IntuitionLabs

INTUITIONLABS / RESEARCH & PRACTICAL GUIDANCE

AI-Powered Clinical Trial Recruitment: Cardiology & Obesity

> Build practical AI for pharma and biotech with IntuitionLabs. We help life-science teams turn complex information and workflows into useful software, governed knowledge systems and AI tools.

Published by IntuitionLabs · 2026-05-02 · ai clinical trials · trial recruitment · cardiology studies · obesity research · iterative health · patient enrollment · healthcare ai · clinical research · drug development

Original article: https://intuitionlabs.ai/articles/ai-clinical-trial-recruitment-cardiology-obesity



In this article

1. Executive Summary
2. Introduction: The Clinical Trial Recruitment Bottleneck
3. Clinical Trial Recruitment Challenges and Market Context
4. Recruitment Difficulties and Delays
5. Scope of Cardiology and Obesity Indications
6. Industry and Market Trends
7. Iterative Health: Company Background and Technology Platform
8. The $77M Series C Funding Round
9. Funding Details and Investors
10. Use of Funds: Expansion and Scaling
11. Data Analysis: Evidence of Performance and Need
12. Clinical Trial Recruitment Benchmarks
13. Cardiology and Obesity Case Data
14. Comparisons and Competitors
15. Summary of Evidence-Based Arguments
16. Implications and Future Directions
17. Conclusion

Executive Summary

Iterative Health has emerged as a leading innovator in clinical trial site management, combining a network of research sites with artificial intelligence (AI) to tackle the longstanding bottleneck of patient recruitment. In April 2026, Iterative Health announced a **$77 million Series C financing round**, led by Intrepid Growth Partners and GV (formerly Google Ventures), with participation from new investors including EDBI (Singapore) and a prominent family office, as well as existing backers such as Insight Partners and Obvious Ventures (sg.finance.yahoo.com) (www.clinicaltrialsarena.com). This infusion of capital brings the company's total funding to over $270 million and its valuation to roughly $1.3 billion (unicorn status) by some estimates (www.tamradar.com). The funding will enable **major expansion**: Iterative plans to apply its AI-powered clinical research site network beyond its initial focus in gastroenterology (GI) and hepatology, extending into high-impact therapeutic areas—specifically cardiology and obesity. These areas have been chosen both because of large patient populations and significant unmet needs in trial enrollment and diversity (www.clinicaltrialsarena.com) (apnews.com).

Iterative's model leverages a **performance-driven, multispecialty site network** embedded in community and specialty practices. By **integrating proprietary AI tools with dedicated site operations**, the company aims to dramatically accelerate trial initiation and enrollment. According to company reports, its network of over 100 research sites (spanning North America, Europe, India, and Australia) and partnerships with more than 40 pharmaceutical and biotech companies has already demonstrated **2x faster site activation** and **approximately 3x higher patient enrollment** in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) trials compared to industry benchmarks (sg.finance.yahoo.com) (www.tamradar.com). Notably, Iterative reports that on average **two or**

more IBD patients are randomized per day across its global network (sg.finance.yahoo.com). These improvements arise from embedding research in routine clinical care and using AI-powered patient prescreening (e.g. real-time colonoscopy AI) to identify eligible participants before formal trial screening. (www.tamradar.com) (sg.finance.yahoo.com)

The $77M Series C funding will **support rapid scaling** of this model into new domains. Iterative has already announced partnerships to facilitate this expansion—for example, joining forces with **U.S. Heart & Vascular**, a community cardiology provider organization, to engage cardiovascular practices in research (sg.finance.yahoo.com), and, according to TAMradar, hiring specialized staff (including a Chief Medical Officer for hepatology and obesity) (www.tamradar.com). In obesity, the platform aims to bring underrepresented obese patients into trials; obesity currently affects over 40% of U.S. adults, yet most drugs are not adequately tested in this population (apnews.com). The company's AI tools can pre-screen patients for trial criteria, potentially addressing recruitment shortfalls and diversity goals while speeding studies.

This report provides an in-depth analysis of Iterative Health's Series C fundraise and business strategy. We examine the **background of trial recruitment challenges**, the company's technology and operating model, details of the funding round and investors, and the significance of its targeted expansion into cardiology and obesity research. Data on clinical trial delays, enrollment failures, and demographic gaps are presented from industry and academic sources to illustrate the opportunity. We also review related industry trends, regulatory initiatives (such as FDA's own use of AI to streamline trials (www.axios.com)), and compare Iterative's approach to other emerging solutions. Case examples – such as IBD trials and heart disease studies – highlight the potential impact of Iterative's network on accelerating therapies. Finally, we discuss the implications for patients, sponsors, and the future of clinical research. Company-reported figures are labelled as such and have not been independently validated.

Introduction: The Clinical Trial Recruitment Bottleneck

Clinical trials are the essential bridge from biomedical discovery to patient care. However, **recruiting and enrolling patients** into trials has long been a major bottleneck. Trials are expensive and time-consuming: clinical trials can take years and cost hundreds of millions of dollars (time.com) (pulse2.com). Trial enrollment problems contribute heavily to delays and cost overruns. For example, Iterative Health's own press materials note that *"more than half of research sites enroll one or fewer patients per study, and nearly 90% of US-based trials fail to meet enrollment targets on time"* (sg.finance.yahoo.com). Such setbacks delay new treatments by months or years, directly impacting patient outcomes: as Iterative's CEO has remarked, *"Every delay in a clinical trial is a delay for patients whose outcomes depend on faster access to innovation"* (sg.finance.yahoo.com) (pulse2.com).

Several structural factors contribute to this recruitment crisis. Traditional trial models often rely on academic or large research centers, which may not reach broad patient populations. Patients at smaller community clinics are often unaware of trials or live far from trial sites. Certain patient groups (by age, ethnicity, gender, etc.) have historically been underrepresented, despite regulatory calls for diversity. For example, women and

minorities have often been missing in cardiovascular trials (time.com) (apnews.com), and patients with obesity (now ~42% of U.S. adults (apnews.com)) are explicitly excluded from many studies (apnews.com). The resulting data gaps lead to therapies that are not tested adequately in real-world patient subpopulations.

In parallel, advances in artificial intelligence (AI) and data science are promising new solutions to trial inefficiencies. AI has revolutionized early stages of drug discovery, but experts note that the real bottleneck is now the **trial operations phase** (time.com) (pulse2.com). AI is being applied to automate and accelerate administrative and logistical tasks in trials – from patient matching to trial monitoring. For instance, a *TIME* profile notes that Formation Bio claims it can save as much as 50% of the time of a trial by using AI to accelerate administrative tasks such as patient recruitment and regulatory filings (a company claim that excludes the treatment period) (time.com). TIME's profile describes Formation Bio, a biotech startup that applies AI to administrative tasks in clinical trials (time.com). Moreover, regulators themselves are exploring AI: in April 2026 the FDA announced pilots to *"view safety and efficacy signals in real time"* using AI, with the goal to shave off as much as *20–40% of overall trial time* (www.axios.com). These trends underline a broad industry push to modernize clinical research with technology.

Into this context steps **Iterative Health**, a Cambridge, MA-based health technology company. Founded in 2017, Iterative originally focused on gastrointestinal diseases, leveraging AI and endoscopy data to improve diagnostics and streamline GI research. Over time, it evolved into a multispecialty site network operator. Its core innovation is to *"embed research directly into clinical care"*: by partnering with provider networks and enhancing sites with technology and training, Iterative aims to make trial enrollment part of routine practice. The company's proprietary tools (such as AI-based polyp detection during colonoscopy) help identify eligible patients during normal clinic visits, while its centralized operations staff support sites in activation and trial logistics. This model contrasts with classical approaches by aligning research needs with busy clinical settings — ideally accelerating enrollment and ensuring more diverse participation.

In April 2026, Iterative Health secured a $77M Series C round to scale this model. The round, led by Intrepid Growth Partners and GV, was announced on April 30, 2026 (sg.finance.yahoo.com) (pulse2.com). This funding will accelerate Iterative's expansion beyond gastroenterology into new domains—specifically **cardiology and obesity**—and into new geographies, all while deepening its existing site partnerships. The company cites strong performance data (2× faster site start-up, 3× enrollment) in GI trials, and sees analogous opportunities in heart disease and metabolic research.

The remainder of this report examines these developments in detail. We first review the **clinical trial recruitment landscape** and the strategic relevance of cardiology and obesity. Next, we describe Iterative's business model and technology platform. We then analyze the Series C financing — its backers, terms, and intended uses — and how it positions the company for growth. We include data and metrics to evaluate the impact of Iterative's approach (for example, Tables 1 and 2 compare site performance metrics and market scale). We also provide **case perspectives** on cardiology and obesity trials, including demographic and regulatory considerations. Finally, we discuss broader implications for the pharmaceutical industry and future directions for trial innovation. Company-reported figures are labelled as such.

Clinical Trial Recruitment Challenges and Market Context

Recruitment Difficulties and Delays

Recruitment challenges are endemic to clinical research. Sponsors frequently miss enrollment targets. Sites often lie idle awaiting suitable patients; a 2026 Iterative Health report notes that *“more than half of research sites enroll one or fewer patients per study”* (sg.finance.yahoo.com). Delays are costly for sponsors and for patients waiting for new treatments.

Several factors underlie these recruitment shortfalls:

- **Narrow Site Networks:** Traditional trials often activate dozens of sites that individually enroll very few patients. As Iterative’s analysis shows, conventional sites struggled in GI studies — with activation times of *120–171 days* from protocol to first patient, and *enrollment rates far below benchmarks* (www.tamradar.com). Small academic centers may not have large patient panels or prioritization for research.
- **Limited Patient Access:** Many trials require multiple pre-screening steps. Patients often are not identified until after significant gatekeeping (referral to trial staff, eligibility questionnaires, etc.). This can miss candidates. Embedding recruitment in routine care (e.g. during a colonoscopy) can reveal eligible participants earlier. Iterative’s AI tools aim to address this by flagging potential patients in real time.
- **Underrepresentation of Key Populations:** Some patient groups are chronically under-enrolled. In cardiology, for example, studies have historically skewed male: one review found only **28.5%** of participants in major cholesterol drug trials were women (time.com). Given that cardiovascular disease is highly prevalent in women (as discussed below), this gender gap means trial results may not generalize. Similarly, many trials routinely exclude patients with obesity (BMI ≥ 30), despite over **40% of U.S. adults meeting the obesity definition (apnews.com). The result is that our evidence base does not always reflect the real-world treated population. Regulators have increasingly encouraged more diversity: both the FDA and EMA have issued guidance to improve inclusion of minorities, women, elderly, and other groups in trials, and global bodies like WHO call for representation of LGBTQ+ and socioeconomically disadvantaged groups as well (www.clinicaltrialsarena.com) (apnews.com).
- **Slow Regulatory Processes:** Site initiation requires regulatory and contractual approvals (IRB/ethics, budgets, training), often taking months. Iterative’s press release describes its model as “reducing startup timelines by up to 3 months” (sg.finance.yahoo.com). Contract Research Organizations (CROs) typically handle these tasks but with human-intensive workflows.
- **Patient Hesitancy and Geography:** Some patients are reluctant to join randomized trials or travel. Decentralized trial models (remote monitoring, local labs) can help, but still need initial recruitment.

Together, these issues mean that **clinical trials remain slow and costly**. TIME Magazine notes that while AI has sped up drug discovery, the number of new drug approvals has not increased – in part because trial conduct has not improved (time.com). TIME reports that Formation Bio's CEO Ben Liu sees the real limiting factor as the running of clinical trials, which can take years and cost hundreds of millions of dollars (time.com).

In fact, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has recognized the need for innovation. In April 2026, the FDA launched pilot programs to use AI for **real-time clinical trial monitoring**, with senior FDA officials noting a goal to shave *"20, 30, 40%"* off trial durations (www.axios.com). These drivers set the stage for companies like Iterative Health to introduce AI and network-based solutions to specifically target the recruitment bottleneck.

Scope of Cardiology and Obesity Indications

Iterative Health's Series C announcement highlighted *"cardiology and obesity"* as key new therapeutic areas. We review why these fields are impactful:

- **Cardiology:** Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death globally and in the U.S. (time.com). According to the American Heart Association (AHA), heart disease has killed more Americans annually than any other disease for decades (time.com). An AHA report projects that by 2050 nearly *61% of U.S. adults* (~184 million people) will have some form of CVD (time.com). This huge affected population translates into large clinical trial requirements for new cardiovascular drugs and devices. However, cardiology trials have chronic recruitment issues: for instance, despite similar prevalence, women are vastly under-enrolled in trials leading to therapies (only ~28.5% female enrollment in major cholesterol trials, 1990–2018 (time.com)). Specialists note that gaps in data (e.g. how statins and other therapies affect women) result from this bias. Additionally, many CVD patients have comorbidities, which makes trial design complex. Overcoming these recruitment and diversity hurdles is a recognized priority for both the FDA and the research community (time.com).
- **Obesity:** Obesity is itself a major health crisis and a risk factor for CVD and other diseases. Currently, more than *40% of American adults are obese*, and yet new medications (both for obesity and other conditions) often lack adequate data in this population (apnews.com). The Lancet projects obesity and overweight will affect 60% of adults globally by 2050 (www.lemonde.fr). Obesity-related conditions (type 2 diabetes, hypertension, fatty liver disease, etc.) are burgeoning markets for therapeutics. However, clinical trials in obesity face unique recruitment challenges: patients with severe obesity are frequently excluded for safety and variability concerns (apnews.com). An AP News investigation points out that until recently, neither the FDA nor NIH required obesity representation in studies, leading to *"a deficit of evidence"* for how drugs behave in larger bodies (apnews.com). This means dosing and efficacy in obese patients are often uncertain, which is dangerous given obesity's high prevalence. AP reported in 2023 that a 2019 FDA draft guidance on hormonal contraception trials, not yet final at that time, asks sponsors to lift BMI restrictions and include obese women (apnews.com). A network approach could help enroll these patients by working through obesity clinics and routine care settings.

Because cardiology and obesity are both **large, growing markets with unmet needs**, they represent significant opportunities for a trial network to provide value. Cardio therapies (drugs, devices, interventions) require large patient enrollments to show incremental benefit; similarly, obesity drugs (like the new GLP-1 agonists) need large trials for FDA approval and real-world evidence. By expanding Iterative's network into cardiology and obesity specialties, sponsors could tap into dedicated community clinics (e.g. cardiology practices, endocrinology/weight management centers) through centralized partnerships, reducing barriers to recruitment.

Industry and Market Trends

The funding news for Iterative Health comes amid broader trends in biotech investment and healthcare technology:

- **Growing Venture Investment:** Venture capital has been increasingly flowing into AI and digital health. According to media reports, Q1 2026 saw record VC investment volumes, driven by a few large deals (though not all AI/health related) (www.axios.com). Specifically in healthcare AI, analytics firms and imaging startups have raised large rounds (e.g. Aidoc's $150M Series E in April 2026 for radiology AI (www.axios.com)). A TAMradar industry snapshot notes recent fundraises: pathology AI company Proscia raised $50M (March 2025), and real-world intelligence startup Deep 6 AI raised $27M before its acquisition by Tempus (www.tamradar.com). These examples show that investors are betting on technology to speed up diagnosis, discovery, and trial analytics. Iterative Health's integration of on-site infrastructure with AI prescreening aligns with this investment theme (www.tamradar.com).
- **Site Networks and Decentralization:** The concept of "clinical trial site networks" is gaining traction. Rather than isolated sites, companies like Iterative build **dedicated networks of high-performing investigative sites**. Industry analysis projects this niche will grow rapidly. TAMradar cites a market estimate of about **$9.46 billion by 2026** for clinical trial site networks, with ~8.6% annual growth (www.tamradar.com). This profit pool reflects the premium placed on data-rich, efficient trial execution. Trends favor combining site networks with decentralized trial technology (remote monitoring, mobile research workflows) to reach more patients. Iterative's partnerships with existing provider organizations (GI Alliance, One GI, US Heart & Vascular) for "direct access to 15 million patients" exemplify this hybrid approach (www.tamradar.com). Competing models include completely virtual trial platforms (e.g. Science 37) or other AI triage tools, but Iterative's bet is that *in-person site access plus AI efficiency* can outperform siloed models (www.tamradar.com).
- **Regulatory Support for Innovation:** In addition to FDA's real-time AI trials initiative (www.axios.com), regulatory agencies have shown openness to flexible trial designs. FDA's recent move to drop the two-study requirement for new drug approval (February 2026) underscores a willingness to streamline trial requirements (apnews.com). Likewise, a Biden administration initiative (ARPA-H funding) focuses on reducing gender and demographic gaps in research (time.com). These shifts create an environment where an AI-enabled network model could be readily adopted, as regulators look to tools that increase speed and diversity of trials.

Overall, Iterative Health's Series C comes at a time when technical, regulatory, and market forces are aligned to reward innovations in clinical trial execution. The following sections delve deeper into Iterative's technology and strategy for seizing this opportunity.

Iterative Health: Company Background and Technology Platform

Founded in 2017 by physician-entrepreneur Jonathan Ng (MBBS from NUS, MBA from MIT) (www.crunchbase.com) (www.tamradar.com), Iterative Health (originally Iterative Scopes) set out to transform gastroenterology research using AI. Early on, the company applied machine learning to endoscopy images to improve polyp detection in colonoscopies, a technology product named *SKOUT*. SKOUT's algorithms scan live colonoscopy video to flag candidate polyps in real time, which can both improve patient care and identify patients eligible for colorectal trials. This dual use helped Iterative build a data platform while recruiting patients at community GI practices.

The business model evolved into a **performance-driven clinical research site network**. Iterative established partnerships with large provider organizations (e.g. GI Alliance and One GI, networks of gastroenterology clinics covering thousands of physicians) (www.tamradar.com). These partners agreed to embed Iterative's research staff and systems at their clinics, turning routine GI centers into active enrollment sites for trials. Importantly, Iterative provided **centralized operational support**: standardized training, regulatory documentation, and site management tasks. This relieved individual clinics of many administrative burdens. Crucially, Iterative then layered in AI tools: beyond SKOUT for colonoscopy, it developed screening algorithms that sift through patient medical records, lab results, and referral data to pre-identify patients who might meet trial criteria. This *AI-powered pre-screening* nudges clinicians to consider trial enrollment as a standard of care.

Financially, Iterative has been well-backed. Before the Series C, it had raised multiple rounds (including venture funding from Insight Partners, Obvious Ventures, Breyer Capital, alumni funds, etc.), totaling more than $190M by mid-2025 (estimated from Crunchbase data (www.crunchbase.com) and press reports (www.tamradar.com)). The Series C brings total known funding to $270M+ (www.tamradar.com), reflecting investor confidence in the model.

Iterative's **site network metrics** have been self-reported and independently discussed. At European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation (ECCO) 2026, they presented data from head-to-head comparisons of Iterative-supported sites versus industry averages. Key findings include:

- **Site activation time:** Iterative sites averaged *74 days* for site activation (company-reported; the source does not define the start and end points), roughly **2× faster** than typical sites (which often take ~120–170 days) (www.tamradar.com).
- **Enrollment rate:** Iterative's sites enrolled about *0.34 patients per site per month* in IBD trials, which the company describes as roughly **3× higher** enrollment than industry benchmarks (an implied baseline of about 0.1 is our own derived estimate, not a reported figure) (www.tamradar.com).
- **Randomization throughput:** In aggregate, the network randomized *>2 IBD patients every business day* globally, according to the company (sg.finance.yahoo.com).

- **POLYP AI benefit:** When SKOUT AI was active during colonoscopies, sites saw a **40% increase** in trial randomizations via automatic prescreening (www.tamradar.com).

These metrics (summarized in Table 1) illustrate the claimed efficiency gains. In short, by embedding research within care settings and automating screening, Iterative transforms underutilized patient visits into a steady enrollment flow.

Table 1. *Comparison of Key Site Performance Metrics (Inflammatory Bowel Disease trials)*

Metric	Traditional Benchmark	Iterative Health Network	Source
Site activation time	~150 days (120–171-day range) (www.tamradar.com)	74 days (2× faster than benchmark) (www.tamradar.com)	Iterative data (ECCO 2026)
Enrollment rate per site/month	Not reported (≈0.1 is a derived estimate)	0.34 patients (company states ~3× industry) (www.tamradar.com)	Iterative data
Randomizations per day (global network)	Not reported	>2 per business day (sg.finance.yahoo.com)	Iterative press release (sg.finance.yahoo.com)

These performance improvements have a clear **patient impact**: faster trials mean new therapies reach patients sooner. From a sponsor's perspective, more predictable enrollment timelines reduce risk of extension fees and drug development costs. Iterative also emphasizes **diversity** benefits: by sourcing from geographically and demographically varied clinic networks, it can help meet FDA/EMA diversity targets. Indeed, the FDA and EMA have issued guidance encouraging diverse enrollment (www.clinicaltrialsarena.com), and Iterative's model of working through broad provider networks (including those serving rural and minority populations) is explicitly aimed at those goals.

Iterative's platform supports multispecialty trials. While GI diseases remain a focus (with many ongoing IBD and colorectal trials in their network), the corporate communications emphasize *"multispecialty clinical research network"* (sg.finance.yahoo.com). The Series C press highlights obesity and cardiology as new areas – but technically, the same underlying infrastructure (site operations, AI prescreening, data management) can be applied across diseases. Iterative's plans include integrating BMI screening algorithms in primary care and weight-loss clinics, and incorporating cardiology clinics (e.g. US Heart & Vascular) into the site roster (www.tamradar.com).

In summary, Iterative Health combines centralized clinical trial operations with AI tools for patient identification. The Series C will turbocharge its expansion of this model to new indications. The next sections explore the details and implications of this fundraising round and strategic shift.

The $77M Series C Funding Round

Funding Details and Investors

On April 30, 2026 (reported by businesswire/Yahoo Finance), Iterative Health **closed $77 million in Series C financing** (sg.finance.yahoo.com) (pulse2.com). The round was *led by Intrepid Growth Partners and GV (Google Ventures)* (sg.finance.yahoo.com) (pulse2.com), two growth-stage investors with deep AI/tech backgrounds. New participants included **EDBI** (an arm of SG Growth Capital, the investment platform of EDB and Enterprise Singapore) and an unnamed large family office, indicating international interest. Existing backers Insight Partners and Obvious Ventures also joined the round (sg.finance.yahoo.com) (pulse2.com). According to TAMradar (the press release gives neither figure), total raised capital is **over $270 million** with a post-money valuation around $1.3 billion (www.tamradar.com). (That would put Iterative at “unicorn” status, per that report.) Anthony Philippakis, GP at GV, and Ajay Agrawal, Co-founder of Intrepid, will take observer and board seats respectively as part of the deal (sg.finance.yahoo.com) (pulse2.com).

The funding announcement emphasizes that Iterative is now *“the leading multispecialty clinical research network”* (sg.finance.yahoo.com). The press release states the money will support expansion *“into additional therapeutic areas beyond gastroenterology and hepatology, to include deepening Iterative Health's recent entry into cardiology and obesity, as well as continued geographic growth”* (sg.finance.yahoo.com). In concrete terms, Iterative intends to grow its clinic network (currently ~100 sites) across more regions, and to establish partnerships in new specialties. For example, they cite continued work with GI Alliance and OneGI, plus new collaborations like “U.S. Heart & Vascular” for cardiology trials (sg.finance.yahoo.com) (www.tamradar.com).

Investors are publicly framing Iterative’s proposition as a major efficiency play in pharma R&D. In press quotes, the lead investors highlight AI as a lever for industry-wide productivity gains. Ajay Agrawal says: *“Iterative Health is a perfect example… purpose-built its proprietary technology to power a revolutionary clinical trial network that delivers unparalleled speed and scale”* (pulse2.com). Anthony Philippakis underscores that “clinical research remains the biggest bottleneck in drug development today” and that Iterative’s AI-driven approach will accelerate trial enrollment and get medicines to patients faster (pulse2.com). These endorsements signal strong investor conviction in the strategy.

The round also reflects macro investment trends. VC funding for AI-driven healthcare startups has accelerated in 2024–2026. According to Axios and PitchBook data, Q1 2026 U.S. venture funding hit near-record levels (www.axios.com), buoyed partly by big AI plays. Within life sciences, deals like Aidoc’s $150M (imaging AI) and formation bio’s backing show appetite for platform companies. Iterative’s combination of a tech solution with a tangible network of clinics likely appealed as a more concrete, revenue-generating bet. Intrepid Growth Partners and GV led the round, according to the press release (sg.finance.yahoo.com).

Use of Funds: Expansion and Scaling

Per the company, the Series C capital will be deployed to **scale all aspects of the business**. Key planned uses include:

- **Geographic Expansion:** Opening new sites globally. Iterative's network already spans **North America, Europe, India, and Australia** (sg.finance.yahoo.com). The funding will allow onboarding additional sites in existing and new markets, giving sponsors access to more diverse patient pools. The press materials mention continuing geographic growth, which likely includes strengthening presence in Asia-Pacific and possibly Latin America.
- **Therapeutic Growth:** Hiring and partnerships in cardiology and obesity. This means recruiting specialized staff (clinical trial nurses, coordinators, medical directors) for cardiology and obesity trials, integrating cardiology clinics (cardiologists, HF clinics, vascular labs) into the network, and aligning with obesity/diabetes care providers. For instance, the TAMradar report notes that Iterative has *"partnered with U.S. Heart & Vascular for community-based cardiovascular research"* and is hiring cardiology roles (www.tamradar.com). They have also added an MD (Nadege Gunn) for hepatology/obesity. Presumably, similar roles (e.g. endocrinologists or obesity specialists) will be added.
- **Technology and AI Development:** Enhancing the proprietary AI tools for patient prescreening and analysis. Details on the AI development roadmap are scarce. More funding could accelerate development of machine learning models for trial matching (e.g. parsing EHR data), as well as expanding SKOUT-style analysis to new modalities (e.g. echocardiograms for cardiology, or radiology for fatty liver). The goal would be to improve the accuracy and speed of identifying trial-ready patients in routine workflows.
- **Operations and Quality:** As sites increase, Iterative will need more operations staff, data management, and site training. The press release emphasizes *"centralized operations, expert staffing… combining... proprietary AI technology"* to create their "site-serving model" (sg.finance.yahoo.com). Additional capital will ensure quality compliance (GCP oversight, audit readiness) as the network grows, and support more simultaneous trials.
- **Marketing and Partnering:** Building the brand and service relationships with pharma/CRO sponsors. Iterative will market its expanded specialty network to drug developers in cardiology and endocrine/hormonal areas, positioning itself as a turnkey trial network. Some funds may be allocated to business development and conference presence (e.g. cardiology conferences).

In short, the Series C empowers Iterative to transition from a primarily GI-focused startup into a **multi-indication clinical research service provider**. It bridges the gap between the pilot-scale GI network (clinics and AI used for Crohn's/IBD trials) and a full-blown global research organization spanning cardiology, obesity/metabolic, and potentially other areas. Investors expect that demonstrating strong results in these new domains will open even larger enterprise contracts and accelerate revenue growth.

Data Analysis: Evidence of Performance and Need

This section examines data illustrating the needs in cardiology/obesity research and Iterative's reported impact.

Clinical Trial Recruitment Benchmarks

As noted in Table 1, Iterative's internal data claim substantial performance gains. We compare those to industry norms and other published data:

- **Enrollment Failures:** The statistic from Iterative's press release that "nearly 90% of US trials fail to meet enrollment targets on time" (sg.finance.yahoo.com) is a company-reported figure that we have not independently verified. If Iterative's reported ~3x enrollment gain were to hold more widely, it would have a major impact.
- **Activation Delays:** Iterative reports 74 days (≈2.5 months) for its sites (www.tamradar.com), versus 120–171 days (≈4–5.5 months) at typical sites (www.tamradar.com). This represents roughly a halving of the timeline, which could economize half a study-year per site.
- **Enrollment Speed:** The Iterative network's reported 0.34 patients per site per month implies roughly 4.1 patients per year per site (a derived estimate). The company states a roughly 3x enrollment advantage over industry benchmarks, but no independent benchmark is cited here, and the 0.34 patients/site/month figure is company-reported (www.tamradar.com) and has not been independently validated. Whether similar gains would carry over to cardiology settings is untested.
- **Comparison to Other Models:** Purely decentralized (no physical sites) trials sometimes achieve rapid enrollment via broad outreach, but may have limitations in certain specialties (e.g. requiring in-clinic procedures). Iterative's hybrid model claims to outperform either approach alone. For instance, TAMradar reported that combining AI with their network "outperforms pure AI recruitment tools or standalone networks" (www.tamradar.com), although independent evaluation is needed. The advantage of Iterative's approach is plausibly in high-touch follow-through by embedded coordinators.

Cardiology and Obesity Case Data

Cardiology Trials

Heart disease trials often need tens of thousands of participants and multiple years to complete. For example, the landmark SPRINT hypertension trial (2015) is a well-known example of a large, multi-year cardiovascular trial. Given the growth of heart disease prevalence (time.com) and the emergence of new therapies (like PCSK9 inhibitors, heart failure drugs, devices), there is heavy demand for efficient trial infrastructure.

One illustrative case: cholesterol-lowering trials. Because statins and other lipid drugs are targeted at large populations, their trials enrolled thousands of patients. The review cited in TIME found that these trials enrolled only **28.5% women** (time.com), reflecting the historical underenrollment of women. Improving recruitment in cardiology would ideally address this gap. Notably, TIME also points out that aggressive use of weight-loss drugs (e.g. Wegovy) is showing a "game changing" 20% reduction in heart attack/stroke risk (time.com). New obesity drugs thus have direct cardiology outcomes; including obese patients in trials is doubly critical. There is a feedback loop: better obesity trials (with BMI=high patients) also improve cardiac data. Iterative's expansion into cardiology might therefore not only speed cardiology drug trials, but also enhance cardiovascular arms of obesity trials.

Obesity Trials

Many obesity and related metabolic disorder trials suffer from recruitment inertia. Patients with high BMI often have multiple comorbidities (diabetes, sleep apnea, etc.) which trialists view as confounders. AP News documented that of 200 new drug approval studies reviewed for 2022, two-thirds failed to mention BMI (apnews.com). FDA Commissioner Robert Califf acknowledged a “deficit of evidence” on how medicines act in obese patients, according to AP. A 2019 FDA draft guidance on hormonal contraception trials, which AP reported was not yet final, asks sponsors to include obese women (apnews.com), but implementation lags.

Statistically, including 40% of patients (the obese proportion) could dramatically enlarge trial populations. For instance, the anti-diabetes drug trials often had urban clinic recruitment biased toward moderate BMI patients. If the obese population is proactively reached (through weight clinics, bariatric surgery centers, endocrinology offices), trial accrual could speed up significantly. Obesity clinics themselves (which are rapidly expanding) can serve as ready sites. Iterative’s platform, by integrating into these communities and using AI to flag eligible patients, directly targets this gap. It can also tap into the fact that obese patients frequently see doctors; iterative algorithms could parse BMI data from EHRs to alert research staff of eligible patients in real time.

Patient Diversity Data

Both fields illustrate diversity challenges. Table 2 outlines some relevant statistics:

Category	Statistic	Source
U.S. adult obesity rate	≈42% of adults have BMI ≥ 30 (apnews.com)	AP News (2023)
Obesity trials inclusion	~66% of new drug studies (2022) **did not mention BMI** (apnews.com) (implying obese often excluded)	AP News (2023)
US adult CVD prevalence	~61% of adults projected to have CVD by 2050 (time.com)	AHA/ TIME (2024)
Women in heart trials	28.5% of participants (1990–2018 cholesterol trials) (time.com)	TIME (2024)
Clinical trial delays	~90% of US trials miss enrollment targets (sg.finance.yahoo.com)	Iterative Health press release (2026)
Trial site network market	~$9.46B by 2026, ~8.6% CAGR (www.tamradar.com)	Industry estimate (TAMradar)

Table 2. Selected statistics on obesity, cardiovascular disease, and clinical trial metrics.

These figures underscore the scale of unmet needs: with millions of Americans needing new therapies, delays in trial enrollment (90% schedule overruns (sg.finance.yahoo.com)) represent a major public health setback. By quantifying these gaps, we see the potential impact if iterative’s network could even modestly increase enrollment rates in cardiology or obesity trials. Faster enrollment of eligible obese patients could plausibly shorten some diabetes and endocrinology trials, but this is an illustration rather than a sourced estimate.

Comparisons and Competitors

Iterative is not alone in seeking to improve recruitment. There are various approaches:

- **AI-Only Platforms:** Some startups (e.g. Deep 6 AI before acquisition) use AI to match trial criteria to electronic health records across health systems. These tools can quickly identify cohorts but still rely on sites to actually recruit those patients. Iterative's differentiator is that it also manages the sites themselves.
- **Decentralized Trial Tech:** Decentralized-trial approaches generally recruit online or via telemedicine and deliver devices to patients' homes. These tend to suit trials not requiring procedures. Iterative's hybrid model is more suited to trials requiring in-person exams or specialized procedures (endoscopy, cardiac cath, etc.).
- **Site Networks / CROs:** Traditional CROs can manage many sites, but often each site operates independently. Iterative's "performance-driven" network promises tighter integration and learning across sites. It's akin to an operating network compared to loosely affiliated sites.
- **Other Site Network Startups:** A few other startups have built trial site networks (e.g. Oncology-focused networks, Infectious disease networks). Iterative distinguishes itself by breadth: multi-specialty, global, with heavy AI integration. It also provides a bundled service (operations + tech) as one package.

Summary of Evidence-Based Arguments

Based on the data:

- **Patient Need:** The burden of heart disease and obesity is enormous and growing (time.com) (www.lemonde.fr). Trials in these areas face documented recruitment issues (gender bias in CVD trials (time.com); systematic exclusion of obese patients (apnews.com)). This creates both a medical and business imperative to innovate.
- **Current Trial Market:** The site network market is estimated at ~$9.5B (www.tamradar.com), evidencing significant economic opportunity. Growth rates around 8–10% annually indicate that sponsors and CROs are investing in new models. FDA regulatory shifts (AI monitoring, diversity guidance) are reducing conceptual barriers for tech-driven networks.
- **Iterative's Outcomes:** The company reports quantitatively higher enrollment and faster start-up at its sites (sg.finance.yahoo.com) (www.tamradar.com). While we await independent validation, these company-reported improvements (about 2x faster site activation and about 3x higher enrollment, versus industry benchmarks for IBD trials) are consistent with expectations when moving from ad-hoc sites to a disciplined network. If true, they represent a dramatic efficiency gain. The Series C investors are clearly betting that these internal metrics will translate to commercial success on a larger scale.
- **Strategic Fit:** Investors' focus on the "AI and network" angle suggests they view Iterative as a system-level innovator, not just another startup. The involvement of Intrepid and GV suggests investor interest in Iterative's approach to trial logistics. In sum, the recruitment problem is well documented, but Iterative's own performance figures remain company-reported and have not been independently validated.

Implications and Future Directions

The Iterative Health Series C has several implications for the future of clinical trials:

- **For Pharma and Biotech Sponsors:** A successful expansion of Iterative's network to cardiology and obesity could offer sponsors a turnkey solution for trial enrollment. This may reduce their reliance on traditional CRO models or spinning up their own site networks. It also means potentially reaching more representative patient samples, aiding regulatory compliance on diversity. Sponsors may also see savings in trial timelines; for example, the company reports that its network cuts site start-up timelines by up to three months compared with industry benchmarks for IBD trials, though the effect on overall development time or cost has not been independently quantified.
- **For Healthcare Providers:** Partnerships like GI Alliance and US Heart & Vascular suggest a new revenue model for clinicians: embedding research as part of practice. Providers benefit from research funding, clinical trial portfolios to offer patients, and access to cutting-edge therapies. Iterative markets this as aligning incentives.
- **Broader R&D Ecosystem:** If Iterative and similar models succeed, we may see a shift from project-by-project site-by-site trial planning toward **platform trial infrastructure**. Just as software-as-a-service revolutionized enterprise IT, "trial-as-a-service" could become the norm. This could enable more nimbly run adaptive trials or continuous enrollment studies in chronic diseases. Academic research too might piggyback on these networks for investigator-initiated studies, changing how translational research is conducted outside big pharma.
- **Future Funding and Exits:** A reported ~$1.3B post-money valuation (per TAMradar; the company's announcement does not state a valuation) would set expectations for the company to become a major player (and potentially acquisition target). It signals health tech VCs believe there will be lucrative exits in clinical trial innovation. One can anticipate further fundraises or eventual IPO if Iterative meets its growth goals. Competitors and incumbents (CROs, large provider networks) will watch closely and possibly enter M&A activity (e.g. large CROs acquiring startup networks, or health systems forming alliances).
- **Scientific Impact:** A successful increase in diversity in trial participation (gender, BMI, ethnicity) will improve the scientific validity of results. For example, validating that an obesity drug works equally in high-BMI patients could not only improve prescribing but also pave the way for BMI-adjusted dosing guidelines. Similarly, including more women in cardiology trials could reveal differences in drug response. These outcomes align with broader health equity goals.

In conclusion, Iterative Health's $77M Series C underlines the promise and challenge of transforming clinical trial recruitment. By harnessing AI and a dedicated site network, Iterative aims to deliver on decades of industry frustration. If the company's reported metrics hold up at scale, cardiology and obesity trials could run markedly faster and more inclusively than before. The funding round signals that investors and industry stakeholders are betting big on this vision.

Conclusion

Iterative Health's recent $77 million Series C financing marks a pivotal step in reinventing how clinical trials are conducted. The company's AI-powered network model addresses one of the most critical problems in drug development — slow, inefficient patient recruitment — by embedding research in everyday clinical care. With the new funds, Iterative plans to export its GI/hepatology model into cardiology and obesity, fields with enormous patient populations and significant unmet trial needs.

The evidence reviewed in this report is consistent with the rationale for this move. Cardiac and metabolic diseases account for substantial morbidity and mortality, yet historically suffer from enrollment bottlenecks and underrepresentation (time.com) (apnews.com). Regulators and experts have highlighted the necessity of involving women and high-BMI patients in trials (time.com) (apnews.com). Iterative's partnership strategy (e.g. with cardiovascular practices and weight-management clinics) is directly aimed at filling those gaps. The company's own data suggest site activation about 2x faster and enrollment about 3x higher (company-reported, versus industry benchmarks for IBD trials) (www.tamradar.com) (sg.finance.yahoo.com), although independent validation will be crucial.

From an investment standpoint, the Series C's size and lead investors (Intrepid, GV) demonstrate confidence in Iterative's approach. The deal pushes the company to occupational scale, positioning it as a potential industry leader (TAMradar reports a ~$1.3B valuation, which the company's announcement does not confirm). It also reflects broader trends: pharmaceutical R&D desperately needs cost-cutting innovations, and regulators are increasingly receptive to AI-driven methodologies (www.axios.com) (sg.finance.yahoo.com). We anticipate that, in the coming years, Iterative Health's expanded network could enable sponsors to run trials faster, cheaper, and in more diverse populations.

In sum, this financing round and the planned expansion of Iterative Health represent an important development in the biotech and clinical research ecosystem. If successful, Iterative's platform could be a model for how to conduct large-scale trials in cardiovascular and obesity drug development, ultimately accelerating the delivery of new therapies to patients. The evidence and expert commentary collected here indicate that while challenges remain, the potential benefits of this AI-powered network approach are profound for both science and public health (time.com) (pulse2.com).

Sources: This report synthesized information from a company press release, industry news (Clinical Trials Arena, Pulse 2, TAMradar), and national media (TIME, AP News, Axios), as cited throughout. Company-reported figures are labelled as such and have not been independently validated.

Source links

Links cited in this article, in order of first appearance. Full addresses are provided for readers using extracted text.

1. https://sg.finance.yahoo.com/news/iterative-health-closes-77-million-120500780.html
2. https://www.clinicaltrialsarena.com/news/iterative-health-77m-series-c-clinical-research-network-technology
3. https://www.tamradar.com/funding-rounds/iterative-health-series-c-77m
4. https://apnews.com/article/6793b43f230df482bed917f9e73f84ac

5. https://www.axios.com/2026/04/29/fda-ai-track-clinical-trials-real-time
6. https://time.com/7372610/ai-drug-clinical-trials
7. https://pulse2.com/iterative-health-77-million-raised-to-scale-multispecialty-clinical-research-network-powered-by-ai
8. https://time.com/6962474/heart-disease-research-women
9. https://time.com/6990281/time100-health-talk-reimagining-future-heart-care-prevention
10. https://www.lemonde.fr/en/health/article/2025/03/04/global-obesity-crisis-60-of-adults-and-one-third-of-children-could-be-overweight-by-2050-warns-new-study_6738769_14.html
11. https://www.axios.com/newsletters/axios-pro-rata-e2aee69f-0ead-4f4f-a7f8-374cdd6ee1ad
12. https://www.axios.com/2026/04/29/exclusive-clinical-ai-provider-aidoc-raises-150m-series-e
13. https://apnews.com/article/a5aaa5501ae15f264bbd20d0dffa4dc4
14. https://www.crunchbase.com/person/jonathan-ng-8f8a

THE PUBLISHER

About IntuitionLabs

IntuitionLabs is an AI consulting, custom software development and data engineering firm serving pharmaceutical, biotechnology, medical-device and other life-science organizations. We work with clinical, regulatory, medical-affairs, commercial, quality and IT teams to connect technology decisions with the work people need to accomplish.

AI consulting and adoption

Our AI enablement services cover readiness assessments, use-case selection, governance and policies, team workshops, adoption measurement and ongoing advisory support. We help organizations structure the information layer behind AI: source material, context, permissions and maintained knowledge that make generated answers useful and reviewable. Private LLM inference and hosted AI options support teams evaluating how to operate AI with appropriate control over their data and infrastructure.

Software, data and life-science workflows

IntuitionLabs develops custom software for pharma and biotech, integrates enterprise systems, and builds data engineering and business intelligence solutions. Areas of focus include AI agents, regulatory research, medical writing, medical affairs, CMC information, competitive intelligence and clinical-document workflows. Our eTMF intelligence work includes cross-system reconciliation and inspection-readiness support.

Enterprise platforms and regulated delivery

We provide Veeva services, application support, managed services, integrations and custom applications, alongside enterprise content work involving platforms such as Egnyte. For regulated workflows, our services include GxP enablement, computer-system validation and software development addressing 21 CFR Part 11 requirements. The applicable controls, validation responsibilities and acceptance criteria are defined for each engagement.

Work with IntuitionLabs

Explore AI enablement, pharma and biotech software development, data engineering and BI, and Veeva services. Contact IntuitionLabs to discuss your workflow, information sources and implementation needs.

IntuitionLabs publishes educational research to help life-science teams make informed technology decisions. Coverage of a product or organization does not imply a client relationship, endorsement or partnership.

Website: https://intuitionlabs.ai

This article is educational. Verify consequential medical, legal, financial, regulatory and technical decisions with appropriate professionals and the cited primary sources. Company services are described separately from the article's research findings.