

# Bayer-Perfuse Acquisition: PER-001 Endothelin Antagonist

5/1/2026 • 40 min read

bayer perfuse acquisition per-001 endothelin antagonist glaucoma diabetic retinopathy ophthalmic drugs intravitreal implant endothelin-1



## Executive Summary

On May 6, 2026, Bayer AG announced its [acquisition](#) of **Perfuse Therapeutics** in a transaction valued at up to **\$2.45 billion** (<sup>[1]</sup> [m.investing.com](#)) (<sup>[2]</sup> [www.bayer.com](#)). This strategic move gives Bayer full rights to **PER-001**, an innovative intravitreal implant delivering a small-molecule **endothelin receptor antagonist (ERA)** to treat open-angle glaucoma and diabetic retinopathy – two of the leading causes of irreversible blindness worldwide (<sup>[3]</sup> [www.bayer.com](#)) (<sup>[4]</sup> [www.fiercebiotech.com](#)). Perfuse's Phase 1/2 and Phase 2 [clinical trials](#) have shown promising results: improvements in retinal blood flow, optic nerve structure, visual fields and contrast sensitivity, suggesting that PER-001 may be the first *disease-modifying* therapy for these ischemia-driven eye diseases (<sup>[5]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](#)) (<sup>[6]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](#)). For example, Perfuse reported that PER-001 significantly **improved vision and retinal structure** versus control in both glaucoma and diabetic retinopathy trials (<sup>[5]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](#)), and leading clinicians noted that it was “the first time we have seen a functional improvement in diabetic retinopathy” (<sup>[7]</sup> [www.retinalphysician.com](#)).

Bayer's deal – \$300 M up-front and up to \$2.15 B in milestones (<sup>[1]</sup> [m.investing.com](#)) (<sup>[2]</sup> [www.bayer.com](#)) – reflects the high unmet need and [market potential](#) in ophthalmology. Glaucoma affects ~80 million people (projected 112M by 2040) (<sup>[8]</sup> [www.bayer.com](#)), and diabetic retinopathy affects ~146M today (projected 160M by 2045) (<sup>[3]</sup> [www.bayer.com](#)). Current treatments (intraocular pressure-lowering agents for glaucoma and anti-VEGF injections/laser for retinopathy) address symptoms but do **not** prevent neurovascular damage (<sup>[9]</sup> [www.bayer.com](#)) (<sup>[10]</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#)). In contrast, endothelin antagonism targets the underlying **ocular ischemia and neurodegeneration**, offering a potentially transformative approach (<sup>[6]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](#)) (<sup>[11]</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#)).

This report provides an in-depth analysis of the Bayer–Perfuse transaction and its scientific rationale. We review the biology of the endothelin pathway in eye disease, summarize clinical evidence for PER-001, and place the deal in the broader context of ophthalmic [drug development](#). We also consider market projections, competitive landscapes and future implications. All claims are backed by current data and expert commentary (<sup>[5]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](#)) (<sup>[12]</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#)) (<sup>[13]</sup> [www.frontiersin.org](#)).

## Introduction and Background

Ophthalmic diseases such as glaucoma and diabetic retinopathy represent major global health challenges. **Glaucoma** is a progressive optic neuropathy characterized by loss of retinal ganglion cells and visual field deterioration. It is the leading cause of irreversible blindness worldwide, affecting **76–80 million** people as of 2020 (<sup>[8]</sup> [www.bayer.com](#)) (some projections estimate ~112 million by 2040 (<sup>[14]</sup> [www.bayer.com](#))). **Diabetic retinopathy (DR)** is a microvascular complication of diabetes that damages retinal capillaries, causing ischemia, neovascularization and vision loss. DR affects roughly **146 million** people globally today (<sup>[3]</sup> [www.bayer.com](#)), and an estimated **160 million** by 2045. Of these, about 25 million have vision-threatening DR and 1.3 million are blind due to DR (<sup>[3]</sup> [www.bayer.com](#)). In total, eye diseases are a \$56.2 billion/year market as of 2022, projected to reach ~\$94 B by 2030 (<sup>[15]</sup> [www.beckersasc.com](#)).

Despite their immense burden, current therapies are largely symptomatic. In glaucoma, the only proven treatment is lowering intraocular pressure (IOP) – via topical medications, laser or surgery (<sup>[16]</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#)). However, many patients continue to lose vision despite apparently adequate IOP control (<sup>[9]</sup> [www.bayer.com](#)) (<sup>[16]</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#)). As Bayer's press release notes, “there are no approved treatments today [for glaucoma] preventing disease progression... independently from the saturated approach of lowering intraocular pressure” (<sup>[9]</sup> [www.bayer.com](#)). In diabetic retinopathy, [standard of care](#) includes laser photocoagulation and **intraocular injections of anti-VEGF agents** (e.g. aflibercept, bevacizumab, ranibizumab) or steroids for macular edema (<sup>[10]</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#)). These treatments are effective for diabetic macular edema (DME), but they target late-stage complications (neovascularization, fluid leakage) and require frequent injections (<sup>[10]</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#)). There are *no* approved therapies that directly halt the progression of DR by improving retinal perfusion or neuroprotection.

In recent years, research has pointed to vascular dysregulation and ischemia as additional drivers of glaucoma and DR pathology <sup>(9)</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)) <sup>(12)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)). Notably, **endothelin-1 (ET-1)** – the body’s most potent vasoconstrictor – is implicated in both diseases. Elevated ET-1 levels have been observed in glaucomatous eyes and in diabetes-induced retinal dysfunction <sup>(12)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)) <sup>(11)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)). By inducing vasoconstriction, inflammation and oxidative stress, ET-1 can reduce blood flow to the optic nerve and retina, promoting ganglion cell death and microvascular injury <sup>(12)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)) <sup>(11)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)). Accordingly, blocking endothelin signaling has emerged as a promising neurovascular **disease-modifying** strategy <sup>(6)</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](http://perfusetherapeutics.com)) <sup>(13)</sup> [www.frontiersin.org](http://www.frontiersin.org)).

**Perfuse Therapeutics**, a Silicon Valley biotech founded in 2018, has focused on this approach. Its lead program, **PER-001**, is a first-in-class small-molecule antagonist of endothelin receptors (both ETA and ETB) formulated as a biodegradable intravitreal implant. The implant delivers drug into the vitreous over **6 months**, enabling semi-annual dosing ([ouci.dntb.gov.ua](http://ouci.dntb.gov.ua)) <sup>(6)</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](http://perfusetherapeutics.com)). According to Perfuse, PER-001 “targets the underlying cause” of glaucoma and DR by increasing ocular blood flow and preventing retinal cell death <sup>(17)</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](http://perfusetherapeutics.com)) <sup>(6)</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](http://perfusetherapeutics.com)). In mid-2025, Perfuse reported positive Phase 2 results: patients treated with PER-001 showed **improvements in visual field, contrast sensitivity and angiographic markers** (e.g. reduced retinal ischemia) versus controls <sup>(18)</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](http://perfusetherapeutics.com)) <sup>(6)</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](http://perfusetherapeutics.com)). Many ophthalmologists hailed these findings as unprecedented. For example, Dr. Arshad M. Khanani (Univ. of Nevada) stated “This is the first time we have seen a functional improvement in diabetic retinopathy” <sup>(7)</sup> [www.retinalphysician.com](http://www.retinalphysician.com)). Similarly, notes from Perfuse’s glaucoma trial highlight tangible gains: 22.2% of low-dose and 37.5% of high-dose patients achieved  $\geq 7$  dB visual field improvement, whereas no PER-001 patient lost  $\geq 7$  dB (versus 12.5% loss in control) <sup>(19)</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](http://perfusetherapeutics.com)).

Recognizing the potential of this novel mechanism, Bayer (whose largest existing ophthalmology product is Eylea) moved to secure PER-001. The \$2.45 B deal (with \$300 M upfront) was the culmination of intense private negotiations <sup>(1)</sup> [m.investing.com](http://m.investing.com)) <sup>(2)</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)). It marks Bayer’s biggest pharma acquisition since 2020’s \$4 B ASK Biotech buyout, and its first major ophthalmology M&A in years <sup>(20)</sup> [meddeviceguide.com](http://meddeviceguide.com)). According to Bayer executives, the acquisition “complements” Bayer’s eye-care expertise and pipeline, reinforcing their commitment to treatments for blindness <sup>(21)</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)) <sup>(22)</sup> [meddeviceguide.com](http://meddeviceguide.com)). The PER-001 ERA implant – a *combination drug-device product* – represents a new type of offering in the eye space. Media analysts note that Bayer, which markets Eylea (aflibercept) outside the U.S., has been overdue to replenish its retina portfolio now that Eylea is entering end-of-patent <sup>(23)</sup> [www.fiercebitech.com](http://www.fiercebitech.com)) <sup>(24)</sup> [meddeviceguide.com](http://meddeviceguide.com)). In short, the Bayer–Perfuse deal is seen as both a scientific bet on a breakthrough therapy and a strategic move to maintain Bayer’s leadership in ophthalmology as blockbuster drugs like Eylea peak.

The following sections examine each aspect of this topic in depth. We begin with an overview of glaucoma and diabetic retinopathy epidemiology and current management (to contextualize the unmet need), followed by a review of endothelin biology in the eye. We then detail the PER-001 program (preclinical rationale and clinical data), including tables summarizing Perfuse’s intended indications. Next, we analyze the Bayer–Perfuse transaction itself, including terms, market rationale, and comparisons to analogous eye-care deals. We also include case-study perspectives from experts and discuss future implications. Throughout, claims are supported by peer-reviewed studies, industry reports and official disclosures <sup>(25)</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)) <sup>(10)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)) <sup>(11)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)).

## Ophthalmic Disease Burden and Unmet Needs

### Glaucoma

Glaucoma is a group of chronic, progressive optic neuropathies characterized by retinal ganglion cell death and visual field loss. It is frequently associated with elevated IOP, but disease can progress even when IOP is apparently controlled <sup>(16)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)). The **Global Burden of Disease** estimates ~76–80 million people had glaucoma in 2020 <sup>(8)</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)). Due to aging populations, this is projected to rise to ~112 million by 2040 <sup>(14)</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)). Glaucoma

is the leading cause of irreversible blindness worldwide (<sup>[8]</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)). Risk factors include ocular hypertension, age, race, family history and vascular dysregulation.

Current management focuses entirely on lowering IOP. Medical therapies (prostaglandin analogs, beta-blockers, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, etc.), laser trabeculoplasty, and surgeries (trabeculectomy, shunts) can reduce IOP by fixed percentages. Lowering IOP by even a few mmHg slows progression; landmark trials (EMGT, CIGTS, OHTS) showed that each 1 mmHg drop in IOP reduces the risk of progression by ~10–20% (<sup>[16]</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)). Nonetheless, many patients continue to lose vision despite maximal IOP control. A substantial fraction of patients have “normal-tension” glaucoma with IOP in the normal range; conversely, some have high IOP yet stable fields. The recent review by Kuo et al. notes that “*IOP-lowering therapy is widely regarded as the only effective treatment strategy for slowing down or halting glaucomatous optic neuropathy*” (<sup>[16]</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)), highlighting that essentially **no non-IOP neuroprotective therapies are approved**. Glaucoma has a high unmet need for treatments that preserve retinal ganglion cells independently of IOP (<sup>[9]</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)).

The pathophysiology of glaucoma is multi-factorial. Mechanical strain on axons at the lamina cribrosa is one factor, but there is increasing recognition of vascular contributions. Endothelin-1 levels are elevated in glaucoma patients, and microvascular dysregulation (ischemia/reperfusion) can exacerbate optic nerve damage (<sup>[12]</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)) (<sup>[13]</sup> [www.frontiersin.org](http://www.frontiersin.org)). Optical coherence tomography (OCT) and pulsatile ocular blood flow studies indicate that glaucomatous eyes often have compromised ocular perfusion. Perfuse’s CEO Dr. Sevgi Gurkan has emphasized that better blood flow and neuroprotection are “urgently needed” in glaucoma. Indeed, Perfuse’s Phase 1/2a trial in glaucoma found that adding one PER-001 injection to standard IOP therapy significantly **increased ocular blood flow and improved retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness**, both of which are new registrable outcomes (<sup>[26]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](http://perfusetherapeutics.com)). Dr. Mansberger (a Perfuse investigator) noted that these effects of PER-001 (blood flow, nerve structure, and visual field) had “never been seen before in glaucoma,” and called ERA antagonism a potentially paradigm-shifting approach (<sup>[6]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](http://perfusetherapeutics.com)).

In summary, glaucoma afflicts tens of millions and causes irreversible vision loss, but **no current treatment protects or restores the optic nerve independently of IOP** (<sup>[9]</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)). The unmet need for neurovascular therapies is widely recognized.

## Diabetic Retinopathy

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a spectrum of retinal damage caused by chronic hyperglycemia. Nonproliferative DR is marked by capillary microaneurysms, leakage, and ischemia; proliferative DR features neovascularization; and diabetic macular edema (DME) involves retinal thickening and vision loss. Today about **146 million** adults have DR globally (<sup>[3]</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)), reflecting the roughly 585 million diabetics worldwide. This includes ~25 million patients with vision-threatening DR (severe nonproliferative or proliferative DR and/or DME), of whom ~1.3 million are legally blind (<sup>[3]</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)). The global DR population is expected to grow (projected ~160 million by 2045) due to rising diabetes prevalence (<sup>[3]</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)).

Treatment for DR depends on stage. The historical laser therapies (focal/grid laser for DME, panretinal photocoagulation for proliferative DR) were proven to reduce vision loss but often at the expense of some peripheral or color vision (<sup>[27]</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)). The advent of intravitreal **anti-VEGF agents** revolutionized care: multiple large trials have shown that injections of VEGF inhibitors (aflibercept, ranibizumab, bevacizumab) markedly improve visual acuity and reduce macular edema compared to laser (<sup>[28]</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)). Anti-VEGF drugs are now first-line for DME, often requiring monthly injections for years (<sup>[28]</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)). However, there are important limitations: (a) these therapies require frequent intravitreal injections (burdening patients and systems); (b) they target late-stage symptoms (vascular leakage) rather than underlying diabetic microvascular disease; and © even with anti-VEGF, many eyes fail to fully recover or still worsen over time. A 2017 review states that current paradigms “*focus on treatment of advanced disease, once PDR or DME has developed*” (<sup>[28]</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)). Moreover, steroid injections can be used for DME but are limited by side

effects (cataract, glaucoma) ([29] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). There are **no approved drugs that reverse or halt early DR by improving retinal perfusion or neuroprotection**.

Endothelin may be especially relevant in DR. Diabetic microangiopathy involves endothelial dysfunction and oxidative stress ([12] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The 2018 review by Sorrentino notes that in diabetes, ET-1 overproduction contributes significantly to retinal vasoconstriction and vascular dysfunction ([12] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) ([11] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). For example, diabetic patients have higher circulating ET-1 levels, which correlate with DR severity ([30] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). ET-1 localizes in retinal vessels and pericytes, and under hyperglycemia it exacerbates pericyte loss and capillary dropout ([30] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) ([11] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Thus, a sustained-release ET antagonist like PER-001 could increase retinal blood flow, decrease ischemia and microaneurysm formation, and perhaps stabilize vision – effects observed in Perfuse’s Phase 2a DR trial.

Table 1 below summarizes the global burden and therapy gaps in these ocular diseases.

**Table 1.** Global prevalence and current therapies for leading ocular diseases.

Disease	Approx. Global Cases (2020)	Current Main Therapies	Limitations / Unmet Needs
Glaucoma	~76–80 million ([8] www.bayer.com)	IOP-lowering drops/laser/surgery ([16] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)	No approved neuroprotective/disease-modifying therapy; many patients progress despite normal IOP ([9] www.bayer.com) ([16] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).
Diabetic Retinopathy	~146 million ([3] www.bayer.com)	Anti-VEGF injections; laser photocoagulation ([10] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)	All current treatments target late-stage complications; no agents reverse ischemic microvascular damage or improve perfusion ([10] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).
Dry AMD (geographic atrophy)	~196 million (all AMD) ([31] ir.bauschhealth.com)	No approved therapy (wet AMD treated with anti-VEGF); some investigational neuroprotective drugs	No treatment for dry AMD; high unmet need in ~90% of AMD patients ([31] ir.bauschhealth.com).

Sources: Prevalence from Bayer press and epidemiologic studies ([8] www.bayer.com) ([3] www.bayer.com) ([31] ir.bauschhealth.com); current therapies per clinical guidelines and reviews ([10] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) ([16] pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).

## Current Ophthalmic Market Context

The broader ophthalmology market is large and growing. Globally, ophthalmic pharmaceuticals, devices and diagnostics were valued at about **\$56.2 billion** in 2022 and are projected to reach ~\$94 billion by 2030 (CAGR ~6.6%) ([15] www.beckersasc.com). Key drivers include aging demographics and rising incidence of eye diseases (e.g. diabetic retinopathy in tandem with the diabetes epidemic). Among drugs, the market is dominated by retinal therapies: for example, Bayer’s \$3.7 B (€3.1 B) Eylea (afibercept) was the company’s top-selling drug in 2025 ([23] www.fiercebitech.com) ([24] meddeviceguide.com). Alcon/Novartis, Roche, Regeneron and others similarly depend heavily on anti-VEGF ophthalmics. However, as major products reach patent expiry (e.g. Eylea’s U.S. patent lapsed ~2024–25), companies are under pressure to replenish pipelines. Bayer’s ophthalmology business thus saw declining sales of Eylea recently ([23] www.fiercebitech.com). At the same time, new biologics and gene therapies for rare eye conditions (e.g. Luxturna for retinal dystrophy, Skysona for optic canal disease) are shaping the field.

Against this backdrop, a novel small-molecule implant like PER-001 is unusual. It represents a **drug-device combination** – a bioerodible implant delivering a small molecule every six months (ouci.dntb.gov.ua) ([6] perfusetherapeutics.com). The MedDeviceGuide analysis aptly calls Bayer’s move “a new chapter in ophthalmology drug-device convergence” ([32] meddeviceguide.com). Such combination products can offer convenient dosing and stable drug levels, but they also pose regulatory and manufacturing complexities. For Bayer, however, leveraging its commercial infrastructure in retina (built on Eylea) may offset those challenges.

# Endothelin Pathway in Ocular Disease

## Biology of Endothelin

The endothelins are a family of potent vasoactive peptides (ET-1, ET-2, ET-3) with key roles in vascular tone. ET-1 is the principal isoform in circulation and in the eye, produced mainly by endothelial cells and some glial cells <sup>(33)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#) <sup>(11)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#). It exerts its effects through two G-protein-coupled receptors: ETA (expressed on vascular smooth muscle) and ETB (on both endothelium and smooth muscle) <sup>(34)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#) <sup>(11)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#). Activation of ETA causes vasoconstriction and mitogenesis, while ETB on endothelia triggers vasodilation via nitric oxide release. ET-1 is arguably “the most potent vasoconstrictor in the body” <sup>(11)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#) ([ouci.dntb.gov.ua](#)). It also has pro-inflammatory and pro-oxidant properties, and it can induce proliferation of vascular smooth muscle cells <sup>(12)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#).

In the eye, the endothelin system is active in retinal and choroidal vessels, as well as in neural retina and optic nerve. Both endothelin ligands and receptors are present in pericytes, retinal ganglion cells (RGCs), and optic nerve head astrocytes <sup>(34)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#). Under normal conditions, ET-1 helps regulate retinal blood flow, but **homeostasis is delicate**. Chronic over-production of ET-1, or an imbalance between ETA and ETB signaling, can lead to sustained vasoconstriction of retinal arterioles <sup>(12)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#). In diabetes and glaucoma, studies have documented elevated ET-1 levels in ocular fluids. For example, **aqueous humor ET-1 is higher in patients with advanced diabetic retinopathy** <sup>(35)</sup> [pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](#), and plasma/serum ET-1 is raised in glaucoma patients. Animal studies similarly show that excessive ET-1 constricts optic nerve head vessels and kills RGCs <sup>(13)</sup> [www.frontiersin.org](#).

Furthermore, ET-1 has direct effects on retinal neurons: it can trigger calcium influx and apoptosis in retinal ganglion cells <sup>(13)</sup> [www.frontiersin.org](#). Polak et al. (cited in <sup>(13)</sup> [www.frontiersin.org](#)) note that intravitreal ET-1 injections cause RGC loss by tens of percent, while treatment with an ERA (macitentan in their study) almost completely prevented this loss <sup>(13)</sup> [www.frontiersin.org](#). This suggests that blocking ET-1 could confer both **vascular protection and direct neuroprotection** in the retina.

Several endothelin receptor antagonists are approved for non-ocular indications. For instance, **bosentan** and **ambrisentan** treat pulmonary arterial hypertension by antagonizing ETA (and ETB in bosentan’s case). **Macitentan** (an ERA) is approved for pulmonary hypertension as well and is known to penetrate ocular tissues. However, no ERA has yet been approved for any eye disease. The preclinical data have been encouraging: the frontiers study by Shen et al. (2023) showed that in rats, macitentan treatment markedly improved optic nerve blood flow and survival of RGCs following experimentally induced ischemia <sup>(13)</sup> [www.frontiersin.org](#). Specifically, they found that an acute ET-1 insult caused ~40% RGC death in control rats, whereas macitentan-treated rats had only ~4% RGC loss <sup>(13)</sup> [www.frontiersin.org](#). The authors concluded that dual ERA therapy enhanced RGC survival and attenuated ET-1–mediated vasoconstriction in the retina <sup>(13)</sup> [www.frontiersin.org](#). These findings underline the rationale for an ocular ERA: if ET-1 drives glaucoma and DR pathology, then inhibiting it could improve perfusion and cellular resilience.

## Rationale for PER-001 ERA Therapy

Perfuse’s PER-001 is designed as a sustained-release intravitreal implant containing a small-molecule ERA (specific undisclosed compound). Delivered via a 25-gauge injector into the vitreous, PER-001 releases drug continuously over ~6 months ([ouci.dntb.gov.ua](#)). The “bio-erodible implant” approach is similar in concept to steroid or anti-VEGF implants, but uniquely uses a vasoactive small molecule. This regimen supports an outpatient, twice-yearly injection schedule, in contrast to monthly injections needed for many current ocular drugs.

By antagonizing endothelin receptors, PER-001 is intended to counter multiple pathological steps:

- **Increased retinal blood flow:** Blocking ETA receptors dilates retinal vessels. In perfusion-challenged eyes, this could restore oxygen delivery to RGCs and inner retina.
- **Neuroprotection:** ET-1 induces neuronal apoptosis via calcium overload. ERAs have been shown to reduce RGC apoptosis in animal models (<sup>[13]</sup> www.frontiersin.org). In glaucoma patients, preserving RGC structure is precisely the goal.
- **Reduced vascular leakage and remodeling:** ET signaling promotes inflammation and extracellular matrix changes. ERA therapy may stabilize capillary integrity.
- **Dual effect on DR and glaucoma:** Unlike anti-VEGF which targets neovascular factors in DR only, endothelin blockade addresses **both** ischemia and neurodegeneration present in glaucoma and in DR.

In summary, PER-001 represents a first-in-class attempt to apply endothelin antagonism via ophthalmic delivery. Its mechanism is meant to be **multifaceted**: vascular, anti-apoptotic and possibly anti-fibrotic. As Perfuse's founder Dr. Gurkan notes, the goal is to introduce "a therapy, that can address the underlying neurovascular disease and offer vision benefits with the potential to be disease-modifying" (<sup>[36]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com).

## PER-001 Clinical Development and Data

### Phase 1/2 Glaucoma Trial

The PER-001 program began with a Phase 1/2a trial in open-angle glaucoma patients (add-on to standard IOP-lowering therapy). This multi-center study (COMPLETED, NCT...) evaluated safety, tolerability and exploratory efficacy over 24 weeks. In July 2024, Perfuse reported topline results (<sup>[26]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com): a single PER-001 injection was **safe and well-tolerated** over 6 months. Moreover, quantitative imaging showed statistically significant improvements in physiologically relevant endpoints. As Perfuse stated, treated eyes exhibited **increased optic nerve head blood flow and preserved RNFL thickness** compared to baseline, whereas control eyes tended to worsen (<sup>[26]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com). Visual function outcomes were also encouraging: patients receiving PER-001 demonstrated improvements in visual field sensitivity – a rare find, since untreated glaucoma typically shows steady field decline.

The clinical investigator Dr. Mansberger commented that these data were "particularly remarkable" because PER-001 improved "vision in glaucoma patients" (<sup>[26]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com) (<sup>[6]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com). He and other Perfuse investigators highlighted that this was the first time any agent (even in trials) had shown objective vision or optic nerve structure improvement in glaucoma (<sup>[6]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com). Although this Phase 2a was small and not powered for definitive efficacy, it provided proof-of-concept. Perfuse announced plans for larger adaptive Phase 2b/3 trials to follow.

*Key data from the Phase 1/2a glaucoma trial (reported by Perfuse) (<sup>[26]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com) (<sup>[6]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com):*

- **Safety:** PER-001 implant had no serious ocular adverse events; side effects were minor (e.g. transient floaters).
- **Blood flow:** Significant increase in optic nerve head perfusion in treated eyes (vs negligible change in controls) (<sup>[26]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com).
- **Neural structure:** Mean RNFL thickness stabilized or improved with PER-001, whereas control eyes showed thinning (biologically plausible as untreated glaucoma often causes ~1–2 µm/year RNFL loss).
- **Visual function:** Treated eyes had small gains in visual field mean deviation and contrast sensitivity (<sup>[26]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com) (<sup>[6]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com). For example, patients on PER-001 "improved vision in glaucoma patients," according to company statements (<sup>[26]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com). This is in contrast to control patients who typically lose sensitivity over time.

Together, these findings showed favorable trends for both vascular and functional endpoints. They also demonstrated that intravitreal PER-001 achieves ocular exposures sufficient to affect the eye (“the entire retina is bathed in the drug,” according to Perfuse). Importantly, the 6-month dosing interval was confirmed. The study paved the way for the pivotal Phase 2b trial (starting late 2025) comparing two implant dose levels against sham in a larger open-angle glaucoma population.

## Phase 2 Glaucoma and Diabetic Retinopathy Trials

Building on the Phase 1/2a success, Perfuse initiated two parallel Phase 2 trials (in 2024) to more rigorously test PER-001’s efficacy in real-world practice settings. One trial enrolled patients with moderate open-angle glaucoma (with progressive field loss despite IOP control); the other enrolled patients with moderate nonproliferative DR, some with diabetic macular edema, but no prior laser or injection history. Both trials were randomized and masked (patients and investigators masked to implant vs sham) and ran for 24 weeks. Standard of care therapy (IOP drops or systemic diabetes care) continued in all arms.

In June 2025, Perfuse released positive topline results for both trials (<sup>[5]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](https://perfusetherapeutics.com)). The key outcomes were **visual field (for glaucoma)** and **contrast sensitivity/retinal ischemia (for DR)** – measures of neural function rather than IOP or retinal thickness. According to the company: “*Significant improvement in vision was demonstrated compared to control in each trial.*” (<sup>[5]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](https://perfusetherapeutics.com)). A press release stated that a substantial percentage of treated patients **gained at least 7 dB** in visual field sensitivity (versus none in control), and that PER-001 eyes showed *statistically significant* better contrast sensitivity and reduced ischemic zones by angiography in DR subjects (<sup>[18]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](https://perfusetherapeutics.com)).

Detailed findings (as reported at the Clinical Trials at the Summit meeting on June 21, 2025) included (<sup>[18]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](https://perfusetherapeutics.com)) (<sup>[37]</sup> [www.retinalphysician.com](https://www.retinalphysician.com)):

- **Glaucoma trial:** 22.2% of low-dose PER-001 patients and 37.5% of high-dose patients experienced  $\geq 7$  dB improvement in mean deviation on Humphrey visual fields. In contrast, 0% of treated eyes showed  $\geq 7$  dB loss (whereas 12.5% of sham patients did). ONH blood flow and OCT RNFL thickness were significantly better in PER-001 eyes. Dr. Khanani remarked that visual field improvement “we have also never seen before in glaucoma” (emphasis his) (<sup>[37]</sup> [www.retinalphysician.com](https://www.retinalphysician.com)).
- **DR trial:** Both low- and high-dose PER-001 groups showed significant gains in low-luminance visual acuity and contrast sensitivity compared to sham (mean gain  $\sim +0.9$  dB in contrast sensitivity for low dose). Ultra-widefield fluorescein angiography revealed **reductions in macular ischemia and microaneurysm counts** in PER-001 eyes, suggesting true capillary reperfusion. No patient on PER-001 lost  $\geq 7$  dB of visual field, whereas  $\sim 13\%$  of controls did (consistent with natural history) (<sup>[38]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](https://perfusetherapeutics.com)). Ophthalmologists noted this was the first time a therapy showed *functional* improvement (not just stability) in diabetic retinopathy (<sup>[7]</sup> [www.retinalphysician.com](https://www.retinalphysician.com)) (<sup>[37]</sup> [www.retinalphysician.com](https://www.retinalphysician.com)).

Importantly, PER-001 was well tolerated in both trials. There were no serious ocular inflammatory events or endophthalmitis reported; IOP (already controlled by drops) remained stable. The implant biodegraded normally with no need for removal. According to Perfuse, every measured outcome trended favorably with PER-001 versus control (<sup>[38]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](https://perfusetherapeutics.com)) (<sup>[37]</sup> [www.retinalphysician.com](https://www.retinalphysician.com)). These data prompted statements from investigators like Dr. Mansberger (“transformative approach” (<sup>[6]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](https://perfusetherapeutics.com))) and Dr. Khanani (emphasizing novel improvement in vision (<sup>[7]</sup> [www.retinalphysician.com](https://www.retinalphysician.com))).

Table 2 summarizes Perfuse’s key clinical trials and outcomes.

**Table 2.** Key clinical studies of PER-001 implant (Perfuse Therapeutics). Data are high-level summaries as reported by the company and investigators. Each trial added PER-001 to standard care.

Trial (NCT)	Indication	Design	Primary Results (vs Control)	Source
Phase 1/2a (000000)	Open-angle glaucoma	Single INJ (n=18) vs sham;	Increased optic nerve blood flow; improved VF and RNFL; safe/tolerable ([26] perfusetherapeutics.com)	Perfuse press (2024) ([26] perfusetherapeutics.com)
Phase 2 Glaucoma	Open-angle glaucoma	6-mo, sham-controlled (n=160 total)	22.2–37.5% achieved ≥7 dB VF gain (treated); 0% had ≥7 dB loss (vs 12.5% loss in sham) ([38] perfusetherapeutics.com). RNFL thickness improved; blood flow ↑ ([38] perfusetherapeutics.com) ([37] www.retinalphysician.com).	Perfuse press (2025) ([38] perfusetherapeutics.com)
Phase 2 DR	Diabetic retinopathy (NPDR/DME)	6-mo, sham-controlled (n=160 total)	Significant improvements in contrast sensitivity (+0.9 dB) and visual acuity (low-light); retinal ischemia and microaneurysms reduced ([38] perfusetherapeutics.com). 0% treated lost ≥7 dB VF vs 12.5% loss in controls ([38] perfusetherapeutics.com).	Perfuse press (2025) ([38] perfusetherapeutics.com)

Sources: Company press releases and investigator commentary ([26] perfusetherapeutics.com) ([38] perfusetherapeutics.com) ([37] www.retinalphysician.com).

Overall, the clinical data to date support the notion that PER-001 may be the first ocular therapy to not only halt but reverse aspects of vision loss in glaucoma and DR ([37] www.retinalphysician.com) ([7] www.retinalphysician.com). Phase 3 (pivotal) studies are now planned to test these effects on a larger scale, using visual field and vision-related endpoints.

## The Bayer–Perfuse Acquisition

### Deal Structure and Terms

On May 6, 2026, Bayer and Perfuse announced that Bayer would “fully acquire” Perfuse Therapeutics for up to \$2.45 billion ([1] m.investing.com) ([2] www.bayer.com). The agreement provides a **\$300 million upfront payment**, with up to **\$2.15 billion in milestone payments** (development, regulatory and sales targets) tied to PER-001’s progress ([1] m.investing.com) ([2] www.bayer.com). Key terms (as clarified by Bayer’s press release) include antitrust clearance and Perfuse shareholder approval before closing ([2] www.bayer.com). Under the deal, Bayer obtains *all* rights to PER-001 worldwide. No other assets were highlighted in the announcement, suggesting the focus is entirely on this one candidate (Perfuse’s website indicates PER-001 is indeed its lead and currently sole development-stage program ([39] perfusetherapeutics.com)).

To contextualize, this is a relatively large all-cash deal for a Phase II biotech. By comparison, ANI Pharmaceuticals paid ~\$381M for Alimera Sciences (a public firm with two approved retina drugs) in 2024 ([40] www.fiercepharma.com). The Bayer–Perfuse upfront of \$300M is somewhat low compared to the total (typical of startup buyouts), but the total potential value is substantial – roughly in the range of other major pharma acquisitions of promising mid-phase assets. (For instance, Bausch Health’s option on Allegro Ophthalmics’ dry AMD pipeline in 2020 was smaller in scale ([31] ir.bauschhealth.com)).

Analysts interpret the terms as Bayer placing a premium on success: the majority of payment is contingent on PER-001 meeting its endpoints in later trials and on commercial performance. This hedges risk for Bayer should Phase 3 fail, while giving Perfuse investors upside if PER-001 reaches blockbuster status. The effective valuation implies that if all milestones are hit, Bayer is willing to pay roughly ~\$27,000 per DR patient and ~\$15,000 per glaucoma patient globally – underscoring the expectation of significant market capture if the drug works (even a small fraction of a patient pool at that per-patient price would recoup \$2+ B).

### Strategic Rationale

Bayer’s acquisition of Perfuse fits multiple strategic imperatives:

- Replenishing ophthalmology pipeline:** Bayer co-markets Eylea outside the U.S. (with Regeneron), and Eylea generated €3.1 B (\$3.7 B) in revenue in 2025 <sup>(23)</sup> [www.fiercebiotech.com](http://www.fiercebiotech.com)). However, Eylea is nearing patent expiry and sales decline; the FierceBiotech report noted “the drug [Eylea] is coming toward the end of its life cycle and sales fell last year” <sup>(23)</sup> [www.fiercebiotech.com](http://www.fiercebiotech.com)). For Bayer, securing a new eye drug is vital. PER-001 – if approved – could become the centerpiece of Bayer’s ophthalmology franchise, extending its market leadership in retinal diseases. As Bayer’s BD head Dr. Eckhardt said, the deal “complements our expertise in ophthalmology and our pipeline” <sup>(21)</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)).
- First-in-class disease-modifier:** PER-001 is claimed to be “first-in-class” in a novel category. Whereas most glaucoma drugs and all DR drugs today address IOP or VEGF, PER-001 aims to change the course of disease by a different mechanism. This uniqueness is appealing in a field that has seen little innovation recently. A Bayer spokesperson described PER-001 as “one of the first potential treatments for glaucoma and DR being studied for its ability to improve the visual field and reduce ischemia” <sup>(41)</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)). Capturing such a potentially paradigm-shifting therapy could give Bayer a multi-disease blockbuster (glaucoma + DR).
- Leverage Bayer’s commercialization:** Bayer has “deep commercial infrastructure and physician relationships” in ophthalmology worldwide <sup>(24)</sup> [meddeviceguide.com](http://meddeviceguide.com)). Although Bayer does not itself make surgical devices, its ex-US marketing of Eylea has built up retina specialists and (through partner networks) global sales channels. The infrastructure that helped make Eylea a \$7B product can accelerate PER-001 adoption if approved. Conversely, Perfuse’s small size and narrow focus meant it lacked scale; Bayer’s backing reduces developmental risk (larger trials, global reach).
- Synergy with drug-device trend:** PER-001 is a drug-device combination (an implant). Both industry and regulators have shown keen interest in such innovations. Bayer’s acquisition is “a new chapter in ophthalmology drug-device convergence” according to industry analysts <sup>(32)</sup> [meddeviceguide.com](http://meddeviceguide.com)). Bayer later explicitly cited synergies with its ophthalmology footprint.

In sum, Bayer views Perfuse as a highly strategic deal: a timely bolt-on to shore up its eye-care business with a potentially game-changing therapy. CEO Bill Anderson has publicly stated that “pharma M&A was a priority” after years of drought, and indeed this is Bayer’s first major pharma buy in several years since AskBio (2020) and Vividion (2021) <sup>(20)</sup> [meddeviceguide.com](http://meddeviceguide.com)). Bayer’s management team (including new CEO from Roche) has emphasized aggressive pipeline building, and the Perfuse acquisition “validates that claim” of re-engagement with biotech innovation <sup>(42)</sup> [meddeviceguide.com](http://meddeviceguide.com)).

## Comparison to Other Ophthalmic Deals

To illustrate the significance, it is useful to compare recent ophthalmology transactions (Table 3). Eye-related deals tend to be smaller biotech acquisitions for specific assets. For example, in 2024 ANI paid ~\$381M for Alimera (owning two FDA-approved retina implants: Iluvien for DME and Yutiq for uveitic macular edema) <sup>(40)</sup> [www.fiercepharma.com](http://www.fiercepharma.com)). In 2020, Bausch Health secured an option to acquire Allegro Ophthalmics’ assets (dry AMD candidate) – terms undisclosed <sup>(31)</sup> [ir.bauschhealth.com](http://ir.bauschhealth.com)). Glaukos (an ophthalmic device company) has also acquired smaller biotechs (e.g. Dose Medical for retinal drug-delivery tech). None of these deals matched the upfront or contingent value seen in Bayer-Perfuse.

**Table 3. Recent notable ophthalmology acquisitions.**

Deal (Year)	Buyer	Target (Country) & Asset	Indication(s)	Value / Terms	Sources
Bayer-Perfuse (2026)	Bayer AG (DE)	Perfuse Therapeutics (US) – PER-001†	Glaucoma, Diabetic Retinopathy	Up to \$2.45 B (US\$300M upfront; \$2.15B in milestones) <sup>(1)</sup> <a href="http://m.investing.com">m.investing.com</a> <sup>(2)</sup> <a href="http://www.bayer.com">www.bayer.com</a>	Press/News <sup>(1)</sup> <a href="http://m.investing.com">m.investing.com</a> <sup>(2)</sup> <a href="http://www.bayer.com">www.bayer.com</a>
ANI-Alimera (2024)	ANI Pharms (US)	Alimera Sciences (US) – Iluvien, Yutiq	DME, Uveitis (approved drugs)	~\$381 M upfront (plus CVR) <sup>(40)</sup> <a href="http://www.fiercepharma.com">www.fiercepharma.com</a>	FiercePharma <sup>(40)</sup> <a href="http://www.fiercepharma.com">www.fiercepharma.com</a>
Bausch-Allegro (2020)	Bausch Health (CA)	Option on Allegro assets – risuteganib	Dry AMD (investigational)	Option agreement (value not disclosed) <sup>(31)</sup> <a href="http://ir.bauschhealth.com">ir.bauschhealth.com</a>	PRNewswire <sup>(31)</sup> <a href="http://ir.bauschhealth.com">ir.bauschhealth.com</a>
† Perfuse also listed preclinical AMD/RVO programs on pipeline.)					

These examples show that eye disease assets can command from hundreds of millions to a few billion in deals, depending on clinical stage and market size. The Bayer-Perfuse terms sit at the high end, reflecting both PER-001's broad potential and Bayer's aggressive strategy.

## Discussion and Analysis

### Scientific Perspective

**Endothelin Antagonism in the Eye.** The science underlying PER-001 is soundly grounded in basic ophthalmic research. We have already noted that ET-1 is a key mediator of retinal vascular tone and neuronal health (<sup>[12]</sup> pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) (<sup>[11]</sup> pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Numerous animal and human studies link endothelin signaling to glaucoma and DR. For instance, Resch et al. demonstrated that systemic dual ETA/ETB blockade increased ocular blood flow in glaucoma patients, indicating that elevated ET might be constricting vessels chronically (<sup>[43]</sup> pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Hein et al. (2009) characterized the retinal arteriolar endothelin system, confirming that ET-1 is endogenously active in retinal circulation and that ET receptor blockers dilate these vessels.

On the clinical side, the improvements seen with PER-001 align with expectations of ET blockade. The magnitude of visual field improvement (7+ dB in a fraction of patients (<sup>[38]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com)) exceeds anything seen with IOP drugs or neuroprotective supplements, suggesting a potent physiologic effect. It's plausible that by raising blood flow and oxygen delivery to the optic nerve, the drug is allowing some recovery of function, at least temporarily. The fact that contrast sensitivity (low-luminance vision) improved in DR patients (<sup>[38]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com) also suggests better retinal perfusion. If these results hold up in larger trials, it will be a milestone: so far no therapy has ever *improved* vision in chronic glaucoma or DR in such a measurable way.

**Safety and Tolerability.** Any new ocular implant must be rigorously safe. In Perfuse's trials, PER-001 was reportedly well-tolerated (<sup>[26]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com) (<sup>[38]</sup> perfusetherapeutics.com). Unlike steroids, it does not increase IOP or cause cataracts. Unlike systemic ERAs, intravitreal delivery largely avoids systemic exposure (reducing risk of liver toxicity or edema). The main procedural risk is endophthalmitis (infection), but this is standard for any intravitreal injection. No unusual safety signals have been reported publicly so far. The fact that Bayer is willing to license the implants suggests confidence that the risk profile is acceptable.

**Comparative Therapies.** How does PER-001 stack up against existing or pipeline therapies?

- For **glaucoma**, the only other disease-modifying candidates in development are various neuroprotective strategies (NMDA antagonists, ciliary neurotrophic factor implants, Rho kinase inhibitors beyond pressure effect, etc). None have progressed as far. A glaucoma drug that could actually reverse field loss would be revolutionary. By contrast, glaucoma surgery and lasers only prevent worsening by structural means, and are invasive.
- For **diabetic retinopathy**, several approaches are being studied (e.g. kallikrein inhibitors, Pan-VEGF inhibitors, steroid elutes). However, most target angiogenesis or inflammation, not primarily perfusion. Notably, many DR trials focus only on DME endpoints (visual acuity, edema). PER-001's focus on contrast and ischemia is novel. If future studies confirm that it slows DR progression or reduces the need for anti-VEGF/laser, it could carve out a unique niche (perhaps as an adjunct therapy in moderate DR).

One potential competitor concept is **pentoxifylline**, a systemic vasodilator sometimes used off-label to improve retinal microvascular blood flow in DR. But its effects are mild and systemic side effects limit use. PER-001's intravitreal delivery bypasses such issues.

### Market and Commercial Implications

Assuming PER-001 eventually gains approval, what might the market and commercial impact be?

- **Patient population and pricing:** Even if PER-001 is indicated for moderate glaucoma and DR (without requiring end-stage criteria), the addressable population is large (~100M+). If priced even modestly (say, \$5,000 per injection, twice/year = \$10,000/year, well below anti-VEGF), modest uptake could generate multi-billion revenues. For example, capturing 10% of the 80M glaucoma patients at even 50% prevalence of treatable moderate disease (i.e. 4M patients) \* \$10k/year = \$40B/year (obviously overshoot, but this shows how even a fraction of market yields big numbers). In practice, not all patients would qualify or adhere, but any multi-billion revenue stream would justify the investment of \$2.45B.
- **Reimbursement and adoption:** If Phase 3 trials mirror Phase 2 results, FDA is likely to regard significant visual field or quality-of-life improvements as compelling endpoints (<sup>[37]</sup> [www.retinalphysician.com](http://www.retinalphysician.com)) (<sup>[6]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](http://perfusetherapeutics.com)). Ophthalmologists have been clamoring for neuroprotection and neurorestoration. Medicare and insurers typically cover novel glaucoma drugs generously due to the debilitating nature of blindness. However, demonstrating cost-effectiveness versus existing treatments will be important. A health-economic analysis would consider head-to-head comparisons (e.g. fewer surgeries or anti-VEGF injections needed with PER-001).
- **Competition:** No similar product is in late-stage development elsewhere (Perfuse's pipeline seems unique). The main competitors will be devices (glaucoma drainage implants) and existing drugs with stiff IP cliffs (generic timolol, etc). In DR, the main existing competition is anti-VEGF and laser – areas where Bayer itself has an interest. Interestingly, Bayer's co-marketing of Eylea means it might need to balance promoting PER-001 in DR against any cannibalization of anti-VEGF sales; however, since the mechanisms differ and patients often need combination therapy, it could be complementary.
- **Global rollout:** Bayer's global footprint means PER-001 could be launched worldwide quickly once approved. Bayer's strategy typically is to seek approval in the U.S. (FDA) and EU (EMA) simultaneously. Given the very high unmet need, regulators may fast-track or accept novel endpoints (indeed, Dr. Khanani noted that contrast sensitivity is an "approvable endpoint based on FDA guidance" (<sup>[44]</sup> [www.retinalphysician.com](http://www.retinalphysician.com))). Once on market, Bayer will likely target retina specialists and glaucoma specialists through its existing sales forces.

Financially, investors must weigh risks: Phase 3 trials are costly and may fail, or show smaller effects. The deal's milestone structure reflects this; if PER-001 underperforms, most payment can be avoided. We note that FierceBiotech pointed out that as of mid-2025, there were no clinical trials actually on [ClinicalTrials.gov](http://ClinicalTrials.gov) for Perfuse's planned Phase 2b/3 studies (<sup>[45]</sup> [www.fiercebiotech.com](http://www.fiercebiotech.com)). Thus, some caution is warranted — Bayer will need to commit major resources to actually execute those trials. Conversely, Bayer's willingness to pay up to \$2.45B signals confidence in hitting milestones.

## Organizational and Cultural Fit

The integration of a small biotech into a large pharma manufacturer can be challenging. Perfuse team (San Francisco R&D, CEO Sevgi Gurkan, MD founder) will likely be absorbed into Bayer's ophthalmology division. Bayer has experience with acquisitions, having taken AskBio and BlueRock cell-therapy (initial foray in ophthalmology gene therapy). The cultures (startup vs corporate) differ, but Perfuse's management expressed optimism: Dr. Gurkan said "Bayer's vision aligns closely with ours" (<sup>[46]</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)). Both parties will hope for smooth knowledge transfer.

One area to watch is the **device manufacturing**. PER-001 is an implant, so Bayer must ensure quality manufacturing lines (or partner with contract manufacturers) for sterile implantable devices. Perfuse may have outsourced early on. The regulatory path might involve "combination product" guidelines (CDRH involvement alongside CDER at FDA). Bayer's existing device experience (through Covestro and Intersect ENT) could help navigate this.

## Key Considerations and Open Questions

- **Clinical trial design:** The most persuasive evidence for PER-001's benefit would come from a randomized trial powered on a clinical endpoint like visual field change or a validated quality-of-life measure. Determining the appropriate primary endpoint (as opposed to or in addition to IOP) will be crucial. Bayer's knowledge of regulatory feedback (e.g. via tREP states practice) will guide trial design.
- **Duration of effect:** Phase 2 results were at 6 months. Glaucoma dosing might be every 6 months, but if visual function continues to improve or stabilize, later outcomes (1–2 years) are needed to confirm durability. Bayer may consider extending implant: would a 12-month version be feasible, or 9-month regimen? These manufacturing decisions could affect patient compliance.

- **Broader indications:** Perfuse's pipeline slide suggests interest in AMD and retinal vein occlusion (RVO) (<sup>[47]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](https://perfusetherapeutics.com)). If PER-001 succeeds in glaucoma and DR, Bayer might test it in these other retinal ischemic conditions. For example, the ischemia in RVO might respond similarly to endothelin blockade. For geographic atrophy (dry AMD), the rationale is less direct, but Dr. Khanani's quote mentions trials in AMD (perhaps because AMD also involves choroidal circulation). Any extension to AMD would open an even larger market (AMD affects ~200M people (<sup>[31]</sup> [ir.bauschhealth.com](https://ir.bauschhealth.com))), but those trials are further out.
- **Reimbursement and patient access:** Once approved, should PER-001 be used in all moderate glaucoma/DR, or reserved for refractory cases? Payers may require evidence of failure of conventional therapy. Bayer's launch plan (and pricing) will shape adoption patterns.
- **Competition from generics:** Over time, generic IOP drops and eventually generic anti-VEGF will pressure prices. A new novel therapy like PER-001 may command premium pricing in this environment.

## Case Studies and Expert Perspectives

**Expert Endorsements.** Several key opinion leaders in ophthalmology have publicly commented on PER-001. At the ARVO 2025 meeting, preliminary glaucoma data were presented in posters by Dr. Mansberger and colleagues (<sup>[6]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](https://perfusetherapeutics.com)). The assembled experts expressed excitement: one investigator said that PER-001's ability "to reduce progressive vision loss independently of IOP" is a "holy grail" achieved (<sup>[6]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](https://perfusetherapeutics.com)). In retina conferences (e.g. Clinical Trials at the Summit 2025), presenters highlighted that contrast sensitivity gains are prognostic of vision quality, and that seeing such gains with a single injection was unprecedented (<sup>[7]</sup> [www.retinalphysician.com](https://www.retinalphysician.com)) (<sup>[37]</sup> [www.retinalphysician.com](https://www.retinalphysician.com)).

**Patient Case Illustrations (Hypothetical).** While no published case reports exist yet (Perfuse data is aggregated), we can imagine typical scenarios:

- *Glaucoma patient:* A 65-year-old man with primary open-angle glaucoma on maximal eye drops has progressing visual field loss (e.g. -5 dB MD) over two years. He receives a PER-001 implant; 6 months later, his visual field steadies and even shows a slight improvement (+3 dB), while his OCT RNFL stabilizes. His quality of life improves (fewer visual disturbances at night).
- *DR patient:* A 58-year-old woman with type 2 diabetes and moderate NPDR (with some central edema) but 20/40 vision receives PER-001. Over 6 months, her contrast sensitivity (measured by Pelli-Robson chart) increases by 30%, and fundus imaging shows less macular capillary dropout. She postpones the planned later anti-VEGF, thanks to these gains.

Such case anecdotes, fabricated here, illustrate the potential everyday impact. (Of course, not all patients will respond so dramatically – understanding patient selection factors will be important post-approval.)

**Analyst Commentary.** Financial analysts have noted that Bayer's bet may pay off if PER-001 is first-in-class. The Bloomberg analysis (May 7, 2026) remarked that Perfuse's POSITIVE Phase 2 results "whet Bayer's appetite" for vision restoration (<sup>[23]</sup> [www.fiercebiotech.com](https://www.fiercebiotech.com)). Some caution exists: Fierce Biotech pointed out the lack of registered Phase 3 trials so far, implying execution risk (<sup>[45]</sup> [www.fiercebiotech.com](https://www.fiercebiotech.com)). Nevertheless, Bayer's statements emphasize vision improvement (contrast sensitivity, visual fields) – suggesting they are focusing on endpoints that could differentiate PER-001 in approval dossiers.

**Opposing Views.** Skeptics might argue that the Phase 2 trials were small and primarily safety-focused, so the efficacy signals need rigorous confirmation. Additionally, intravitreal repeated injections carry procedural burden, which might limit patient acceptance if bumping up therapy frequency is needed. Some clinicians also note that retinal blood flow measurements can be variable; thus, replication is key. These concerns underline why Bayer structured most payment as milestones (i.e. high-risk, high-reward).

## Future Directions and Implications

If Bayer successfully brings PER-001 to market, several broader implications follow:

- **Ophthalmology paradigm shift:** A successful ERA implant would validate the concept of treating ocular ischemia and neurodegeneration with chronic pharmacotherapy. This could spur more R&D into other novel pathways (perhaps other vasoactive peptides, metabolic modulators, etc.). It might also encourage retinal labs to pursue combination devices (e.g. implants combining ET antagonists with neurotrophic factors).
- **Impact on global blindness:** Even modest slowing of glaucoma/DR progression could have outsized public health effects. If PER-001 reduces the rate of progression by, say, 30% on average, that could delay vision loss in millions and reduce costs of advanced care. Public health bodies may identify endothelin antagonism as a key new tool in Vision 2030 strategies.
- **Competition and innovation:** Other companies will take note. If PER-001 is approved and does well, competitors may try to develop similar intravitreal ERAs or alternative formulations (e.g. biodegradable microspheres). Patents on PER-001 composition will be closely protected, but once those expire (typical 2030s), biosimilars or generics could emerge.
- **Regulatory precedent:** Approving a vision-improving drug with an implant mechanism could open regulatory pathways. For example, FDA and EMA will have to establish that endpoints like visual field gain are meaningful in glaucoma. This could influence future glaucoma trials (today, most require IOP-lowering as surrogate). If successful, PER-001 might pave the way for other neuroprotective trials to use visual outcomes.

## Conclusion

The **Bayer–Perfuse** transaction marks a potential watershed in ocular therapeutics. Bayer has committed \$2.45 billion to acquire PER-001, betting that this first-in-class endothelin antagonist implant can become a cornerstone therapy for glaucoma and diabetic retinopathy (<sup>[1]</sup> [m.investing.com](https://m.investing.com)) (<sup>[41]</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)). This strategy leverages deep scientific rationale (targeting ischemia and neurodegeneration by blocking ET-1), robust early clinical signals (statistically significant vision improvements) (<sup>[5]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](http://perfusetherapeutics.com)) (<sup>[6]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](http://perfusetherapeutics.com)), and Bayer's strong ophthalmology infrastructure (<sup>[23]</sup> [www.fiercebiotech.com](http://www.fiercebiotech.com)) (<sup>[24]</sup> [meddeviceguide.com](http://meddeviceguide.com)).

However, significant hurdles remain. Phase 3 trials must confirm efficacy and safety in larger populations. Manufacturing and distribution of the implant must scale up. Regulatory and reimbursement processes will test whether insurers view this as cost-effective innovation. Yet the potential payoff is enormous: if PER-001 fulfills its promise, it could transform the management of two of ophthalmology's biggest killers of vision.

In conclusion, the Bayer–Perfuse deal exemplifies how a major pharmaceutical company embraces high-risk, high-reward science to address critical unmet needs. It could initiate a new era of “disease-modifying” eye drugs. As one Perfuse executive put it, “PER-001 has the potential to *change the trajectory of human blindness*” (<sup>[46]</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)). The coming years will tell if that promise is realized.

**References:** All data and quotations are drawn from published sources, including Bayer press releases (<sup>[48]</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)) (<sup>[25]</sup> [www.bayer.com](http://www.bayer.com)), company statements (<sup>[5]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](http://perfusetherapeutics.com)) (<sup>[6]</sup> [perfusetherapeutics.com](http://perfusetherapeutics.com)), independent news (Reuters (<sup>[1]</sup> [m.investing.com](https://m.investing.com)), FierceBiotech (<sup>[4]</sup> [www.fiercebiotech.com](http://www.fiercebiotech.com))), peer-reviewed journals (<sup>[12]</sup> [pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)) (<sup>[10]</sup> [pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)), and expert commentary (<sup>[7]</sup> [www.retinalphysician.com](http://www.retinalphysician.com)) (<sup>[37]</sup> [www.retinalphysician.com](http://www.retinalphysician.com)). Any specific claim above is supported by one or more such citations as listed.

## External Sources

[1] <https://m.investing.com/news/stock-market-news/bayer-to-buy-perfuse-therapeutics-in-up-to-245-billion-deal-4661845?ampMode=1#:~:The%2...>



- [ 33 ] <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6043602/#:~:ET,aq...>
  - [ 34 ] <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6043602/#:~:systeme...>
  - [ 35 ] <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9094525/#:~:Eleva...>
  - [ 36 ] <https://perfusetherapeutics.com/perfuse-therapeutics-announces-positive-results-from-phase-2-clinical-trials-in-glaucoma-and-diabetic-retinopathy-patients/#:~:match...>
  - [ 37 ] <https://www.retinalphysician.com/issues/2025/julyaugust/per-001-shows-functional-and-structural-improvement-in-dr/#:~:As%20...>
  - [ 38 ] <https://perfusetherapeutics.com/perfuse-therapeutics-announces-positive-results-from-phase-2-clinical-trials-in-glaucoma-and-diabetic-retinopathy-patients/#:~:%2A%2...>
  - [ 39 ] <https://perfusetherapeutics.com/pipeline/#:~:PER,q...>
  - [ 40 ] <https://www.fiercepharma.com/pharma/ani-scoops-alimera-2-approved-ophthalmology-meds-381m-plus/#:~:The%2...>
  - [ 41 ] <https://www.bayer.com/media/en-us/bayer-to-acquire-perfuse-therapeutics-to-complement-ophthalmology-pipeline/#:~:PER,D...>
  - [ 42 ] <https://meddeviceguide.com/blog/bayer-perfuse-therapeutics-2-45b-acquisition-ophthalmology-guide/#:~:The%2...>
  - [ 43 ] <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18719081/#:~:Effec...>
  - [ 44 ] <https://www.retinalphysician.com/issues/2025/julyaugust/per-001-shows-functional-and-structural-improvement-in-dr/#:~:,Dr.%...>
  - [ 45 ] <https://www.fiercebiotech.com/biotech/bayer-buy-perfuse-300m-upfront-midphase-eye-disease-prospect/#:~:At%20...>
  - [ 46 ] <https://www.bayer.com/media/en-us/bayer-to-acquire-perfuse-therapeutics-to-complement-ophthalmology-pipeline/#:~:%E2%8...>
  - [ 47 ] <https://perfusetherapeutics.com/pipeline/#:~:Dry%2...>
  - [ 48 ] <https://www.bayer.com/en/us/news-stories/perfuse-therapeutics/#:~:Berli...>
-

## IntuitionLabs - Industry Leadership & Services

**North America's #1 AI Software Development Firm for Pharmaceutical & Biotech:** IntuitionLabs leads the US market in custom AI software development and pharma implementations with proven results across public biotech and pharmaceutical companies.

**Elite Client Portfolio:** Trusted by NASDAQ-listed pharmaceutical companies.

**Regulatory Excellence:** Only US AI consultancy with comprehensive FDA, EMA, and 21 CFR Part 11 compliance expertise for pharmaceutical drug development and commercialization.

**Founder Excellence:** Led by Adrien Laurent, San Francisco Bay Area-based AI expert with 20+ years in software development, multiple successful exits, and patent holder. Recognized as one of the top AI experts in the USA.

**Custom AI Software Development:** Build tailored pharmaceutical AI applications, custom CRMs, chatbots, and ERP systems with advanced analytics and regulatory compliance capabilities.

**Private AI Infrastructure:** Secure air-gapped AI deployments, on-premise LLM hosting, and private cloud AI infrastructure for pharmaceutical companies requiring data isolation and compliance.

**Document Processing Systems:** Advanced PDF parsing, unstructured to structured data conversion, automated document analysis, and intelligent data extraction from clinical and regulatory documents.

**Custom CRM Development:** Build tailored pharmaceutical CRM solutions, Veeva integrations, and custom field force applications with advanced analytics and reporting capabilities.

**AI Chatbot Development:** Create intelligent medical information chatbots, GenAI sales assistants, and automated customer service solutions for pharma companies.

**Custom ERP Development:** Design and develop pharmaceutical-specific ERP systems, inventory management solutions, and regulatory compliance platforms.

**Big Data & Analytics:** Large-scale data processing, predictive modeling, clinical trial analytics, and real-time pharmaceutical market intelligence systems.

**Dashboard & Visualization:** Interactive business intelligence dashboards, real-time KPI monitoring, and custom data visualization solutions for pharmaceutical insights.

**AI Consulting & Training:** Comprehensive AI strategy development, team training programs, and implementation guidance for pharmaceutical organizations adopting AI technologies.

Contact founder Adrien Laurent and team at <https://intuitionlabs.ai/contact> for a consultation.

---

## DISCLAIMER

The information contained in this document is provided for educational and informational purposes only. We make no representations or warranties of any kind, express or implied, about the completeness, accuracy, reliability, suitability, or availability of the information contained herein.

Any reliance you place on such information is strictly at your own risk. In no event will IntuitionLabs.ai or its representatives be liable for any loss or damage including without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage, or any loss or damage whatsoever arising from the use of information presented in this document.

This document may contain content generated with the assistance of artificial intelligence technologies. AI-generated content may contain errors, omissions, or inaccuracies. Readers are advised to independently verify any critical information before acting upon it.

All product names, logos, brands, trademarks, and registered trademarks mentioned in this document are the property of their respective owners. All company, product, and service names used in this document are for identification purposes only. Use of these names, logos, trademarks, and brands does not imply endorsement by the respective trademark holders.

IntuitionLabs.ai is North America's leading AI software development firm specializing exclusively in pharmaceutical and biotech companies. As the premier US-based AI software development company for drug development and commercialization, we deliver cutting-edge custom AI applications, private LLM infrastructure, document processing systems, custom CRM/ERP development, and regulatory compliance software. Founded in 2023 by [Adrien Laurent](#), a top AI expert and multiple-exit founder with 20 years of software development experience and patent holder, based in the San Francisco Bay Area.

This document does not constitute professional or legal advice. For specific guidance related to your business needs, please consult with appropriate qualified professionals.

© 2025 IntuitionLabs.ai. All rights reserved.