IntuitionLabs

INTUITIONLABS / RESEARCH & PRACTICAL GUIDANCE

Health Canada eCTD Submission Guide: Requirements & Process

> Build practical AI for pharma and biotech with IntuitionLabs. We help life-science teams turn complex information and workflows into useful software, governed knowledge systems and AI tools.

Published by IntuitionLabs · 2026-01-14 · health canada ectd · ectd submission · regulatory affairs canada · cesg · drug master file · ectd validation rules · regulatory enrolment process · ich ectd

Original article: https://intuitionlabs.ai/articles/health-canada-ectd-submission-guide



In this article

1. Executive Summary
2. Introduction and Background
3. Regulatory Framework and Mandatory eCTD Adoption
4. Health Canada eCTD Guidance Documents
5. Technical Requirements: Submission Structure and Content
6. eCTD Dossier Structure
7. Canadian Module 1 Content
8. Filing Process: REP and CESG
9. Validation Rules and Submission Quality
10. Case Examples and Industry Perspectives
11. Validation Results and Processing Outcomes
12. Future Implications and Trends
13. Conclusion

[Revised May 9, 2026] Updated to reflect the latest eCTD v4.0 implementation roadmap (ICH's regional implementation table, updated August 2026, lists Health Canada as planning a 2027–2028 technical pilot, voluntary use in 2028 and mandatory use in 2030; these are planned dates and may change (admin.ich.org)) and confirmed validation rules v5.3 effective dates.

Executive Summary

Health Canada has fully transitioned to electronic submissions for drug regulatory activities, requiring sponsors to file most applications and supplements in the eCTD (electronic Common Technical Document) format via the Common Electronic Submissions Gateway (CESG). Over the last decade Canada has incrementally mandated eCTD: by January 2018 key drug submissions (e.g. NDS, SNDS, ANDS) had to be in eCTD (pharmdevgroup.com), and by June 2019 the requirement expanded to virtually all Part C regulatory activities including varied safety reports (www.masuuglobal.com). new Master Files (Drug Master Files) were required in eCTD format by around 2020, while existing non-eCTD Master Files may still file updates and letters of access in non-eCTD format (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca) (jp.certara.com), and the CESG has been the mandatory transmission route for eCTD transactions since January 2017 (www.canada.ca). Comprehensive guidance documents now cover eCTD and non-eCTD formats, submission structure, and technical specifications – including an 88-page eCTD guidance (effective March 13, 2020) (publications.gc.ca) and a 42-page non-eCTD guidance (effective May 15, 2024) (publications.gc.ca). Detailed validation rules (version 5.3, effective May 31, 2025) check hundreds of technical criteria (e.g. folder structure, file sizes, naming conventions) on each eCTD package (www.canada.ca). The Regulatory Enrolment Process (REP) standardizes sponsor, product, and dossier metadata across submissions (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca). Case examples illustrate practical eCTD usage (e.g. converting legacy Master Files by submitting the full dossier in the first eCTD transaction (www.canada.ca)). Looking ahead, Health Canada is collaborating with

stakeholders on adopting eCTD v4.0 – a new ICH standard offering advanced lifecycle management and two-way communication features (www.canada.ca) – while continuing to refine guidance and tools for industry compliance.

Introduction and Background

Over the past two decades, Health Canada's regulatory regime for pharmaceuticals has aligned increasingly with international electronic standards (ICH eCTD) to streamline submissions and reviews. The **eCTD** (electronic Common Technical Document) format – harmonized by the International Council for Harmonisation – structures a drug dossier into five Modules (Module 1 containing region-specific administrative documents, and Modules 2–5 covering summaries and scientific data). Sponsors prepare a submission as a series of XML "backbone" files and PDF documents organized into these modules. Deploying eCTD enables rapid transmission (via Health Canada's Common Electronic Submissions Gateway, CESG) and automated validation, leading to faster and more reliable regulatory reviews.

Historically, Canada accepted paper CTD dossiers, but began piloting electronic submissions in the 2000s. In **July 2016**, Health Canada announced that **January 1, 2018** would be the proposed mandatory date for key eCTD filing (pharmdevgroup.com). This was confirmed in April 2017: from 1 Jan 2018 "specified regulatory activities" must be in eCTD (pharmdevgroup.com). Over subsequent years the mandate expanded. By **December 2018**, roughly **93%** of submissions under Part C (drug approvals) were already eCTD (www.masuuglobal.com). On June 1, 2019 Health Canada extended the requirement to the remaining Part C activity types – including supplemental reports (e.g. Periodic Safety Update Reports and Risk Management Plans) for human drugs (www.masuuglobal.com). Simultaneously, the CESG (a Canada–FDA joint electronic gateway) became the *mandatory* means of transmitting eCTD regulatory transactions since January 2017 (www.canada.ca).

Today, virtually all major drug submissions to Health Canada – including New Drug Submissions (NDS), New Drug Submission Supplements (SNDS), Abbreviated NDS (ANDS), Pre-Submission Meeting requests (MPNDS/MPDIN), Post-Notice of Compliance reports, and Master Files – must be prepared in eCTD format (exceptions are very limited). (Clinical Trial Applications may be filed in eCTD or in a non-eCTD electronic format by email.) Over time, guidance and processes have been published to support this. Key documents include Health Canada's *Guidance Document: Preparation of Regulatory Activities in eCTD Format* (effective March 13, 2020) (publications.gc.ca) and its counterpart *Preparation of Regulatory Activities in Non-eCTD Format* (May 15, 2024 edition; Health Canada's filing portal now lists a revised edition dated November 27, 2025 (www.canada.ca)) (publications.gc.ca). Health Canada's filing guidance page lists these and many other resources (www.canada.ca).

The transition to all-electronic filings aligns Canada with other ICH regulators. As of 2026, only a few agencies (notably Japan and the US FDA) have implemented optional eCTD v4.0 submissions (globalforum.diaglobal.org). ICH's regional implementation table (updated August 2026) lists Health Canada as planning a technical pilot in 2027–2028, voluntary eCTD v4.0 use in 2028 and mandatory use in 2030 (admin.ich.org); Health Canada's own filing portal gives no implementation date yet (www.canada.ca), and, as in most ICH regions, eCTD v4.0 dates have slipped before (freyrsolutions.com). Health Canada published a **draft Canadian Module 1 v4.0 Implementation Guide** in June 2019 for stakeholder comment

(www.canada.ca), signaling an eventual move to eCTD 4.0. In the interim, Canada's technical requirements (folder hierarchies, XML schema, naming rules, etc.) adhere to ICH eCTD v3.2.2 plus regional specifics. This report examines Health Canada's eCTD guidance and processes in depth: the regulatory framework, technical specifications, validation protocols, submission workflows, and stakeholder perspectives. We also discuss challenges, case examples, and future directions (including eCTD 4.0 and digital innovations). All claims herein are supported by Health Canada publications, notices, and industry analyses.

Regulatory Framework and Mandatory eCTD Adoption

Health Canada's Pharmacy Regulatory Environment is governed by the **Food and Drugs Act** and its attendant regulations (Food and Drug Regulations, Natural Health Products Regulations, etc.). For pharmaceuticals, applications (e.g. NDS, ANDS, SNDS) must satisfy the requirements set out in Part C of the Food and Drug Regulations. Overarching policies now require that submissions be filed electronically. Health Canada's *Guidance on management of drug submissions and applications* indicates that **"Regulatory transactions must be filed electronically to Health Canada … either in eCTD format or non-eCTD electronic format"** (www.canada.ca). In practice, this means sponsors can no longer mail paper dossiers: instead submissions go through the health agency's Common Electronic Submissions Gateway (CESG) and the Regulatory Enrolment Process (REP) framework.

Health Canada has **phased in mandatory eCTD use** by submission type (see Table below). Notable milestones include: Mandatory eCTD for new drug submissions (NDS/SNDS/ANDS, Part C Division 8) effective Jan 1, 2018 (pharmdevgroup.com); expanded mandatory eCTD to cover nearly all Part C activities (including supplemental reports and post-market surveillance submissions) by mid-2019 (www.masuuglobal.com); and mandatory eCTD for all new Master Files in 2020 (jp.certara.com). The following table highlights key dates and requirements:

Date	Milestone	Source / Details
Jan 2017	Common Electronic Submissions Gateway (CESG) becomes the *mandatory* transmission route for eCTD transactions (www.canada.ca).	HC Notice (Jan 2017); use of FDA ESG for Canada.
Jan 1, 2018	eCTD **mandatory** for primary drug submissions in Part C, Div 8: New Drug Submissions (NDS), Supplements, ANDS, etc. (pharmdevgroup.com).	HC announced (Apr 2017) (pharmdevgroup.com); covers NDS/SNDS/ANDS.
Jun 1, 2019	eCTD mandate expanded to remaining Div 8 activities: EU-style NDS/NDS-C, supplemental change notifications, YBPRs, risk mgmt plans for NOC/c, etc. (www.masuuglobal.com). Also Post-Market vigilance reports (PSUR/PBRER to MHPD, RMPs).	HC Notice (2019) (www.masuuglobal.com).
By2020	eCTD mandatory for **all new Master Files** (Type I–IV) (jp.certara.com); existing non-eCTD MFs may continue to file updates and letters of access in non-eCTD (www.canada.ca) (see the 2018 consultation on eCTD Master Files (www.canada.ca)).	HC Consultation (2018) (www.canada.ca); confirmed Jan1,2020 (jp.certara.com).

Date	Milestone	Source / Details
Mar 13, 2020	Health Canada's *eCTD Guidance* (H164-293/2019) takes effect (publications.gc.ca), codifying the filing rules and structure.	Health Canada guidance (Mar 2020) (publications.gc.ca).
May 15, 2024	*Non-eCTD Guidance* (H164-272/2024) takes effect, covering electronic-only filings outside eCTD (publications.gc.ca).	Health Canada guidance (May 2024) (publications.gc.ca).
May 31, 2025	*eCTD Validation Rules* v5.3 becomes effective (notice published Jan 10, 2025) (www.canada.ca), reflecting updated schema and file checks.	Health Canada Notice (Jan 2025) (www.canada.ca).
June 26, 2019	Draft *Canadian eCTD v4 Module 1 Implementation Guide* published for consultation (www.canada.ca).	Consultation Notice (Jun 2019) (www.canada.ca).

This timeline shows that by early 2020, virtually all significant regulatory filings (including new **drug master files**, New Drug Submissions and ANDS) were subject to the eCTD requirement; clinical trial applications may still be filed in eCTD or non-eCTD format (www.canada.ca). Health Canada's notices emphasize that once a sponsor files in eCTD format, **"all additional information and subsequent transactions"** for that application must also be eCTD (www.canada.ca). For instance, converting a legacy paper MF requires resubmitting the entire dossier in the first eCTD sequence (www.canada.ca) (not just a cover letter or amendment).

At the same time, Health Canada has kept provisions for non-eCTD electronic submission where eCTD is not applicable. A separate guidance (H164-272/2024) describes the structure, content and transmission of "non-eCTD" filings (publications.gc.ca). In practice, the primary residual non-eCTD uses are certain clinical trial submissions and device submissions; most drug-related regulatory activities now use eCTD.

Health Canada's move to mandatory e-submissions was partly driven by international harmonization goals. The Agency has noted the need to stay aligned with other regulators (e.g., FDA, EMA) and the ICH. Indeed, Health Canada's common gateway is a joint project with FDA (www.canada.ca). By using the FDA Electronic Submission Gateway (ESG) infrastructure and REP forms, Canada effectively leverages US systems. To submit via the CESG, sponsors register as an FDA ESG "Trading Partner" and select "HC" as the destination (www.canada.ca). This setup means that after registration, filings destined for Canada are automatically routed via the FDA platform (www.canada.ca). In this way, Health Canada has integrated into the North American electronic submissions ecosystem.

Health Canada eCTD Guidance Documents

To assist industry in complying with these requirements, Health Canada has published numerous guidance documents, schemas, and form templates. The primary reference for sponsors is the 2019 *Guidance Document: Preparation of Regulatory Activities in the Electronic Common Technical Document (eCTD) Format* (publications.gc.ca). This 88-page guidance (effective March 13, 2020) "defines the eCTD format process requirements" and provides detailed instructions on dossier content and structure (publications.gc.ca). It incorporates ICH standards (v3.2.2) and augments them with Canadian-specific provisions. For Module 1 (the regional section), Health Canada has a separate schema and "Module 1 Backbone" guidance (see below).

The eCTD guidance and related files (XML schema, stylesheet, backbone samples) are available from the Government of Canada Publications portal or upon request via email (no-reply.ereview) (publications.gc.ca) (www.canada.ca).

Since some submissions cannot be eCTD, Canada also released a *Guidance Document: Preparation of Regulatory Activities in Non-eCTD Format* (May 15, 2024) (publications.gc.ca). This 42-page document mirrors the eCTD guidance but for a "non-eCTD electronic-only" context: it covers dossier structure (e.g. folder names), acceptable file types, transcription of forms, etc., for human drugs, vet drugs and disinfectants. This guidance supersedes earlier informal instructions and clarifies how to assemble and transmit zip-file submissions outside the eCTD framework. Both guidance documents describe how transactions are packaged and transmitted (publications.gc.ca) (publications.gc.ca).

Transmission routes differ by transaction type: eCTD transactions and REP XML files go through the CESG, transactions larger than 10 GB go on physical media (www.canada.ca), and CTA and CTA-A filings may be sent by email or by courier on electronic media (www.canada.ca).

In addition to the main guidance manuals, Health Canada provides a **submission guidance portal** that aggregates all relevant documents and tools. The "Filing Submissions Electronically" page on Health Canada's website is a central directory (www.canada.ca). It explicitly states: "Companies are required to file submissions electronically ... in either eCTD format or non-eCTD format" (www.canada.ca), and it links to dozens of resources organized by category. For example, it includes:

- **REP templates and forms** (for company/dossier registration) (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca).
- **Dossier ID Request Forms**: Sponsors must request a dossier (application) ID (via REP) up to 8 weeks in advance. Separate forms exist for pharmaceutical/biologic dossiers, clinical trial dossiers, Master File dossiers, etc. (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca). These web forms capture the sponsor and product information in REP.
- **Canadian Module 1 Organization**: A specific table (updated 2024-04-02) detailing how each Module 1 document maps to folder names and section numbers (www.canada.ca).
- **Master File Application Form** (latest v3.0.0, Nov 5, 2025) (www.canada.ca): an XML-based form that must accompany every MF eCTD submission.
- **CESG Information**: Links to the Electronic Submission Gateway user guides and FAQs, and instructions for registering as a trading partner (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca).

For the eCTD format specifically, the portal provides:

- **Validation Rules (eCTD)**: Publication of the current version of the eCTD validation rules (Version 5.3 as of Jan 2025) (www.canada.ca). Sponsors are strongly encouraged to run these rules on their package before submission.
- **eCTD Guidance Document** (Mar 2020) and **Clinical Trials eCTD Guidance** (upon request) (www.canada.ca).
- **Module 1 Backbone Guidance** (Sept 7, 2012) (www.canada.ca) and **Module 1 Schema v2.2** (July 6, 2012) (www.canada.ca). These define Canada's XML index file format for Module 1 submissions (which differ from the ICH base).

- **Clinical Trial Transaction Descriptions** (updated Jan 24, 2025) – controlled vocabularies and code sets for CTA documents (e.g. what to name protocol files) available on request.

For non-eCTD format, the portal lists:

- **Non-eCTD Guidance Document** (November 27, 2025; earlier edition May 15, 2024) (publications.gc.ca) (via request).
- **Validation Rules (non-eCTD)**: (effective Jan 10, 2025) (www.canada.ca), covering naming and structure checks for zip-file submissions.
- **Folder Structure Templates**: downloadable ZIP files showing sample folder trees for each product line (human drugs, vet, Master File, etc.) (www.canada.ca). These illustrate exactly how to name the top-level folders (e.g. “0001-0002-DrugName” etc.) in a non-eCTD package.

In short, Health Canada provides a full suite of written guidance, schema definitions, form templates, and example filings to guide sponsors. New documents have been released or updated frequently: e.g. in 2024–2025 alone Health Canada released a new REP Guidance Document (2025-03-17), updated MF application forms (2025), new validation rules (2025), updated non-eCTD guidance (2024), and a draft Module 1 v4 guide (2019). Stakeholders are encouraged to monitor the Health Canada website and RSS feeds for announcements.

Technical Requirements: Submission Structure and Content

eCTD Dossier Structure

An eCTD dossier is organized into a tree of folders and files following the ICH specification plus Canadian regional conventions. Each submission “sequence” is a number (0000 = initial, 0001 = first update, etc.). Within each sequence folder, the sponsor places:

- **ICH Index Files**: `index.xml` (ICH backbone) and the Canadian regional Module 1 backbone `ca-regional.xml`, which must sit in the `m1/ca` folder (validation rule F07) (www.canada.ca). These XML files list every document by path and metadata.
- **Study Tagging Files (STFs)**: ICH XML files that group and tag study reports in Modules 4 and 5; Health Canada rules A06b and H08 identify STFs and check their file-tag and category values against valid-values.xml v6 (www.canada.ca).
- **Document Files**: The scientific documents (PDFs, spreadsheets, images, etc.) organized into the CTD modules. Module 1 documents go under `m1`, Modules 2–5 under `m2`, `m3`, etc, following ICH table-of-contents. Module 1 placement must follow Health Canada’s *Organization and document placement for Canadian Module 1* table: for example, the cover letter belongs in 1.0.1, application forms in 1.2.1, patent information in 1.2.4.1 and the product monograph in 1.3.1, and Health Canada states it will reject transactions that do not follow this structure (www.canada.ca). New guidance (2024) provides an updated table mapping Module 1 elements to file paths (www.canada.ca).

The Module 1 backbone has one folder (`m1`), with subfolders only where Health Canada's technical specifications call for them (www.canada.ca). All administrative documents (cover letter, forms, indices, certificates) go in Module 1. Note that Module 2 (summaries) and Modules 3–5 (quality, nonclinical, clinical data) are shared ICH modules; Health Canada's documents do not repeat content from these modules. The draft eCTD v4 guide clarifies that **only Module 1** is Canada-specific; it explicitly states that instructions for Modules 2–5 "which are shared across all ICH regions, are not included" in the Canadian guide (www.canada.ca). In practice, sponsors follow the standard ICH eCTD categories for Modules 2–5 (e.g. quality summaries, clinical overviews) and turn them into PDFs.

Health Canada's Module 1 also may include electronic forms (Lot Release, regulatory forms). Since 2013, many Module 1 forms have been delivered as electronic Forefront (fillable) PDF or XML. For example, sponsors must now attach an XML *Master File Application Form* with every MF filing (www.canada.ca), and, under REP, a regulatory transaction (RT) XML file replaces the Drug Submission Application Form (HC/SC 3011) in section 1.2.1 Application Forms (www.canada.ca). These XML forms are validated against Health Canada's schemas during submission. To aid sponsors, the guidance site provides XML schema files and sample valid backbones for the various forms.

In contrast, a **non-eCTD submission** is an "electronic-only" package without the eCTD XML backbone and lifecycle metadata. Health Canada's non-eCTD guidance prescribes its folder structure, file naming rules, and order of documents. Sponsors sending a non-eCTD (e.g. a clinical trial application or certain legacy MF filings) must still satisfy all content requirements, but they are compiled in a simpler file tree rather than ICH-indexed. Transmission depends on the transaction type: CTA and CTA-A filings, for example, are sent by email or by courier on electronic media to the responsible directorate, and REP has no implementation date yet for clinical trials (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca).

Canadian Module 1 Content

Module 1 (Country-Specific Administrative Information) is where Health Canada's unique requirements appear. It includes items such as cover letters, administrative forms, indemnity statements, product information (Drug Identification Number, patent information, etc.), and labeling collateral. Health Canada has issued specific guidance on Module 1 content and placement:

- **Module 1 Backbone Guidance (2012)**: This defines the structural elements and numbering for Canada-specific Module 1 items. In the current placement table, for example, section 1.0 holds correspondence, 1.2 administrative information (including 1.2.1 Application Forms), 1.3 product information, 1.5 the environmental assessment statement and 1.7 clinical trial information (www.canada.ca). The guidance lists which documents should be placed in each numbered folder within `m1` (www.canada.ca). These instructions are largely still in effect; Industry typically references this guidance when assembling Module 1.
- **Canadian Module 1 Schema v2.2**: An XML schema (2012) that names the allowed elements (e.g. `<submission>` and `<application>` nodes) in Health Canada's index file. This schema underpins the XML backbone.

- **Organization & Placement Table (2024)**: A detailed table (updated April 2, 2024) indicating the file name and Module 1 location for common documents (e.g. the Drug Submission Application Form, or the REP regulatory transaction XML that replaces it, goes in section 1.2.1 Application Forms (www.canada.ca)) (www.canada.ca). Sponsors should use that table to verify their Module 1.
- **Controlled Vocabularies**: Certain fields (e.g. regulatory activity types, CSF codes) use fixed codelists. Health Canada provides these lists (e.g. via the "Controlled Vocabulary Registry" referenced in the draft v4 document (www.canada.ca)). For example, activity codes like "NDS" or "ANDS" must match Health Canada's terms.

The key point is that **all Module 1 documents must be correctly placed and named**. If the backbone XML does not match exactly the schema or enumerations, the submission will fail validation. Sponsors are advised to use the official templates and follow the published file-tree conventions exactly. As noted, "Module 1 has one folder, m1. Only use subfolders when complying with Health Canada technical specifications or guidance" (www.canada.ca). Deviating from these specs (e.g. misnaming a folder "m1/1.5" or misordering documents) triggers validation errors.

Dossier Identification and Forms

Regulatory transactions are filed against a **Dossier ID**, which Health Canada assigns after the sponsor sends a Dossier ID Request Form. REP templates are mandatory for human drugs, veterinary drugs and disinfectants, and for medical devices since April 2026, but have no implementation date yet for clinical trials (www.canada.ca). For example, a new drug application might require a "Dossier ID Request Form for Pharmaceutical Dossiers" no more than eight weeks before filing (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca). Similarly, new Master Files require a dedicated MF Dossier ID form (www.canada.ca). REP formalizes information like the sponsor company, product name, submission type, and match it to the eventual eCTD.

In addition, Health Canada requires certain **XML application forms** as part of the dossier:

- **Master File Application Form (v3.0.0)**: For each Drug Master File, the MF holder must submit this form via eCTD (www.canada.ca). It captures details like holder name, chemical/pharmaceutical descriptions (see Table on DIN guidance).
- **Drug Regulatory Activity Form**: For new market submissions (NDS, SNDS, etc.), sponsors attach an XML NDA form containing high-level info (product name, sponsor, etc.). This replaced the old paper form over the last decade.
- **Annual Reports and Safety Reports**: eCTD submissions of PSURs, PBRER, RMP, etc. require corresponding XML cover forms indicating study periods.
- **Adverse Reaction Reports (at a later stage)**: some safety reporting forms are moving to eReport, but documents in eCTD are still required for iCSR attachments.

In all cases, these XML forms must be uploaded into Module 1 and named correctly. For example, Health Canada places the Master File Application Form in section 1.2.1 Application Forms (www.canada.ca). Validation rules check that the XML structure and content of these forms match Health Canada's XSD schema (see Validation section).

Filing Process: REP and CESG

Regulatory Enrolment Process (REP)

REP is a unified intake system launched by Health Canada to preprocess submissions. Under REP, sponsors register their **company profile**, **dossier profile**, and **planned transactions** in an online form before submitting content. REP v5.1.0 (the current high-use version) is available for drugs and disinfectants. The REP templates capture structured data for *company*, *dossier/product*, *regulatory activity*, and *transaction* (www.canada.ca). This replaces much of the information that used to be on paper cover letters or forms. For example, instead of manually stating the product's figures and strengths, sponsors enter that data into REP.

REP has three key uses:

1. It ensures Health Canada receives standardized metadata (facilitating automated intake).
2. It provides the mechanism for obtaining Dossier IDs (a mandatory step).
3. It streamlines communications: when a submission is received, REP can be used to generate acknowledgments.

Health Canada has published REP guidance. Health Canada's REP web page describes REP and its templates (www.canada.ca). It includes a *Summary Table* by product line (see Table below). As of October 2026, the template versions and go-live timelines are:

Product Category	REP Version	Mandatory Since
Human Drugs (Prescription & OTC), Biologics, Disinfectants	v5.1.0	Oct 2020 (www.canada.ca)
Veterinary Drugs	v5.1.0	Oct 2022 (www.canada.ca)
Medical Devices (MD)	v4.0.1	Apr 2026 (www.canada.ca)
Clinical Trial Applications (CTAs)	N/A	TBD (under development)

For human/veterinary drugs and disinfectants, REP v5.1.0 has been in force for several years (www.canada.ca). Medical device REP (v4.0.1) became mandatory in April 2026. (Interestingly, REP for Clinical Trials is still being refined and is not yet live – sponsors maintain separate procedures for CTAs, as shown in guidance.)

The REP page provides links for templates and a *Dossier ID Request Form* (www.canada.ca). Once REP data are entered and submitted, the system assigns a Dossier ID (and for MFs an MF number) and returns it to the sponsor. That Dossier ID must be used in the eCTD sequence's metadata and on the Cover Letter (Module 1, Table of Contents) to tie the submission together. Notably, if an MF number is not yet known when filing, sponsors are instructed to write "MF number unassigned" on the Letter of Access (www.canada.ca).

Common Electronic Submissions Gateway (CESG)

The **CESG (Common Electronic Submissions Gateway)** is the secure channel through which the eCTD zip package is delivered to Health Canada. Essentially, Health Canada uses the FDA's Electronic Submissions Gateway (ESG) infrastructure for its files (www.canada.ca). A sponsor must register as an FDA Trading Partner

(WebTrader or AS2 account) and list Health Canada (HC) as a recipient. After initiating a transmission, any file marked for HC is automatically forwarded from the FDA gateway to Health Canada (www.canada.ca). (In effect, sponsors have a single “gateway” account for both FDA and HC transactions.)

Once connected, the CESG submission process works like this: the sponsor zips the entire eCTD sequence folders into one archive and “sends” them via the gateway. The CESG will confirm receipt with a disposition code. If possible, Health Canada’s system will automatically unpack and run validation on the received files. Any validation failures will be emailed back (see below). CESG technical notes and FAQs are provided on both Health Canada’s site and the FDA ESG site. Health Canada requires eCTD transactions to be sent through the CESG, except transactions larger than 10 GB, which go on physical media (www.canada.ca); CTA and CTA-A filings may instead be sent by email or by courier on electronic media (www.canada.ca). Health Canada has described the CESG as the mandatory transmission means since January 2017 (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca).

The CESG also supports the **Regulatory Transaction Descriptor (RTD)** mechanism: each electronic submission triggers a “transaction” (e.g. NDS, SNDS, Application, Query, etc.). Sponsors assign a transaction code to each sequence according to Health Canada’s coding scheme (RTD list). These descriptions tell Health Canada what type of transaction is being filed (for example, a response to a clarification request). Health Canada publishes a *Regulatory Transaction Descriptions* document for CTAs and other activities (www.canada.ca), and incorporates these into their validation. This two-way RTD system especially comes into play with eCTD v4, which fully supports replies and appended documents.

In summary, the submission workflow is: **(1)** Sponsor obtains Dossier ID via REP. **(2)** Sponsor assembles eCTD package (modules, XML backbone, envelopes). **(3)** Sponsor runs eCTD validation tools (against Health Canada rules). **(4)** Sponsor logs in to CESG (via FDA ESG) and dials “HC” center to send. **(5)** Health Canada automatically accepts the file, queues it, and runs validation with Health Canada-specific checks (as described below). This integrated REP/CESG process aims to minimize manual handling and ensure rapid entry of submissions into Health Canada’s review queue.

Validation Rules and Submission Quality

To ensure consistency and catch errors early, Health Canada has published detailed *eCTD validation rules* that any submission must satisfy. These rules are enforced on every incoming sequence. If any **Error**-severity rule is triggered, the submission is rejected and an “eCTD Validation Report” is emailed to the sponsor (www.canada.ca). Even **Warning**-level issues are reported so sponsors can fix them proactively. Health Canada strongly **encourages sponsors to pre-validate** their packages with vendor tools before filing (www.canada.ca).

The current eCTD validation rules are version **5.3** (effective May 31, 2025) (www.canada.ca). They build upon ICH guidance (v3.2.2) and Canadian specifics (Module 1 Backbone, REP, etc) (www.canada.ca). Notably, each rule has a code (e.g. A01, A03a, etc). The rules cover many aspects, as shown in part of Health Canada’s published table:

Rule Code	Name	Description	Severity
A01	Empty Folders	Checks for any empty folders (folders without files or subfolders).	Error
A03a/b	File Size	Verifies no file exceeds configured max size (PDF>200MB, etc). Warns at 150–200MB for PDF.	Error/Warning
A05a	Sequence Folder Name	Verifies sequence folder is named correctly (e.g. initial should be 0000).	Error
A05b	Higher Sequences Found	Reports an error if the validated sequence is not the highest in the application folder.	Error
A06a	XML Backbones Identification	Identifies all ICH and regional index files found in the sequence folder.	Error
A06b	STF Identification	Identifies all Study Tagging Files (STFs) found in the sequence folder.	Error
A07	Sequence Numbering	Sequence must increment by +1 (0000→0001→… without gaps).	Error
A09	Corrupt or Protected Word	Detects corrupt .docx files or password-protected documents; disallowed.	Error
…	**(Many more rules A–I)**	e.g. PDF integrity checks, bookmarks, hyperlinks, filenames in Module 1 etc. Health Canada has ~90 rules.	Various

(This is a partial list drawn from Health Canada's validation rules v5.3 (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca).) In practice, common validation failures include misnamed files/folders, using an older schema version, or including password-protected documents. Sponsors use eCTD publishing software (e.g. LORENZ docuBridge) to generate packages that pass these checks.

Importantly, Health Canada provides validation rules not only for eCTD but also for the **non-eCTD format**. A separate set of rules (effective Jan 10, 2025) checks a zipped non-eCTD submission for proper file extensions, folder names, and SRAM sizes (www.canada.ca). Sponsors of non-eCTD filings (e.g. site information for CTAs) are advised to use a validator for those rules, too. In all cases, the findings are communicated via a Report (usually a PDF) to the submitting sponsor's email.

The official stance is that sponsors may use *any* validated software, and that Health Canada will not "recommend" a particular product (www.canada.ca). At a 2017 industry meeting, Health Canada noted that while it uses DocuBridge internally, **"the sponsor may choose which [eCTD] application it wishes to use"**, provided the final output "respects the standards, which are posted on the HC website" (www.canada.ca). This means the emphasis is on compliance with the published schemas and rules, not on which commercial tool is used. Several providers (eCTDTool, Freyr, etc.) offer certification or training on Health Canada's requirements.

Because the rules evolve, Health Canada issues periodic updates (**Revision Tables**) when new guidance or file types appear. For example, Version 5.3 (May 2025) added rules for Master File submissions (I05/I09 involve MF XML files) and new file extensions (www.canada.ca). Sponsors must stay aware of these revisions: using an outdated validation schema can trigger errors. The Health Canada validation notice provides a revision log and the full rule list.

Case Examples and Industry Perspectives

To illustrate how guidance is used in practice, consider a couple of examples:

- **Master File Conversion:** Conversion is optional for an existing non-eCTD Master File, whose updates and letters of access Health Canada still accepts in non-eCTD format (www.canada.ca). A holder that does convert must include the *entire* MF in its first eCTD transaction (www.canada.ca). The Master File Application Form goes in section 1.2.1 Application Forms, and once a file is in eCTD, subsequent transactions for it are filed in eCTD as well. Health Canada's 2018 Master File consultation also pointed MF holders to the CESG for transmission (www.canada.ca).
- **Module 1 Organization:** Sponsors preparing an NDS check each Module 1 document against the "Organization and document placement for Canadian Module 1" table (www.canada.ca). The table places patent information in section 1.2.4.1 and the cover letter in 1.0.1, and Health Canada states that it will reject a transaction whose Module 1 does not follow this structure (www.canada.ca).
- **Training Needs:** In 2017, industry groups (e.g. Quebec's GPIM) explicitly requested Health Canada to **provide training** on eCTD preparation, including the Module 1 backbone and validation rules (www.canada.ca). Health Canada responded by offering one-on-one trainings on request. In practice, most companies allocate internal or external regulatory affairs experts to interpret the guidance. Health Canada noted that creating a generic training program is difficult because each firm's data and tools differ (www.canada.ca). Nevertheless, sponsors commonly share tips at conferences or via industry associations.
- **Clinical Trial Application (CTA):** For CTAs, Health Canada maintained flexibility: as of 2026, a CTA can be filed either in eCTD or in a non-eCTD electronic-only format. The *Guidance on Clinical Trial Applications* states CTAs/CTA-As "may be submitted in eCTD or non-eCTD format" (www.canada.ca). If a CTA is sent by email as a non-eCTD ZIP (for example, early-phase trials where sponsors have not adopted eCTD), the guidance sets email rules: the mail server accepts at most 20 MB per email, files larger than 10 MB should be split, and a specific subject line format applies (www.canada.ca). These details, while not about eCTD directly, underscore that *even non-eCTD filings must still use modern electronic handling*. For CTA submissions in eCTD, sponsors follow the eCTD technical requirements, although REP is not yet mandatory for clinical trials. In fact, CTA submissions were among the early adopters of eCTD in Canada (a pilot launched in 2018 and ended Aug 2019), and Health Canada issued a clinical-trials eCTD guide (upon request) based on that experience.

From these examples it is clear that stakeholders view eCTD compliance as vital. Industry consultants emphasize that **once an application is in eCTD, all further correspondence and questions must be eCTD too** (jp.certara.com). One specialist firm notes, "Once a sponsor files with Health Canada in eCTD format, all subsequent transactions for the same submission must also be in eCTD format" (jp.certara.com). Another practitioner commented that the electronic process is "much faster compared to the old days of mailing CD-ROMs" (jp.certara.com). However, sponsors also note challenges: large combination products require careful

module mapping, and generics firms often needed significant new publishing capability. The rule against unsolicited large files (e.g. PDFs over 200 MB) requires breaking multi-part scanners into smaller docs (www.canada.ca).

Validation Results and Processing Outcomes

Upon receipt of an eCTD package, Health Canada's validation system immediately checks compliance. In many cases, submissions pass after a single technical review. Statistically, Health Canada has reported that compliance rates have steadily improved: by late 2018 over 90% of Division 8 drug applications were eCTD-compliant (www.masuuglobal.com). (This suggests that sponsors were largely adapting successfully to the requirements.)

When *errors* are found, Health Canada sends a validation report to the sponsor's designated contact. An error might pertain to missing documents, invalid metadata, or nonconformant file format. For example, the Canadian regional backbone `ca-regional.xml` must be in the `m1/ca` folder (rule F07), and a sequence number that does not increment by exactly one triggers rule A07 (www.canada.ca). Sponsors must then correct the package and refile.

Common errors and recommended fixes (per industry practice and Health Canada notes) include:

- **Naming Problems**: Misnaming a folder (e.g. using "1.2.4" vs "3.2.4") will break rule checks.
- **STF Values**: Study Tagging File tag and category values that do not match valid-values.xml v6 trigger rule H08 in v5.3 (www.canada.ca). Always use the current valid-values file.
- **Password-Protected Files**: Accidentally leaving a Word doc password-locked causes A09. Even a misplaced "Track Changes" or embedded font can corrupt a file. Sponsors scan for protection before zipping.
- **Empty Folders**: A blank folder (e.g. a placeholder for future data) creates an A01 error (www.canada.ca). Delete or populate such folders.
- **Out-of-Sequence Numbering**: Uploading `0003` without prior `0002` breaks the sequence-numbering rule A07 (www.canada.ca). Always submit sequences in order.
- **Unregistered MF**: Sending an MF update without first registering the MF and obtaining an MF-ID in REP violates policy; QC should catch missing IDs in filenames.

When all errors are cleared (sometimes after back-and-forth with Health Canada's validation team), a sequence is considered "Accepted and commences review." Health Canada's workflow will then assign it to the appropriate therapeutic product directorate. At that point the sponsor receives an acknowledgement or tracking number from Health Canada. Internal processing (such as queuing for scientific review) proceeds according to Health Canada's performance targets (for example, 300 calendar days for the first review of a standard new active substance NDS and 180 days for a priority review (www.canada.ca)).

Future Implications and Trends

Health Canada's eSubmission initiative is part of a broader digital transformation in regulatory affairs. Efficient eCTD submission is expected to reduce review times and errors over the long run. Key future directions include:

- **eCTD Version 4.0 Adoption**: The International Council on Harmonisation finalized eCTD v4.0 in 2015 (globalforum.diaglobal.org), which Health Canada is reviewing. This next-generation format uses richer XML metadata, supports more interactive document structuring, and crucially enables true **two-way communication** – meaning Health Canada can return clarity requests or decisions *also* as an eCTD sequence back to the sponsor (www.canada.ca). In June 2019 Health Canada released a *draft* Canadian Module 1 v4.0 guide for comment (www.canada.ca). The draft notes that v4.0 will allow "separate content from format" (so administrivia can be updated without re-authoring content), "two-way communication" (Agency-to-sponsor eTransactions), and advanced lifecycle features (reusable documents, content versioning) (www.canada.ca). Stakeholders from the Canadian pharmaceutical sector (e.g. CAPRA, regulatory-affairs professionals) have been engaged via consultations and webinars about v4. Health Canada has not published an implementation date, although ICH's August 2026 regional table lists planned Health Canada dates of 2028 for voluntary and 2030 for mandatory eCTD v4.0 use (admin.ich.org); industry observers note that as of 2025 the US and Japan are piloting v4 while Europe is preparing optional v4 submissions (globalforum.diaglobal.org). Health Canada's move to v4.0 will further modernize submissions (e.g. enabling unsubmitted literature review to be referenced) and is highly anticipated by sponsors.
- **Artificial Intelligence and Data Analysis**: With all dossiers standardized electronically, Health Canada could apply data mining and machine learning to regulatory data in the future. For instance, structured Module 5 clinical study reports could be parsed for safety signals more easily. The uniform submission format also facilitates transparency initiatives, such as making regulatory decisions and clinical data publicly accessible. It is conceivable that, similar to initiatives in other jurisdictions, Health Canada might develop a data database of eCTD documents to aid in secondary research (as done in reconstructing submission histories) (clinregs.niaid.nih.gov).
- **Global Harmonization and Mutual Reliance**: As Health Canada continues aligning with ICH eCTD standards, it also moves closer to regulatory collaboration programs (like Project Orbis or Access Consortium). In principle, identical eCTD dossiers can be submitted simultaneously to multiple agencies. The move to standardized eCTDs thus supports mutual recognition of reviews. Already Canada participates in ICH and has agreed on relying on certain foreign reviews; having eCTDs means Canada can import summaries or have joint review meetings more efficiently.
- **Continued Refinement of Guidance**: Even as major rollouts conclude, Health Canada updates guidance regularly. In late 2025 it released an updated "REP Guidance" (v2025-03-17) and updated transaction-descriptor lists for CTAs (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca). We expect ongoing tweaks – for example, as novel types of submissions emerge (e.g. Real-World Evidence supplements), new

validation rules and guidance may be required. Health Canada's *Filing Submissions Electronically* portal (updated mid-2025) shows that the agency is actively curating guidance for emerging product lines (biocides, biologics, veterinary drugs) (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca).

- **Industry Adaptation**: For sponsors, the future means increasingly embedding regulatory compliance into their document management workflows. Many companies now author documents in structured formats (e.g. QbD-compliant batch records) to ease eCTD assembly. The mandatory eCTD era has spurred investments in publishing software and training. Companies have learned that planning an eCTD project early (including REP registration and publishing training) is essential. The net effect is greater efficiency: e.g., sponsors can reuse translations or safety updates across multiple countries by reusing Modules 2–5 and replacing only the regional Module 1.

Tables 1–2 summarize select data and comparisons:

- **Table 1: Key Milestones in Canadian eCTD Implementation** (above).
- **Table 2: REP Template Versions and Mandate Dates** (see below).

Product Category	REP Template (Regulatory Transaction)	Mandated
Human Drugs (Rx & OTC), Biologics, Disinfectants	Version 5.1.0	October 2020 (www.canada.ca)
Veterinary Drugs	Version 5.1.0	October 2022 (www.canada.ca)
Medical Devices	Version 4.0.1	April 2026 (www.canada.ca)
Clinical Trials	– (Not yet implemented)	TBD

Table 2: Mandatory commencement of REP templates by product line (www.canada.ca).

These tables and data highlight that, by 2026, Canada will have fully digitized its pharmaceutical submission processes. A LinkedIn summary of Health Canada's filing portal paraphrases the requirement as **"Companies must submit regulatory filings to Health Canada electronically, either in eCTD or a designated electronic format"** (www.linkedin.com), and Health Canada's guides make the CESG the standard route for eCTD transactions and REP mandatory for most drug product lines, with CTA filings still allowed by email or courier. In summary, the eCTD initiative at Health Canada is a near-complete success: nearly all regulated products now travel to Ottawa in bytes instead of paper, with automated checks at the door.

Conclusion

Health Canada's adoption of the electronic Common Technical Document (eCTD) format marks a fundamental shift in Canadian pharmaceutical regulation. The agency has developed a comprehensive eCTD submission ecosystem – including guidance documents, software tools, validation rules, and intake processes – to support sponsors. Mandatory eCTD filings (since 2018 for most products) and the requirement to use the CESG have dramatically reduced reliance on paper. Sponsors now navigate a well-documented pathway: they register via REP, organize content per Health Canada's Module 1 and ICH schema (using files and forms from the official

guides), validate with the published rules, and transmit via the secure gateway (publications.gc.ca) (www.canada.ca). Health Canada's feedback loop (sending back detailed error reports) emphasizes compliance at every step.

The implications are significant. **Efficiency** is improved: regulators receive well-structured, searchable dossiers instead of paper, and sponsors benefit from immediate validation feedback. **Harmonization** is enhanced: Canadian eCTDs now align with ICH standards, positioning future regulatory reliance or collaboration on a smooth baseline. **Innovation in submission management** is on the horizon, with eCTD v4.0 promising even more automation and interactive workflows (www.canada.ca). At the same time, Health Canada continues to refine its requirements (game-changing updates include new Master File processes, updated guidance, and enhanced gateways), demonstrating a commitment to continuous improvement.

In closing, the Health Canada eCTD submission guide is a living framework: it has matured rapidly in recent years and is poised for further evolution. Stakeholders must keep abreast of updates – as evidenced by frequent Health Canada notices – and build flexible publishing practices. The depth of official guidance (nearly 100 pages of rules and recommendations) underscores the complexity of the task. However, as one industry expert notes, the outcome promises "swifter approvals" by enabling reviewers worldwide to *access standardized data easily* (globalforum.diaglobal.org). By adhering to Health Canada's eCTD requirements, sponsors contribute to a more efficient, reliable drug approval process in Canada, ultimately accelerating timely patient access to safe and effective medications (www.masuuglobal.com) (globalforum.diaglobal.org). All claims in this report are supported by Health Canada's own guidance documents and official announcements (publications.gc.ca) (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca), as well as recognized regulatory analyses (www.masuuglobal.com) (www.canada.ca).

References: Health Canada guidance and notices (publications.gc.ca) (publications.gc.ca) (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca) (pharmdevgroup.com) (jp.certara.com) (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca) (www.canada.ca) (www.masuuglobal.com) (globalforum.diaglobal.org), among others cited above. Each reference is an authoritative source on Canada's eCTD processes.

Source links

Links cited in this article, in order of first appearance. Full addresses are provided for readers using extracted text.

1. https://admin.ich.org/page/ich-electronic-common-technical-document-ectd-v40
2. https://pharmdevgroup.com/health-canada-confirms-ectd-submissions-mandatory-effective-january-1-2018/
3. https://www.masuuglobal.com/health-canada-updated-notice-for-mandatory-use-of-ectd-format/
4. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/announcements/new-master-file-application-form-notice.html
5. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/public-involvement-consultations/drug-products/ectd-notice-mandatory-master-files.html
6. https://jp.certara.com/blog/regulatory-submissions-and-transactions-with-health-canada/
7. https://publications.gc.ca/pub?id=9.882674&sl=1
8. https://publications.gc.ca/site/eng/9.868510/publication.html

9. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/applications-submissions/guidance-documents/ectd/notice-validation-rules-regulatory-transactions-submitted-health-canada-electronic-common-technical-document-format-2016-12-1.html
10. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/applications-submissions/guidance-documents/regulatory-enrolment-process.html
11. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/announcements/notice-draft-guidance-canadian-module-1-technical-implementation-guide-ectd-v4-format.html
12. https://intuitionlabs.ai/articles/dsur-vs-psur-pbrer
13. https://intuitionlabs.ai/articles/eu-rmp-vs-us-rems
14. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/applications-submissions/guidance-documents/filing-submissions-electronically.html
15. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/applications-submissions/guidance-documents/common-technical-document/updated-guidance-document-preparation-regulatory-activities-non-ectd-electronic-only-format.html
16. https://globalforum.diaglobal.org/issue/may-2025/ectd-v4-0-a-look-at-2025-and-beyond/
17. https://japan.freyrsolutions.com/blogs/how-ectd-v4-0-is-reshaping-regulatory-submissions-what-pharma-needs-to-know-in-2025
18. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/applications-submissions/guidance-documents/management-drug-submissions-applications/pre-application-filing.html
19. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/applications-submissions/guidance-documents/common-electronic-submissions-gateway.html
20. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/applications-submissions/guidance-documents/common-electronic-submissions-gateway/instructions-benefits-common-electronic-submissions-gateway.html
21. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/applications-submissions/guidance-documents/common-electronic-submissions-gateway/frequently-asked-questions.html
22. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/applications-submissions/guidance-documents/organization-document-placement-canadian-module-1.html
23. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/public-involvement-consultations/drug-products/draft-guidance-canadian-module-1-technical-implementation-guide-ectd-v4-format/document.html
24. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/applications-submissions/guidance-documents/master-files-procedures-administrative-requirements/implementing-processing-assessing-updating.html
25. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/association-meetings/record-decisions-2017-11-16-gpim.html
26. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/applications-submissions/guidance-documents/management-drug-submissions-applications/performance-standards.html
27. https://clinregs.niaid.nih.gov/updates/full/193-canada-profile-updated
28. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-products/applications-submissions/guidance-documents.html
29. https://www.linkedin.com/posts/martink2_filing-submissions-electronically-canada-activity-7392169039621459971-m6ty

THE PUBLISHER

About IntuitionLabs

IntuitionLabs is an AI consulting, custom software development and data engineering firm serving pharmaceutical, biotechnology, medical-device and other life-science organizations. We work with clinical, regulatory, medical-affairs, commercial, quality and IT teams to connect technology decisions with the work people need to accomplish.

AI consulting and adoption

Our AI enablement services cover readiness assessments, use-case selection, governance and policies, team workshops, adoption measurement and ongoing advisory support. We help organizations structure the information layer behind AI: source material, context, permissions and maintained knowledge that make generated answers useful and reviewable. Private LLM inference and hosted AI options support teams evaluating how to operate AI with appropriate control over their data and infrastructure.

Software, data and life-science workflows

IntuitionLabs develops custom software for pharma and biotech, integrates enterprise systems, and builds data engineering and business intelligence solutions. Areas of focus include AI agents, regulatory research, medical writing, medical affairs, CMC information, competitive intelligence and clinical-document workflows. Our eTMF intelligence work includes cross-system reconciliation and inspection-readiness support.

Enterprise platforms and regulated delivery

We provide Veeva services, application support, managed services, integrations and custom applications, alongside enterprise content work involving platforms such as Egnyte. For regulated workflows, our services include GxP enablement, computer-system validation and software development addressing 21 CFR Part 11 requirements. The applicable controls, validation responsibilities and acceptance criteria are defined for each engagement.

Work with IntuitionLabs

Explore AI enablement, pharma and biotech software development, data engineering and BI, and Veeva services. Contact IntuitionLabs to discuss your workflow, information sources and implementation needs.

IntuitionLabs publishes educational research to help life-science teams make informed technology decisions. Coverage of a product or organization does not imply a client relationship, endorsement or partnership.

Website: https://intuitionlabs.ai

This article is educational. Verify consequential medical, legal, financial, regulatory and technical decisions with appropriate professionals and the cited primary sources. Company services are described separately from the article's research findings.