

BioNTech Restructuring: Post-Pandemic Pharma Strategies

5/2/2026 • 30 min read

biontech restructuring

pharma pipeline strategy

oncology pivot

mrna cancer therapies

post-pandemic pharma

big pharma trends

vaccine market



Executive Summary

BioNTech, once a pandemic hero for its mRNA COVID-19 vaccine (developed with Pfizer), is rapidly refocusing on oncology as COVID vaccine demand fades. By early 2026, BioNTech's leadership articulated a "catalyst-rich" pipeline plan centered on cancer therapies (^[1] www.sec.gov) (www.investigate.co.uk). Senior management expects *seven late-stage oncology data readouts* and **15 Phase 3 trials** ongoing by the end of 2026 (^[1] www.sec.gov). The company's goal is to become a "multi-product oncology company" with multiple cancer drug launches through the 2030s (^[1] www.sec.gov) (www.investigate.co.uk). This dramatic strategy shift comes as revenue from BioNTech's COVID-19 vaccine ("Comirnaty") declines. In 2022 Pfizer (partnered with BioNTech) earned ~\$56 billion from COVID-related products, but by 2023 this plunged to roughly \$12 billion (^[2] fortune.com). Similarly, Moderna's COVID vaccine sales collapsed from ~\$19.3 billion (2022) to \$6.8 billion (2023) to \$3.2 billion (2024) (^[3] www.macrotrends.net). Public interest in boosters has waned: only ~23% of Americans got the latest booster in late 2025 (^[4] www.axios.com), and even among seniors in Europe booster uptake was under ~10% in 2024–25 (www.ecdc.europa.eu). Major regulatory bodies (FDA, CDC, EU) have tightened booster recommendations, focusing on high-risk groups (^[5] www.axios.com) (^[6] apnews.com). Meanwhile, BioNTech secured *massive* new partnerships in oncology (e.g. an ~\$11.1 billion licensing pact with Bristol-Myers Squibb (^[7] cincodias.elpais.com)) and is accelerating combination cancer trials. Its founders have announced plans to leave by late 2026 to spin off a "next-generation" mRNA research company (^[8] elpais.com), signaling a management restructure as the firm transitions to an "industrial" oncology focus (^[9] elpais.com).

For Big Pharma generally, the post-pandemic era demands a rethinking of pipeline strategy. COVID successes have taught the industry the power of platform technologies (mRNA, viral vectors, etc.) and rapid R&D (**accelerated by AI**) (^[10] fortune.com), but also the pitfalls of relying on a single product category. Leaders like Pfizer and Bristol-Myers are now plowing pandemic windfalls into **oncology acquisition** and R&D (Pfizer's \$43 billion Seagen deal, BMS's Mirati purchase, etc.) (^[11] www.pfizer.com) (^[12] news.bms.com). Others (Moderna, Novavax, Janssen) have slashed COVID-related efforts and redirected resources or cut pipeline assets as vaccine revenues fell (^[13] www.biopharmadive.com) (^[14] www.fiercebiotech.com). Future pipeline success will hinge on diversified portfolios (balancing vaccines, oncology, chronic diseases, etc.), data-driven R&D (e.g. AI and **real-world evidence**), and agility to respond to regulatory and market shifts. This report examines BioNTech's May 2026 restructuring toward oncology, the ongoing collapse in COVID vaccine markets, and the lessons for Big Pharma's post-pandemic pipeline planning, drawing on financial data, industry statements, and case comparisons.

Introduction and Background

BioNTech's Origins and Pandemic Success

BioNTech SE (HQ: Mainz, Germany) was founded in 2008 by immunologist entrepreneurs **Uğur Şahin** and **Özlem Türeci** (both M.D., cancer researchers) (^[15] elpais.com). Originally a small biotech, it focused on novel immunotherapies – especially *personalized cancer vaccines* and **antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs)** – leveraging mRNA and related technologies. In 2020 Biotech partnered with Pfizer to develop **BNT162b2** (later *Comirnaty*), one of the world's first emergency-authorized COVID-19 vaccines. Comirnaty's efficacy (~95%) and speed to market made BioNTech globally famous (^[15] elpais.com). By late 2021, BioNTech's share of Covid vaccine profits catapulted it to one of the world's most valuable biotech firms. Pfizer's CEO Albert Bourla noted 2022 sales soared to **\$56 billion** in COVID-related revenues (^[12] fortune.com).

This success dramatically changed BioNTech's fortunes. It invested heavily in expanding capacity and pipeline, gaining hundreds of new staff and collaborations. The pandemic years saw BioNTech sign major oncology deals (e.g. co-

development of a personalized breast cancer vaccine) and initiate dozens of trials. As Şahin recalls, they “went beyond oncology to develop the first mRNA vaccine” and “converted BioNTech from a start-up into a global biopharma with a solid, diversified product portfolio” (^[16] [elpais.com](#)). However, pandemic-era growth masked a strategic question: once emergency demand for boosters subsided, how would BioNTech sustain long-term innovation and profits?

Post-Pandemic Market Shifts

Decline in COVID Vaccine Demand

By 2023–2024 the urgent public demand for COVID shots abated, for several reasons. First, population immunity (from vaccination and prior infection) reduced severe case rates. Second, the SARS-CoV-2 virus’s evolution slowed, reducing the need for frequent updates. Third, government policies shifted away from universal boosters. Notably, in mid-2025 the U.S. FDA announced that updated COVID vaccines would be “primarily for seniors and high-risk individuals” (^[5] [www.axios.com](#)) (^[6] [apnews.com](#)). CDC guidance followed, no longer recommending annual COVID shots for healthy younger adults and children (^[6] [apnews.com](#)). In effect, only those at highest risk (the elderly, immunocompromised, etc.) were prioritized for boosters. Public surveys confirmed waning interest: by late 2025 only ~25% of Americans wanted an updated vaccine, and many were uninterested (^[17] [www.axios.com](#)). Within the U.S. vaccination season, a CDC data snapshot found just **23%** of Americans received the newest booster (^[4] [www.axios.com](#)).

Internationally reports show similarly low uptake. An ECDC report covering August 2024–March 2025 found COVID booster coverage among EU seniors (~60+) averaged only about **9%** ([www.ecdc.europa.eu](#)). Many countries reported coverage well below 30% even in the most vulnerable cohorts ([www.ecdc.europa.eu](#)). This “stagnation” in demand has led governments to question broad funding for COVID vaccines. In the U.S., the new administration at Health and Human Services (led by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.) announced in August 2025 a withdrawal of federal mRNA vaccine R&D grants under BARDA ([www.lemonde.fr](#)). (Kennedy controversially claimed the “data show [COVID/swine flu mRNA] vaccines fail to protect effectively against respiratory infections” ([www.lemonde.fr](#)), prompting scientists to warn this policy could severely hamper future pandemic readiness.) Similarly, health officials in both Europe and the U.S. cited lower COVID mortality and flu-like expectations to limit booster campaigns or require extra trials before approving shots for young/healthy groups (^[5] [www.axios.com](#)) (^[6] [apnews.com](#)).

Impact on Vaccine Revenues

For vaccine makers, these shifts have slashed sales. Pfizer’s quarterly disclosures reveal stark declines: for example, U.S. *Comirnaty* sales fell 25% in Q3 2025 to **\$870 million** from \$1.16 billion a year earlier (^[18] [apnews.com](#)). Moderna’s fortunes similarly reversed. After nearly \$19.3 billion revenue in 2022, Moderna’s COVID shot generated **\$6.85 billion** in 2023 and only ~\$3.24 billion in 2024 (^[3] [www.macrotrends.net](#)). Moderna responded by cutting forecasts: in Jan 2025 it slashed its 2025 guidance from \$2.5–3.5 B to \$1.5–2.5 B (^[19] [www.axios.com](#)). These declines are not unique to mRNA developers. Protein-based **Novavax**, which arrived late to market, reported very low U.S. uptake and warned 2023 U.S. sales would total *under \$25 million* (^[20] [www.aol.com](#)). Novavax’s 2024 revenue guidance was cut to ~\$0.8–1.0 billion (versus \$0.984 B in 2023) (^[21] [www.aol.com](#)). Even Johnson & Johnson, whose single-shot Janssen vaccine had lower market share from the start, signaled winding down of its COVID program.

In short, Big Pharma’s pandemic “cash cow” is drying up globally. Together with patent expirations on some blockbusters in 2026 (a looming “patent cliff” for Pfizer), these trends have created a sense of urgency to reallocate R&D and capital. Executives describe 2023–24 as a wake-up call. As Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla put it, “2022 [was] the year we reached record heights with \$100 B in sales [including] \$56 B from COVID... by 2023, COVID-related revenues had plummeted to ~\$12 B,” forcing Pfizer to “bet the house on scientific innovation” in oncology and other areas (^[2] [fortune.com](#)) (^[22] [fortune.com](#)). In mid-2025, Moderna likewise warned it would need to lean on pipeline and cost controls to achieve break-even profitability by 2028 despite “nearly halved” quarterly revenues (^[13] [www.biopharmadive.com](#)) (^[23]

www.biopharmadive.com). The recalibration is clear: pandemic windfalls are ending, and drugmakers must find new growth engines fast.

BioNTech's 2026 Business Update and Oncology Pivot

Strategic Update at JPM 2026

At the 44th Annual J.P. Morgan Healthcare Conference (mid-January 2026), BioNTech leadership unveiled ambitious 2026 plans (www.investigate.co.uk) ⁽¹⁾ www.sec.gov). The company projected **15 Phase 3 oncology trials** underway by year-end 2026 (up from almost none before the pandemic) and *seven late-stage oncology readouts* in 2026 (www.investigate.co.uk) ⁽¹⁾ www.sec.gov). They emphasized a “diversified oncology pipeline” spanning three modalities: novel immunomodulators, engineered antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs), and mRNA cancer immunotherapies (www.investigate.co.uk) ⁽¹⁾ www.sec.gov). A key goal is to develop *pan-tumor* assets and “novel-novel combination” regimens that address cancers at all stages (www.investigate.co.uk) ⁽¹⁾ www.sec.gov). Management framed 2026 as a transitional year from science to product launches: Sahin noted that by year-end positive trial outcomes could “unlock our path towards multiple near- and mid-term product launch opportunities...to benefit patients across cancer types” (www.investigate.co.uk). Crucially, BioNTech's balance sheet was declared strong: €17.2 billion in cash and securities as of Dec 31, 2025 (www.investigate.co.uk), thanks in part to COVID vaccine revenues and upstream deals (e.g. an Initial \$1.5 B payment from BMS (investors.biontech.de)). This war chest allows sustained R&D even as Comirnaty revenues decline slightly (the guidance explicitly anticipated a “modest decline” in vaccine sales in 2026 (www.investigate.co.uk)).

Q3 2025 Results and R&D Focus

In November 2025 BioNTech reported its Q3 results, reinforcing the pivot to oncology (investors.biontech.de) (investors.biontech.de). Quarterly sales were €1.50 billion (mostly COVID vaccine) (investors.biontech.de), with a small net loss (~€29 million). The company announced it would launch a **variant-adapted COVID vaccine** for the 2025/26 Northern Hemisphere winter season (investors.biontech.de), confirming it will continue peripheral viral efforts. But the headline news was pipeline: BioNTech stated it is “driving [its] oncology strategy” with a focus on *pan-tumor programs* and combinatorial approaches covering the full cancer spectrum (investors.biontech.de). In particular, it highlighted **Pumitamid (BNT327)** – a bispecific antibody targeting PD-L1 and VEGF-A – as a lead asset. Early phase results in extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer showed encouraging activity (investors.biontech.de). Plans were announced to launch registrational trials of Pumitamid in first-line microsatellite-stable colorectal cancer and gastric cancer (investors.biontech.de). These moves formally make oncology the core R&D priority. The CFO noted that current R&D spend would be concentrated on cancer, lowering projected R&D expenses (to €2.0–2.2 B for 2025) and optimizing spend (investors.biontech.de). BioNTech ended Q3 2025 with a very strong cash position (16.7 B€ including short-term investments) (investors.biontech.de), roughly half of which came from its partners (including \$1.5 B from BMS). The company raised its revenue forecast for 2025 (to €2.6–2.8 B) even as it trimmed operating costs, reflecting confidence in its financial footing (investors.biontech.de).

May 2026 Restructuring: Leadership and Organizational Changes

Although the specific term “May 2026 restructuring” does not correspond to a single public announcement, April–June 2026 saw major governance changes at BioNTech. In March 2026 BioNTech officially announced that its co-founders Şahin (CEO) and Türeci (CSO/CMO) will **step down by year-end 2026** and spin out a new biotech focused on next-generation mRNA therapeutics (^[8] [elpais.com](#)). The company emphasized that after nearly two decades leading R&D, the founders plan to refocus on early-stage research (leveraging AI-driven mRNA innovations) while BioNTech transitions to an “industrial pharmaceutical model” (^[9] [elpais.com](#)). The supervisory board was reported to be seeking successors and ensuring a “secure transition”, with Şahin and Türeci retaining ~15% ownership but moving into advisory roles (^[8] [elpais.com](#)) (^[9] [elpais.com](#)).

These moves imply a de facto restructuring: BioNTech is shifting from a founder-led **research startup** culture to a **fully commercial oncology company**. As CEO Şahin stated, the firm is “in a good position to advance its mission and evolve into a commercial company with multiple products” (^[24] [elpais.com](#)). Türeci echoed that BioNTech now “needs other structures and management” beyond an academic startup mindset (^[25] [elpais.com](#)). In practical terms, this likely involves expanding clinical, manufacturing, and regulatory teams to support simultaneous launch of several cancer drugs (a very different scope from the single-product vaccine model). Meanwhile, the founders’ new venture (backed partly by BioNTech’s in-licenses) will chase more exploratory mRNA platform science.

In summary, by mid-2026 BioNTech had redefined itself: COVID vaccines become a seasonal adjunct, the leadership is changing, and oncology R&D is front and center. The company explicitly said it “plans to bring up to 15 [oncology] cancer candidates” to pivotal Phase 3 trials by the end of 2026 (^[26] [elpais.com](#)). These include *antibody-drug conjugates* and *mRNA-based therapies* (^[26] [elpais.com](#)). In one media interview Şahin claimed that BioNTech is “already on the verge of a major break-through in innovative cancer therapies” (^[27] [elpais.com](#)). Given the funding (≥€17 B) and partnerships in place (e.g. BMS alliance), BioNTech appears doubly committed to this pivot.

COVID-19 Vaccine Decline

The decline in COVID vaccine sales is both cause and backdrop for BioNTech’s strategy shift. By 2026 it is clear that the unprecedented pandemic-era demand has evaporated. For example, Pfizer’s financial disclosures show a dramatic collapse: after peak COVID revenues, they plunged from \$56 B in 2022 to **\$12 B in 2023** (^[2] [fortune.com](#)). Pfizer attributes this to a combination of regulatory narrowing (annual boosters limited to high-risk groups) and market fatigue. Moderna’s results mirror this: the FDA-approved Spikevax earns only a fraction of its peak, as evidenced by Moderna cutting its 2025 revenue outlook by over 40% (^[19] [www.axios.com](#)). In Q3 2025 Moderna reported just \$1 B in revenue (down 45% YoY) and withdrew first-quarter 2026 revenue guidance from \$300 M to \$100 M (^[13] [www.biopharmadive.com](#)). The company now projects break-even only by 2028, relying heavily on new product approvals to compensate (e.g. a combined influenza/COVID vaccine (^[28] [www.axios.com](#))).

These industry trends are echoed by Novavax, an independent vaccine maker. Novavax’s fourth-quarter 2023 revenue was \$291 M, well below expectations, reflecting minimal U.S. uptake (^[29] [www.aol.com](#)). CEO John Jacobs acknowledged that Novavax captured only a “low-single-digit” share of the U.S. adult booster market and that sales would be “flat or lower” in 2024 compared to 2023 (^[30] [www.aol.com](#)) (^[21] [www.aol.com](#)). Novavax has therefore struggled financially, expecting even less revenue after 2025.

In short, the COVID vaccine market has become unattractive: product demand is erratic, guidelines restrictive, insurance uncertain, and competitors (including newer flu vaccines) drawing attention. As one independent pharmacy owner noted, customers reported overwhelming confusion and reluctance towards the new COVID shot (^[31] [apnews.com](#)). The policy changes under HHS have also “raised questions about [vaccine] availability” (^[32] [apnews.com](#)). All these factors explain why BioNTech sees only a “modest decline” in vaccine business for 2026 ([www.investgate.co.uk](#)), allowing it to rely on that revenue stream for near-term funding but not as a growth driver.

Data Analysis: Pipeline Performance and Finance

BioNTech Financial Position

BioNTech entered 2026 in unusually strong financial shape for a biotech. At its latest (Q3 2025) earnings, it reported €1.50 B revenue and €16.7 B in cash/securities (investors.biontech.de). Notably, €1.5 B of this cash came from the Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS) alliance up-front payment (investors.biontech.de). Such liquidity is a luxury, allowing BioNTech to plan expensive oncology trials without immediate funding constraints. The balance sheet strength partly offsets the vaccine decline – management continues mandatory R&D teams, supported by “disciplined R&D spend” and milestones from partnerships (www.investigate.co.uk). (By contrast, many peers face cash crunches: Moderna’s stock halved during 2024 and BioNTech’s share price also contracted from its 2021 peaks).

Clinical Pipeline Progress

Quantitatively, BioNTech’s trial pipeline has expanded dramatically. The company now lists over 25 Phase 2 or 3 oncology trials ongoing, up more than two-fold in two years (^[33] www.sec.gov). Key assets include:

- **Pumitamig (BNT327):** A PD-L1×VEGF-A bispecific antibody. Phase 2 studies in extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer (ES-SCLC) show positive anti-tumor activity (investors.biontech.de), and Phase 3 trials are planned in 1L colorectal and gastric cancers (investors.biontech.de). Pumitamig is co-developed with BMS (^[34] cincodias.elpais.com).
- **Trastuzumab–pamitrescan (T-Pam):** An ADC targeting HER2-low breast and endometrial cancers. Phase 2 data are expected with readout in 2026 (“late-stage trial readouts”) (^[35] www.sec.gov).
- **Gotistobart (BNT210):** A novel immunomodulator (2L squamous NSCLC), in Phase 3 Stage 1 (^[36] www.marketscreener.com).
- **Other ADCs and check-point modulators:** Several undisclosed “next-wave” IO molecules (including multi-valent mRNA vaccine candidates) are in earlier development (^[37] www.marketscreener.com) (^[33] www.sec.gov).

By contrast, BioNTech’s pipeline has scaled back or paused other areas. Infectious disease beyond COVID is minimal, limited to variant flu and combination shots. (BioNTech stopped pursuing a standalone flu vaccine after 2021.) Early-stage research in other fields has largely been delegated to the soon-to-be-formed spin-out.

A quantitative snapshot of modern trends is shown below.

Company	Pandemic Product(s)	Post-Pandemic Pivot & Pipeline Focus	Notable Actions (2023–2026)
BioNTech (BNTX)	Pfizer-partnered COVID-19 vax	Oncology-centric: >25 current Phase2/3 trials in cancer; pan-tumor & combo therapies.	\$11.1B BMS alliance (2025) for bispecific AbPumitamig licenses (^[7] cincodias.elpais.com); Founders leaving (2026) to spin out new mRNA R&D venture (^[8] elpais.com). Cash €17.2B end-2025 supports trials (www.investigate.co.uk).
Pfizer (PFE)	Comirnaty COVID-19 vax (with BNTX)	Oncology & new specialties: ~40% R&D now in oncology; big bets in weight management and vaccines.	Completed \$43B Seagen buy (Dec 2023) to add ADCs, doubling oncology pipeline (^[38] www.pfizer.com) (^[39] www.pfizer.com); CEO Bourla advocates “science wins” culture and AI-driven R&D (^[40] fortune.com) (^[41] fortune.com).
Moderna (MRNA)	mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vax	Broad mRNA platform: moving to multiple targets (flu/covid combo, CMV, RSV (mResVax), cancer mRNA).	Revenue collapsed ~64% in 2023; lower 2025 forecasts (^[19] www.axios.com). Merck-collab on personalized cancer vaccine (mRNA-4157) since 2016 (^[42] www.merck.com); cutting costs.

Company	Pandemic Product(s)	Post-Pandemic Pivot & Pipeline Focus	Notable Actions (2023–2026)
			targeting 10 product approvals by 2028 (^[19] www.axios.com).
GSK (GSK)	Small role (Adenovirus COVID vax)*	Vaccines & specialty meds: Focus on antibodies, ADCs, and gene therapies; heavy deal-making in oncology and rare disease.	December 2025: committed up to \$950M to buy 35Pharma (PH candidate HS235) (www.labiotech.eu); multiple ADC and mRNA bets via partnerships (www.labiotech.eu); spun off Haleon (consumer health) in 2022, refocusing on diagnostics & specialty divisions.
BMS (BMY)	Nanocovax (killed it), partnered in COVID trials	Oncology leader: Expanding via acquisitions & licensing; pipeline including immuno-onc, ADCs, cell therapy.	2024: Acquired Mirati (KRAS inhibitor KRAZATI) to diversify oncology (^[12] news.bms.com). 2025: \$11.1B alliance with BioNTech for next-gen IO antibodies (Pumitamig etc.) (^[7] cincodias.elpais.com). Continues Keytruda (PD-1) franchise.
J&J (JNJ)	Janssen single-dose COVID vax	Infectious/vaccines cutback: Spun off or wound down vaccines, focusing on oncology, immunology, and tech platform.	2023: Slashed pipeline assets (cut RSV vaccine & new HIV/hepatitis projects), halving ID & vaccine pipeline (^[14] www.fiercebiotech.com). Plans to exit COVID vax development (^[14] www.fiercebiotech.com). Continues oncology through stand-alone Janssen.
Merck (MRK)	No COVID vaccine (partnered with party)	Immuno-oncology: Keytruda domain continues, plus growing cancer vaccine efforts and rare disease.	Extended alliance with Moderna (2016–22) to develop personalized mRNA cancer vaccine mRNA-4157; paid \$250M to secure rights (^[42] www.merck.com). Large cancer pipeline (KEYTRUDA combos).
Novavax (NVAX)	Novavax COVID-19 vax (protein)	Vaccines slow: Focus on next-gen respiratory combos and expanding manufacturing; financial distress persists.	U.S. approvals in 2023, but sales collapsed: < \$1B annual. 2024 sales guidance cut slightly (^[21] www.aol.com). Developing a combined flu/COVID shot; no significant pivot outside vaccines so far.

Table 1: Selected Big Pharma post-pandemic pipeline strategies (2023–2026). Each company's focus reflects how pandemic outcomes have reshaped their R&D and M&A plans. (Sources: company releases and press reports (^[7] cincodias.elpais.com) (^[2] fortune.com) (^[13] www.biopharmadive.com) (^[14] www.fiercebiotech.com) (^[42] www.merck.com) (^[38] www.pfizer.com),)

Table 2: COVID-19 Vaccine Revenues (2022–2024)

Company / Product	2022 Sales (USD)	2023 Sales	2024 Sales	Notes/Citation
Pfizer/BioNTech (Comirnaty)*	\$56.0 B	~\$12.0 B	—	Combined COVID sales (Pfizer share) (^[2] fortune.com)
Moderna (Spikevax)	\$19.26 B	\$6.85 B	\$3.24 B	Reported annual revenues (^[3] www.macrotrends.net)
Novavax (Nuvaxovid)	— (NA, 2022 launch)	\$0.984 B	\$0.80–1.00 B (guidance)	2023 full-year sales (^[21] www.aol.com); 2024 forecast (^[21] www.aol.com)

Table 2: Illustrative sales figures for major COVID-19 vaccines. Pfizer's 2022 COVID sales (\$56 B) plunged by ~80% to ~\$12 B in 2023 (^[2] fortune.com). Moderna's COVID vaccine revenue similarly fell ~65% year-over-year (^[3] www.macrotrends.net), and Novavax anticipated a slight decline in its ~\$1 B business (^[21] www.aol.com). These drops reflect waning booster demand and policy changes.

Case Studies and Examples

BioNTech–BMS Alliance

In June 2025 Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS) announced a landmark partnership with BioNTech to license multiple next-generation cancer therapies (^[7] cincodias.elpais.com). Under the deal, BMS agreed to pay **\$11.1 billion** (approximately €10B) for various oncology assets, including BioNTech's bispecific antibody BNT327 (Pumitamig) (^[7] cincodias.elpais.com). The structure: an upfront \$1.5B plus ~\$2.0B per year through 2028 (non-contingent), with BioNTech eligible for another \$7.6B tied to development and sales milestones (^[43] cincodias.elpais.com). Crucially, they will co-fund development and equally share commercialization. This represents one of the largest oncology transactions of the decade, reflecting BMS's commitment to immuno-oncology. As BMS CEO Chris Boerner stated, "The science underlying BNT327 and its clinical leadership in multiple hard-to-treat tumor types... strengthens our quest for new mechanisms and modalities in oncology" (^[44] cincodias.elpais.com).

Implications: The BMS–BioNTech pact exemplifies how big pharma is leveraging pandemic-era cash to forge partnerships rather than building therapies in-house. For BioNTech, it validates their pipeline and provides funding and expertise to accelerate trials. For pharmaceutical strategy, it underscores a lesson: companies are willing to pay top dollar for promising assets in growth areas (oncology), signaling that pipeline valuations are sky-high in hot fields. Lessons: **collaboration and risk-sharing** can be as important as internal R&D.

Pfizer's Oncology Pivot

Pfizer illustrates a parallel pivot. After its COVID blockbuster, CEO Albert Bourla announced a \$23 billion spending blitz (in 2023) on business development, “targeting new opportunities to secure [Pfizer’s] future” ⁽⁴⁴⁵⁾ [fortune.com](#)). Nearly half of Pfizer’s R&D budget is now in oncology ⁽²²²⁾ [fortune.com](#)). The centerpiece was the \$43B acquisition of Seagen (Dec 2023) ⁽¹¹¹⁾ [www.pfizer.com](#)). Seagen brought four marketed ADCs (e.g. Adcetris, Padcev) and dozens of pipeline programs. Post-merger, Pfizer’s oncology portfolio doubled to 60+ clinical projects ⁽³⁹⁾ [www.pfizer.com](#)). Bourla frames cancer as “the next moonshot,” saying: “We saved the world from Covid, now we’ll save the world from cancer” ⁽⁴⁶⁾ [fortune.com](#)).

Pfizer’s approach emphasizes **scale and speed**. Inspired by the COVID response (“time is life” philosophy), Bourla insists on aggressive goals and AI tools: he cited AI-driven design that cut months off the cancer drug and Paxlovid development timelines ⁽¹⁰⁾ [fortune.com](#)). Pfizer’s lesson: seize momentum and apply pandemic lessons (rapid trials, data-sharing, digital tools) to new therapeutic areas. Critics may argue such massive deals are risky, but Pfizer’s CEO counters that innovators “never fall” by bouncing back with big bets ⁽⁴⁷⁾ [fortune.com](#) ⁽²²⁾ [fortune.com](#)).

Moderna's Challenges

Moderna’s trajectory post-pandemic is instructive on pitfalls. Riding on mRNA, Moderna became a lockdown darling, but unlike BioNTech it has less of an inherent oncology heritage. When vaccine sales slumped, Moderna struggled to find a successor hit. By early 2025, Moderna repeatedly cut forecasts and trimmed expenses ⁽¹⁹⁾ [www.axios.com](#) ⁽²³⁾ [www.biopharmadive.com](#)). Its quarterly revenue halved year-on-year, and its stock lost >50% value. CEO Stéphane Bancel admitted the company “has struggled mightily to follow [COVID] success with another big seller” ⁽⁴⁸⁾ [www.biopharmadive.com](#)). Notably, several Moderna vaccine programs failed or underperformed: their CMV vaccine flopped in Phase 3 and their RSV vaccine (mResVax) earned almost no revenue (\$2M in Q3 2025) ⁽⁴⁹⁾ [www.biopharmadive.com](#)). Its much-hyped flu/COVID combo vaccine remains pending approval.

Still, Moderna is learning. It is leaning into partnerships (e.g. the Merck collaboration on personalized cancer vaccines ⁽⁴²⁾ [www.merck.com](#)), and it aims to get 10 mRNA products approved by 2028 ⁽²⁸⁾ [www.axios.com](#)). Moderna’s experience underlines that even platform leaders face “restart risk”: a single technology (mRNA) needs to find multiple viable applications to justify investment. Post-pandemic, Moderna’s investors and management have had to emphasize pipeline diversification and cost discipline. Other smaller players (Novavax, CureVac) have faced similar (or worse) fates: Novavax delayed profitability targets already to 2028 due to “confusing” consumer signals and sales declines ⁽⁵⁰⁾ [tw.tradingview.com](#)).

J&J/Janssen Pullback

Johnson & Johnson provides a cautionary tale of over-expansion and retrenchment. In 2021 J&J had merged its infectious disease and vaccine divisions (Janssen), hoping to build new vaccines (RSV, etc.). But by mid-2023 it announced sweeping pipeline cuts ⁽¹⁴⁾ [www.fiercebiotech.com](#)). The merged unit’s assets shrank dramatically: described as roughly *halving* from 14 development programs to 7 ⁽¹⁴⁾ [www.fiercebiotech.com](#)). All RSV vaccine work was dropped in spring 2023, plus most HIV and hepatitis research. Even J&J’s COVID vaccine program was said to be winding down by

early 2023 (^[14] www.fiercebiotech.com). J&J's rationale was "prioritization of R&D investment," focusing on core areas like tuberculosis, dengue, and sustaining current HIV treatments (^[51] www.fiercebiotech.com).

For Big Pharma, Johnson & Johnson's shift reveals a key lesson: **be nimble and ruthless in pipeline pruning when market signals change**. J&J realized that continuing cough-and-cold vaccines (for RSV etc.) might not yield returns commensurate with costs, so it reallocated R&D budget accordingly. It also shows the danger of chasing every potential pandemic-related project: the company had staffed up and built capabilities (Janssen's Leiden site with 2,500 employees (^[52] news.cnyes.com)) that it later partially shut down. Going forward, most major pharmas now keep an infectious disease R&D team smaller and more project-focused, rather than entire separate divisions.

Implications for Big Pharma Pipeline Strategy

The BioNTech case and industry examples suggest several broad lessons for large pharmaceutical companies shaping their post-pandemic R&D pipelines:

- 1. Diversify Indications and Modalities:** The meteoric rise and fall of COVID vaccine revenue highlights the risk of over-reliance on one product or disease area. BioNTech's pivot underscores the need to invest in long-term growth fields like oncology, immunology, and chronic diseases. Pfizer, BMS and others are aggressively adding cancer assets (e.g. acquisitions and partnerships) (^[11] www.pfizer.com) (^[12] news.bms.com). Simultaneously, BioNTech and Moderna show the value of platform flexibility: therapy platforms like mRNA and ADCs can potentially target many diseases, but large companies must commit to advancing those platforms in multiple therapeutic areas, not just narrow niches.
- 2. Balance Innovation with Pragmatism:** Pandemic experiences taught firms that unprecedented regulatory flexibility (e.g. Emergency Use Authorizations) can accelerate approvals, but such conditions won't last. FDA/EMA guidance is now more stringent (e.g. requiring robust trials for boosters (^[5] www.axios.com) (^[6] apnews.com)). Big Pharma must therefore plan pipelines with realistic timelines and consider new trial designs (like real-world evidence, adaptive designs) to keep R&D efficient. The emphasis on "novel-novel combinations" by BioNTech indicates a strategy to differentiate products scientifically, but firms should carefully assess commercial risks and health-system adoption of such complex regimens.
- 3. Leverage Technology and Data:** Both BioNTech and Pfizer credit technology (AI, machine learning) for faster R&D. Bourla cites the speed-up of Paxlovid development by AI (^[10] fortune.com); Şahin mentions next-gen mRNA with AI (^[53] elpais.com). Going forward, companies should expand AI-driven drug discovery, real-time analytics and digital trials. Regulatory agencies are also increasingly open to novel endpoints (immune markers, etc.) learned from COVID research. Integration of digital health (wearable data for monitoring long-term efficacy) may become a differentiator.
- 4. Strategic Partnerships and M&A:** The enormous valuations of high-potential assets push Big Pharma toward deals. The recent spike in mergers/in-licensing (Pfizer-Seagen (^[11] www.pfizer.com), BMS-BioNTech (^[7] cincodias.elpais.com), Merck-Moderna cancer pact (^[42] www.merck.com)) suggests a transaction-driven expansion. Companies should continually scan for emerging biotech and niche players with complementary strengths. However, partnerships should be structured to balance risk – e.g. milestone-based payments allow sharing R&D risk (^[43] cincodias.elpais.com). Firms also must ready themselves for due diligence in new areas (e.g. cell/gene therapy, personalized vaccines) where they lack in-house expertise.
- 5. Financial Discipline and Flexibility:** The windfall from COVID vaccines was unprecedented, but it was non-recurring. Long-term pipeline strategy requires sound economics: realistic revenue models, and cost-efficiency (as Moderna's cost cuts illustrate (^[23] www.biopharmadive.com)). BioNTech's guidance to temper R&D and G&A spend for 2025 (investors.biontech.de) shows one approach. Big Pharma can use pandemic profits to fund innovation, but should not inflate baseline operating costs. Maintaining liquidity (as BioNTech did) ensures resilience if pipelines take longer. Simultaneously, companies must drive pipeline projects toward value – for example, by focusing on cancer subtypes or biomarker-driven indications that could command premium pricing.
- 6. Agility to Policy and Market Changes:** The abrupt CDC/FDA changes in mid-2025 caught many vaccine programs off-guard, highlighting how government policy can rapidly shrink markets. Drugmakers must build adaptable plans. For example, vaccine portfolio diversification (BioNTech keeping an annual booster but also exploring flu/COVID combos) helps hedge regulatory shifts. Scenario planning – e.g. modeling sales under various vaccination guideline scenarios – should be a standard part of pipeline strategy. Additionally, robust supply chains (e.g. mRNA production capacity) need to be versatile enough to ramp up or down across products.

7. **Long-Term Vision – Beyond 2030:** Executives repeatedly stress that oncology returns will accrue slowly and cumulatively ⁽⁵⁴⁾ (fortune.com). Big Pharma pipelines have long gestation periods. A key takeaway is for companies to align executive compensation and investor communications with long-term R&D outcomes, not just quarterly targets. Setting transparent multi-year goals (as Pfizer has, Prince share a timeline) can maintain investor confidence. R&D strategies should also include horizon-scanning for future pandemics or novel pathogens – ironically, BioNTech's founders spun off a new outfit precisely to keep advancing pandemic-preparedness platforms while the main company goes commercial ⁽⁵³⁾ (elpais.com).

Future Directions

Looking ahead, several trajectories emerge:

- **Cancer Vaccines and Immunotherapies:** BioNTech's shift reflects a broader renaissance in cancer immunotherapy. Personalized mRNA cancer vaccines (as recently published in *Nature* by Sahin/Türeci et al. for triple-negative breast cancer ⁽⁵⁵⁾ (elpais.com)) may become a new modality. Big Pharma should therefore invest in neoantigen-based vaccines, combination regimens (e.g. vaccine + checkpoint inhibitor), and AI-driven vaccine design. Oncology R&D budgets will likely grow further, with firms recruiting neurologists, oncologists, and computational biologists en masse.
- **Post-COVID Vaccine Strategy:** Vaccines remain a growth area (global vaccine market projected to double by 2032 ⁽⁵⁶⁾ (moneyweek.com)). Companies like GSK and Moderna are focusing on flu, RSV, HPV and emerging pathogens. The lesson is to integrate COVID vaccines into broader respiratory or infectious disease franchises (e.g. annual flu/COVID combos). Indeed, Moderna and Pfizer are both pursuing combination shots which appeal to patients and payers. However, upsides may be modest (mature markets). Thus, vaccines should complement, not dominate, portfolios.
- **Preparedness and Platform R&D:** Despite current skepticism, the risk of future pandemics remains. BioNTech's founders see opportunities “extraordinary for the next generation of mRNA innovation” ⁽⁵³⁾ (elpais.com). Big Pharma should continue platform R&D with flexible funding (perhaps via public-private models). Learning from the “tech battlefield” of COVID and mRNA, facilities built for pandemic response (like BioNTech's Mainz plant) can be repurposed for other biologics or rapid-response to new threats.
- **Value-Based & Holistic Care:** With health systems under pressure post-COVID, new therapies will face cost scrutiny. Pipeline strategy will need to incorporate health economics early. Personalized therapies (whether vaccines or cell therapies) may require novel payment models. Big Pharma should lead in generating real-world evidence and working with regulators/payers to demonstrate value – a theme increasingly important in 2026.
- **Emerging Technology Platforms:** Beyond mRNA, other technologies (e.g. gene editing, AI-designed molecules, microbiome therapies) are becoming viable. BioNTech's move to “platforms of cutting-edge techniques” ⁽⁵⁷⁾ (elpais.com) hints that even novel modalities will emerge from the pandemic exits. Companies like Roche are expanding into RNA interference and synthetic biology. Big Pharma should keep an open portfolio, with “optionality” research units or corporate venture arms to scout disruptive science.

Conclusion

The case of BioNTech's mid-2026 pivot captures a pivotal moment in biopharma. From the heights of a global vaccine success, the company realized its future lies elsewhere – primarily in oncology. This “restructuring” is emblematic of a wider industry lesson: pandemic-era gains must be strategically reinvested, but in diverse and resilient ways. COVID vaccines will provide only a fading pedestal; sustained growth requires expanding the pipeline into enduring markets like cancer and leveraging platform science cautiously.

Big Pharma has largely absorbed this lesson. Industry leaders are deploying unprecedented sums into immuno-oncology and other growth areas, using both in-house R&D and deals ⁽³⁸⁾ (www.pfizer.com) ⁽⁷⁾ (cincodias.elpais.com). Workflows honed during the pandemic (rapid trials, mRNA platforms, data sharing, AI) are now standard practice. At the same time, companies have scaled back or restructured unsuccessful pandemic programs (e.g. Moderna and J&J pruning vaccines).

Looking to the future, the winners will be the “pragmatic innovators” – those who learned from COVID that *speed of science* and *breadth of portfolio* both matter. Firms must continue to push novel modalities (mRNA, ADCs, cell therapy) while preparing for ordinary flu seasons and potential new threats. Importantly, vaccine makers must foster public trust

- [18] <https://apnews.com/article/135becc90cb55ac1dfb6727d85f4f4db#:~:Pfize...>
- [19] <https://www.axios.com/2025/01/13/moderna-stock-covid-vaccines-foreceast#:~:Zoom%...>
- [20] <https://www.aol.com/news/novavax-sees-2024-sales-flat-131128127.html#:~:Chief...>
- [21] <https://www.aol.com/news/novavax-sees-2024-sales-flat-131128127.html#:~:Novav...>
- [22] <https://fortune.com/2026/01/30/pfizer-ceo-save-world-cancer-covid-19-oncology-investment-interview/#:~:The%2...>
- [23] <https://www.biopharmadive.com/news/moderna-3q-2025-earnings-vaccine-sales-cost-cuts/804858/#:~:Still...>
- [24] <https://elpais.com/economia/2026-03-10/los-dos-fundadores-de-biotech-abandonan-la-farmaceutica-que-gano-la-carrera-de-las-v-acunas-para-la-covid.html#:~:defen...>
- [25] <https://elpais.com/economia/2026-03-10/los-dos-fundadores-de-biotech-abandonan-la-farmaceutica-que-gano-la-carrera-de-las-v-acunas-para-la-covid.html#:~:Parec...>
- [26] <https://elpais.com/economia/2026-03-10/los-dos-fundadores-de-biotech-abandonan-la-farmaceutica-que-gano-la-carrera-de-las-v-acunas-para-la-covid.html#:~:BioNT...>
- [27] <https://elpais.com/economia/2026-03-10/los-dos-fundadores-de-biotech-abandonan-la-farmaceutica-que-gano-la-carrera-de-las-v-acunas-para-la-covid.html#:~:defen...>
- [28] <https://www.axios.com/2025/01/13/moderna-stock-covid-vaccines-foreceast#:~:What%...>
- [29] <https://www.aol.com/news/novavax-sees-2024-sales-flat-131128127.html#:~:The%2...>
- [30] <https://www.aol.com/news/novavax-sees-2024-sales-flat-131128127.html#:~:%28Re...>
- [31] <https://apnews.com/article/135becc90cb55ac1dfb6727d85f4f4db#:~:The%2...>
- [32] <https://apnews.com/article/bb4de15b6ff955d6cd0b406aaec3cdc5#:~:lt%27...>
- [33] https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/0001776985/000177698526000002/a99120260112_biontechjpmpr.htm#:~:1.%20...
- [34] <https://cincodias.elpais.com/companias/2025-06-02/bristol-myers-acuerda-un-pago-de-10000-millones-por-licencias-en-tratamient-os-oncologicos-de-nueva-generacion.html#:~:Las%2...>
- [35] https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/0001776985/000177698526000002/a99120260112_biontechjpmpr.htm#:~:,2L%2...
- [36] <https://www.marketscreener.com/news/biotech-bntx-q3-2025-presentation-final-clean-ce7d5cd9dd8bff22#:~:%E2%8...>
- [37] <https://www.marketscreener.com/news/biotech-bntx-q3-2025-presentation-final-clean-ce7d5cd9dd8bff22#:~:match...>
- [38] <https://www.pfizer.com/news/press-release/press-release-detail/pfizer-completes-acquisition-seagen#:~:outst...>
- [39] <https://www.pfizer.com/news/press-release/press-release-detail/pfizer-completes-acquisition-seagen#:~:With%...>
- [40] <https://fortune.com/2026/01/30/pfizer-ceo-save-world-cancer-covid-19-oncology-investment-interview/#:~:Speed...>
- [41] <https://fortune.com/2026/01/30/pfizer-ceo-save-world-cancer-covid-19-oncology-investment-interview/#:~:Techn...>
- [42] <https://www.merck.com/news/merck-and-moderna-announce-exercise-of-option-by-merck-for-joint-development-and-commercializ-ation-of-investigational-personalized-cancer-vaccine/#:~:Under...>
- [43] <https://cincodias.elpais.com/companias/2025-06-02/bristol-myers-acuerda-un-pago-de-10000-millones-por-licencias-en-tratamient-os-oncologicos-de-nueva-generacion.html#:~:En%20...>
- [44] <https://cincodias.elpais.com/companias/2025-06-02/bristol-myers-acuerda-un-pago-de-10000-millones-por-licencias-en-tratamient-os-oncologicos-de-nueva-generacion.html#:~:,CEO%...>
- [45] <https://fortune.com/2026/01/30/pfizer-ceo-save-world-cancer-covid-19-oncology-investment-interview/#:~:This%...>
- [46] <https://fortune.com/2026/01/30/pfizer-ceo-save-world-cancer-covid-19-oncology-investment-interview/#:~:After...>
- [47] <https://fortune.com/2026/01/30/pfizer-ceo-save-world-cancer-covid-19-oncology-investment-interview/#:~:In%20...>

IntuitionLabs - Industry Leadership & Services

North America's #1 AI Software Development Firm for Pharmaceutical & Biotech: IntuitionLabs leads the US market in custom AI software development and pharma implementations with proven results across public biotech and pharmaceutical companies.

Elite Client Portfolio: Trusted by NASDAQ-listed pharmaceutical companies.

Regulatory Excellence: Only US AI consultancy with comprehensive FDA, EMA, and 21 CFR Part 11 compliance expertise for pharmaceutical drug development and commercialization.

Founder Excellence: Led by Adrien Laurent, San Francisco Bay Area-based AI expert with 20+ years in software development, multiple successful exits, and patent holder. Recognized as one of the top AI experts in the USA.

Custom AI Software Development: Build tailored pharmaceutical AI applications, custom CRMs, chatbots, and ERP systems with advanced analytics and regulatory compliance capabilities.

Private AI Infrastructure: Secure air-gapped AI deployments, on-premise LLM hosting, and private cloud AI infrastructure for pharmaceutical companies requiring data isolation and compliance.

Document Processing Systems: Advanced PDF parsing, unstructured to structured data conversion, automated document analysis, and intelligent data extraction from clinical and regulatory documents.

Custom CRM Development: Build tailored pharmaceutical CRM solutions, Veeva integrations, and custom field force applications with advanced analytics and reporting capabilities.

AI Chatbot Development: Create intelligent medical information chatbots, GenAI sales assistants, and automated customer service solutions for pharma companies.

Custom ERP Development: Design and develop pharmaceutical-specific ERP systems, inventory management solutions, and regulatory compliance platforms.

Big Data & Analytics: Large-scale data processing, predictive modeling, clinical trial analytics, and real-time pharmaceutical market intelligence systems.

Dashboard & Visualization: Interactive business intelligence dashboards, real-time KPI monitoring, and custom data visualization solutions for pharmaceutical insights.

AI Consulting & Training: Comprehensive AI strategy development, team training programs, and implementation guidance for pharmaceutical organizations adopting AI technologies.

Contact founder Adrien Laurent and team at <https://intuitionlabs.ai/contact> for a consultation.

DISCLAIMER

The information contained in this document is provided for educational and informational purposes only. We make no representations or warranties of any kind, express or implied, about the completeness, accuracy, reliability, suitability, or availability of the information contained herein.

Any reliance you place on such information is strictly at your own risk. In no event will IntuitionLabs.ai or its representatives be liable for any loss or damage including without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage, or any loss or damage whatsoever arising from the use of information presented in this document.

This document may contain content generated with the assistance of artificial intelligence technologies. AI-generated content may contain errors, omissions, or inaccuracies. Readers are advised to independently verify any critical information before acting upon it.

All product names, logos, brands, trademarks, and registered trademarks mentioned in this document are the property of their respective owners. All company, product, and service names used in this document are for identification purposes only. Use of these names, logos, trademarks, and brands does not imply endorsement by the respective trademark holders.

IntuitionLabs.ai is North America's leading AI software development firm specializing exclusively in pharmaceutical and biotech companies. As the premier US-based AI software development company for drug development and commercialization, we deliver cutting-edge custom AI applications, private LLM infrastructure, document processing systems, custom CRM/ERP development, and regulatory compliance software. Founded in 2023 by [Adrien Laurent](#), a top AI expert and multiple-exit founder with 20 years of software development experience and patent holder, based in the San Francisco Bay Area.

This document does not constitute professional or legal advice. For specific guidance related to your business needs, please consult with appropriate qualified professionals.

© 2025 IntuitionLabs.ai. All rights reserved.