS “automated data repository” modules connect to labs’ instruments and import data without user intervention (www.waters.com). This can be done by “sweeping” shared folders, using file watchers, or instrument adapters.
- **Metadata indexing:** Unlike raw instrument folders, an SDMS can assign metadata tags to each dataset (e.g. sample ID from LIMS, project name, analyst, date/time) so scientists can quickly search for all data related to a particular study or compound.
- **Security and integrity:** SDMS solutions typically include audit trails (who accessed data, changed retention), access controls, and checksums to prevent tampering. Given regulatory emphasis (e.g. FDA Part 11 requires secure records), SDMS vendors highlight compliance: Waters notes its NuGenesis SDMS “helps manage data to meet standards including GLP, GxP, cGMP, and 21 CFR Part 11” (www.waters.com).
- **Data retrieval and analysis tools:** Many SDMS products provide viewers or plug-ins to open major data formats. For example, one can click a chromatogram thumbnail and view the separation in the browser. Some SDMS support basic queries (filter by run date, instrument, etc.) and even provide APIs to export data to analysis pipelines (Spotfire, KNIME, etc.) (www.sciencedirect.com).
- **Scalability:** Designed for “exponentially increasing” data volume (www.waters.com), SDMS platforms often employ robust storage (databases, redundant disk or tape) and indexing schemes to handle millions of files.

Use cases. SDMS are especially common in analytical chemistry and life-science labs where large instrument outputs are the norm. For example, pharmaceutical QC labs use SDMS to archive HPLC, GC, LC-MS runs; NMR labs archive spectra; IC labs archive conductometric logs, etc. Environmental labs use SDMS to hold colony counts, spectra, and instrument logs for years (for audit or legal evidence). Astrix says the biopharma sought partners to implement SampleManager LIMS, Empower 3 CDS, and NuGenesis SDMS across its laboratories ([Astrix case study](#)). Astrix reports that the SampleManager MEC release was completed at all 11 customer sites.

Impact and integration. When paired with LIMS and ELNs, an SDMS ensures that **no data is lost**. For instance, numerical results may go into the LIMS, but the full chromatogram or spectra file still resides in the SDMS, linked to that sample. Users can later retrieve the raw trace (for instance, during audits or re-analysis). In an “ELN-centric” integration model, final laboratory data is also archived in the SDMS and used for visualization or mining (www.sciencedirect.com). Without an SDMS, data queries would rely solely on processed values; with an SDMS, scientists can reprocess raw data if needed.

Example. The Waters NuGenesis platform illustrates a typical SDMS workflow. It automatically captures diverse instrument data (HPLC, GC, ICP, etc.) into one unified repository (www.waters.com). Users can then search by analyte, sample ID, date range, etc., and instantly view the associated chromatogram or report in the system’s web interface. The SDMS can also export subsets of data into LIMS or informatics pipelines. Such centralization has been shown to enhance collaboration (multiple scientists accessing data concurrently), speed audits (immediate access to raw evidence), and support advanced analytics (feeding historical data to machine learning models) (www.waters.com).

Chromatography Data Systems (CDS)

Definition and scope. A Chromatography Data System (CDS) is specialized software that controls chromatographic instruments (gas or liquid), captures raw signals, and processes them into peaks and quantitated results. Major CDS products include Agilent’s ChemStation, Thermo’s Chromeleon, Waters’ Empower, and others. These systems typically come bundled with or certified for specific analyzers. Unlike LIMS or ELN, a CDS is *instrument-centric*: its primary user is the lab analyst running a GC/LC, and its interface is tuned to chromatographic workflows (sequence setup, injection logs, detector settings).

Key functions. As one expert summarizes, “the role of a CDS is to manage the instruments, system suitability tests, and the acquisition and processing of the chromatograms associated with the chromatography tests” (www.technologynetworks.com). In practice, a CDS provides:

- **Instrument control:** The CDS software drives the hardware (injector, column oven, detectors) for scheduled sample runs. It ensures system suitability tests (blank runs, standards) are passed before proceeding with unknowns.
- **Data acquisition:** It continuously records raw detector signals (voltage vs. time for chromatograms). These data include not just target compounds but all instrument conditions (baseline noise, impurities).
- **Data processing:** The CDS applies peak detection and integration algorithms. Analysts can adjust baselines and peak parameters interactively. It automatically calculates concentrations from calibration curves, if desired.

- **Reporting:** Once runs complete, the CDS prints chromatogram plots, peak tables, and summary reports. Many labs use the CDS's built-in reporting for routine record-keeping. Typically, the CDS data file holds everything, and PDF or HTML reports are extracts.
- **Audit features:** For regulated labs, CDS include audit trails and security features, since raw chromatograms are considered raw data. Any manual integration changes or reprocessing are logged.

As Turnbull notes, CDS “perform their well-defined purpose exceptionally well”

(www.technologynetworks.com), reflecting their maturity. They have become extremely sophisticated and robust after ~30 years of development.

Integration with LIMS/SDMS. CDS are usually standalone applications per instrument but are designed to interoperate with LIMS and SDMS. In an integrated lab, a typical workflow is “LIMS → CDS → LIMS.” The LIMS sends a **sequence** file to the CDS (via a picklist of samples and tests) (www.technologynetworks.com). After processing, the CDS returns the key results to the LIMS. The LIMS can then run any additional calculations or spec checks. For example, a GC analysis might report a set of component concentrations; the CDS sends those numbers to the LIMS, which then computes purity or compliance metrics. The LIMS might store only the final values, whereas the CDS archive (or an SDMS) retains the raw chromatogram.

Historically this integration required custom interfaces, but nowadays many LIMS/CDS vendors supply connectors. For instance, Labtronics (now part of Thermo Fisher) offered configurable interfaces to allow any LIMS to speak with ChemStation, Empower, Chromeleon, etc. These interfaces handle mapping fields (e.g. sample ID, method parameters) between the systems (www.scientific-computing.com). The advantage is a seamless workflow: results flow automatically and human transcription is minimized.

Data handling. A CDS operates at the data generation level. It **acquires** multiple gigabytes of raw detector data per run and **reduces** them to validated peak lists. Users may extract 5–10 data points per sample (if only a few analytes are monitored). The full chromatogram (with background noise, all baseline fluctuations) remains stored in the CDS format. In practice, labs often export reports from the CDS to clip into LIMS or reports, but retain the original CDS project files either locally or via an SDMS.

Example workflow. Imagine a battery of HPLC analyses: the lab supervisor sets up a sequence of 50 samples and 10 standards in the LIMS, which sends it to Empower CDS. The analyst walks away while the instrument runs overnight. Next morning, Empower displays all 60 chromatograms. The analyst reviews peaks, confirms areas, and clicks “Export to LIMS.” The LIMS ingests the result CSV (with concentrations) linked to each sample. Meanwhile, the raw chromatogram files were automatically backed up into the NuGenesis SDMS, available for later re-evaluation if needed. The LIMS then uses the imported values to generate a batch report.

Case Studies and Examples

Global pharma standardization (Astrix case): Astrix identified SampleManager LIMS interfaces to and from Empower as future work in its [case study](#).

ELN-centric integration model: Machina and Wild propose an ELN-centric model for integrating laboratory informatics tools ([Machina and Wild](#)).

Quality Control Lab (Instrument focus): In many QA/QC labs (pharma production, food safety, environmental monitoring), the primary drivers are 1) sample throughput and 2) regulatory compliance. These labs often deploy a **robust LIMS** along with automated instrumentation. For example, a water testing lab might barcode all incoming samples. The LIMS schedules all routine tests (pH, heavy metals, organics). Each sample's measurements are automatically transferred via SDMS integrations into the LIMS. The QC manager uses the LIMS to generate a compliance report per sample (flagging any contaminated results). In such settings, an ELN might be absent or used only for R&D side (the analytical lab may not need an ELN since procedures are fixed and paper SOPs are fine).

Academic Research Lab (Lean setup): Conversely, in smaller academic labs, cost and flexibility dominate. Often no formal LIMS is used (since sample flows are less standardized). Instead, principal investigators rely on ELNs (or even ad-hoc electronic directories) to record experiments. They may still use a CDS or SDMS if chromatography is routine; for example, a chemistry lab might still run GC data through ChemStation and export PDF reports as supplementary data for publication, but might not have an integrated LIMS. Here, ELN vs LIMS vs SDMS depends on complexity: if only a handful of experiments, even a shared lab notebook app (ELN) may suffice. But as projects scale (multi-user), the need for LIMS or SDMS can emerge.

These examples illustrate that **no single system suffices for every need**. Instead, one chooses a **stack** of systems that fit the lab's processes. A small synthetic chemistry lab might opt for an ELN and a CDS only. A large QA lab might use LIMS+SDMS and occasionally an ELN for documenting unusual experiments. An integrated R&D enterprise likely uses all four, carefully interfaced.

Analysis of Data and Trends

Market and adoption data. Grand View Research valued the global LIMS market at USD 2.1 billion in 2025 and projected USD 3.5 billion by 2033, with a 6.6% CAGR for 2026–2033 ([Grand View Research](#)). Mordor Intelligence estimates the ELN market at USD 480.44 million in 2025 and projects USD 707.37 million by 2031 ([Mordor Intelligence](#)). The Pistoia Alliance's 2025 survey found that 80% of respondents used cloud data platforms in the lab, up from 70% in 2023 ([Pistoia Alliance](#)). The Pistoia Alliance reported that **77% of survey respondents expected to use AI within two years** ([Pistoia Alliance](#)). The 2026 follow-on data continues this trajectory: cloud-native deployments (Benchling, Revvity Signals Notebook, Scilligence, Sapio) are now winning more new RFPs than on-prem incumbents, and AI co-pilots inside the ELN/LIMS (e.g. Benchling AI, Dotmatics Luma analytics, Sapio's Eureka AI) have moved from pilots to production use in pharma R&D.

Integration and interoperability. Analyses emphasize that integration of tools is crucial. Chambers *et al.* (2013) argue that without integration, “downstream activities (knowledge management) are crucially dependent on the effective integration of data and tools” (www.sciencedirect.com). Data silos (data locked in individual systems) inhibit data-driven decision-making. Luckily, industry trends show these silos are gradually eroding: the Pistoia survey reported that data silos remain the top challenge, but this barrier decreased by ~9% in one year as labs adopt shared platforms (www.technologynetworks.com). The entry of standardized data formats and APIs is helping. For example, emerging standards like **AnIML (Analytical Information Markup Language)** and **Allotrope Data Format** aim to make instrument data exchangeable.

On another axis, cloud and FAIR data practices are gaining ground. The LabManager article highlights three strategic trends: breaking down silos (i.e. integrating LIMS/ELN/SDMS data), migrating to cloud platforms (e.g. SaaS ELN/LIMS) and adopting FAIR (Findable/Accessible/Interoperable/Reusable) data governance (www.labmanager.com). This reflects a shift to “digital-first, AI-ready” labs where data can flow freely between ELN, LIMS, SDMS, HPC clusters, and machine learning tools (www.labmanager.com).

Cost-benefit and ROI evidence. Quantitative ROI studies are rare in literature, but anecdotal evidence is strong. Case studies (like [60]) frequently highlight reductions in manual errors, faster release times, and saved staff hours. For example, LIMS automating QC checks mean results that would take hours to compile can be flagged instantly. One pharmaceutical journal reported that implementing LIMS/ELN in a vaccine lab cut report generation from days to minutes. SDMS similarly avoids re-running invalidated samples (raw data can be reprocessed if spurious results occur). Precisely because informal metrics like “time saved” are hard to publish, most evidence in IEC (Industrial Engineering) reports is experiential or slide-deck style.

Future Directions and Implications

The laboratory informatics landscape continues evolving. Several future trends are apparent:

- **Cloud and SaaS adoption:** Increasingly, LIMS and ELNs are offered as cloud services. Lab responses in 2025 show rising cloud use (80% cited cloud data platforms (www.technologynetworks.com)). Cloud systems reduce IT burden and enable real-time collaboration across sites. However, they raise concerns about data security, costs, and compliance (some highly regulated labs still prefer on-premise). Overall, the shift to cloud/SaaS parallels trends in enterprise IT.
- **AI and analytics integration:** With 77% of Pistoia Alliance survey respondents expecting to use AI within two years ([Pistoia Alliance](http://PistoiaAlliance)), informatics stacks must feed AI engines. LIMS/ELNs need clean, annotated data APIs for data mining, and SDMS must make raw data easily accessible to algorithms. By 2026, generative AI and retrieval-augmented features are mainstream in commercial ELNs: **Benchling AI** ships with experiment summarization, protocol drafting, and natural-language search across notebook entries; **Dotmatics Luma** and **Revvity Signals** have added LLM-powered query and analysis layers; **Sapio Eureka AI** offers natural-language assay search inside LIMS; and **LabVantage** has added generative AI for QC trending. The FDA's January 2025 draft guidance on AI/ML in drug development (and the January 2026 follow-up guiding principles) is also nudging vendors to bake validation traceability into AI features. Data standards (FAIR principles, Allotrope ADF) accelerate this trend (www.labmanager.com).
- **IoT and real-time integration:** The “lab of the future” envisions tightly-coupled instrument fleets. Instruments will stream data in near real-time to informatics hubs. Wi-Fi enabled devices, home-built sensors, and robotics will feed both ELN (for protocols) and LIMS/SDMS (for results) dynamically. Some labs are already piloting “automated experiments” where devices trigger next experiments. In such an environment, the lines between LIMS/SDMS/CDS might blur as all become microservices in a larger IoT ecosystem.

- **Standardization and consolidation:** Market pressures continue to drive consolidation. Large vendors (Thermo Fisher, Waters, LabWare, LabVantage, STARLIMS) offer integrated stacks with LIMS+ELN+SDMS modules. Recent moves underscore the trend: **Dotmatics** became part of Siemens' Digital Industries Software business (**Siemens**); **Sapio Sciences** has gained share in unified LIMS+ELN+SDMS; and Benchling continues to dominate biotech R&D ELN with rapid AI feature additions. At the same time, open-source/composable platforms (eLabFTW, Open Enventory, openBIS, SciNote) are gaining traction, especially in academia. The key remains interoperability — emerging standards (Allotrope ADF, AnIML, SiLA 2) and FAIR data initiatives are slowly making best-of-breed stacks viable.
- **Greater use of mobile and AR/VR:** Field labs and even bench scientists are using mobile tablets more. AR could guide technicians through LIMS tasks by overlaying instructions on real equipment. Voice interfaces (“Hey LabLIMS, log sample 123 done”) may appear. Such advancements will require informatics systems that support mobile UIs and API-driven control.

Across all these trends, the constant is that laboratories will remain pluralistic: **multiple informatics tools working together**. The “stack” will evolve (e.g. incorporating electronic Lab Execution Systems (LES) or electronic Batch Records (EBR) in manufacturing pharma), but LIMS, ELN, SDMS and CDS will stay as core pillars for the foreseeable future. The choice of which to implement depends on the lab's mission: quality control labs cannot do without LIMS/CDS/SDMS, whereas discovery labs often start with ELNs and add LIMS/SDMS as they scale.

Crucially, the integration patterns noted earlier will dictate success. Laboratories should plan their informatics architecture – workflow integration, modular links, or service layers – before purchase. For instance, if a lab already uses KNIME for data analysis, a workflow-centric approach may be easiest. If an enterprise already has a strong IT service bus, SOA might be optimal. In any case, the trend is clear: **data silos must break down** for labs to fully leverage their results. Tools that enable easy linking (unique sample IDs across systems, standard metadata tags, RESTful APIs) will be in high demand.

Conclusion

Selecting the “right” lab informatics stack is inherently context-dependent, but there are guiding principles. **First**, clearly define the lab's primary goals and processes. If the priority is sample throughput and regulatory reporting (e.g. production QC), a robust *LIMS+CDS(+SDMS)* solution is essential. If the priority is experiment design and knowledge capture (e.g. R&D discovery), an *ELN-centric* approach, possibly with CDS or SDMS add-ons for data, makes sense. **Second**, plan for integration. No lab exists in a vacuum: consider how instrument data will flow, how experiment notes link to samples, and where final records reside. Adopting proven integration models (like those in Table 2 (www.sciencedirect.com) (www.scientific-computing.com)) can avoid pitfalls. **Third**, involve end-users. Successful deployments require researchers, QC analysts, and IT staff all aligned on workflow requirements and training. Missing this often dooms projects to underuse.

In sum, LIMS, ELNs, SDMSs, and CDSs are **complementary tools**. Our literature and case studies show that no one type can replace another: a CDS cannot schedule batch releases, an ELN cannot enforce a sample tree, and a LIMS cannot visualize raw peak shapes. Their strength comes from integration and coordinated

use. The guiding decision should be: *what data do I need to manage and how do I need to use it?* Then choose the system(s) that align with that purpose, using respected platforms that support the lab's growth.

As one integration expert put it, achieving a truly “actionable chemistry” environment means bridging all lab informatics silos (www.sciencedirect.com). When chosen and woven together wisely, the informatics stack transforms a lab from a collection of instruments into a data-driven enterprise.

References: The arguments above draw on industry reviews, vendor information, and expert interviews. Key sources include Waters, Thermo, and Labworks whitepapers (www.waters.com) (www.csolsinc.com) (www.labguru.com), a 2013 SLAS Technology integration review (www.sciencedirect.com) (www.technologynetworks.com), a 2024 technology networks Q&A (www.technologynetworks.com) (www.technologynetworks.com), and recent surveys of lab IT trends (www.labmanager.com) (www.technologynetworks.com). Each claim is supported by cited literature as indicated.

Source links

Links cited in this article, in order of first appearance. Full addresses are provided for readers using extracted text.

1. <https://www.technologynetworks.com/informatics/articles/elN-lims-cds-les-whats-the-difference-313834>
2. <https://www.csolsinc.com/blog/practical-applications-of-an-sdms/>
3. <https://www.labguru.com/blog/the-advantages-of-an-integrating-sdms-scientific-data-management-system-with-lims-elN>
4. <https://www.scientific-computing.com/feature/chromatography-integrates-well>
5. <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/part-11-electronic-records-electronic-signatures-scope-and-application>
6. <https://www.waters.com/nextgen/en/products/informatics-and-software/lms-and-data-management/nugensis-software/nugensis-sdms.html>
7. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2472630322016065>
8. <https://www.labmanager.com/three-informatics-trends-reshaping-the-lab-of-tomorrow-34389>
9. <https://www.technologynetworks.com/immunology/articles/ai-skills-gap-on-the-horizon-signals-lab-of-the-future-survey-406288>
10. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4197872/>
11. <https://www.wega-it.com/history-and-future-lims/>
12. <https://pistoiaalliance.org/news/survey-ai-adoption-life-sciences-labs-skills-gap/>
13. <https://www.astrixinc.com/case-study/astrix-case-study-samplemanager-lims-implementation-and-integration/>
14. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/2211068212454852>
15. <https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/laboratory-information-management-system-lims-market>
16. <https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/electronic-laboratory-notebook-elN-market>
17. <https://news.siemens.com/en-us/dotmatics-closing/>

THE PUBLISHER

About IntuitionLabs

IntuitionLabs is an AI consulting, custom software development and data engineering firm serving pharmaceutical, biotechnology, medical-device and other life-science organizations. We work with clinical, regulatory, medical-affairs, commercial, quality and IT teams to connect technology decisions with the work people need to accomplish.

AI consulting and adoption

Our AI enablement services cover readiness assessments, use-case selection, governance and policies, team workshops, adoption measurement and ongoing advisory support. We help organizations structure the information layer behind AI: source material, context, permissions and maintained knowledge that make generated answers useful and reviewable. Private LLM inference and hosted AI options support teams evaluating how to operate AI with appropriate control over their data and infrastructure.

Software, data and life-science workflows

IntuitionLabs develops custom software for pharma and biotech, integrates enterprise systems, and builds data engineering and business intelligence solutions. Areas of focus include AI agents, regulatory research, medical writing, medical affairs, CMC information, competitive intelligence and clinical-document workflows. Our eTMF intelligence work includes cross-system reconciliation and inspection-readiness support.

Enterprise platforms and regulated delivery

We provide Veeva services, application support, managed services, integrations and custom applications, alongside enterprise content work involving platforms such as Egnyte. For regulated workflows, our services include GxP enablement, computer-system validation and software development addressing 21 CFR Part 11 requirements. The applicable controls, validation responsibilities and acceptance criteria are defined for each engagement.

Work with IntuitionLabs

Explore [AI enablement](#), [pharma and biotech software development](#), [data engineering and BI](#), and [Veeva services](#). [Contact IntuitionLabs](#) to discuss your workflow, information sources and implementation needs.

IntuitionLabs publishes educational research to help life-science teams make informed technology decisions. Coverage of a product or organization does not imply a client relationship, endorsement or partnership.

Website: <https://intuitionlabs.ai>

This article is educational. Verify consequential medical, legal, financial, regulatory and technical decisions with appropriate professionals and the cited primary sources. Company services are described separately from the article's research findings.